## Exhibit 52



## Transcript of Paul Simone, Ph.D.

November 7, 2016

US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Alderson Reporting 1-800-367-3376 info@aldersonreporting.com http://www.aldersonreporting.com

Alderson Reference Number: 67175

Washington, D.C.

	raç	ge I	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA		
3	ATLANTA DIVISION		
4	x		
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Civil Action No.		
6	Plaintiff, ) 1:13-cv-3675-WBH		
7	v. )		
8	UNDETERMINED QUANTITIES OF )		
9	1,3-DIMETHYLAMLAMINE HCI )		
10	(DMAA),		
11	Defendants, )		
12	and )		
13	HI-TECH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., )		
14	and JARED WHEAT, )		
15	Claimants. )		
16	x		
17	DEPOSITION OF PAUL SIMONE, Ph.D.		
18	WASHINGTON, D.C.		
19	MONDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2016		
20	9:30 A.M.		
21	Job No.: 67175		
22	Reported by: Leslie A. Todd		

	Page 2	Page 4
1	Deposition of PAUL SIMONE, Ph.D., held at the	1 CONTENTS
2	offices of:	2 EXAMINATION OF PAUL SIMONE, Ph.D. PAGI
3		3 By Mr. Scott 8
4		4
5	EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, PC	5 EXHIBITS
6	1227 25th Street, NW	6 (Attached to transcript)
7	Suite 700	7 SIMONE DEPOSITION EXHIBITS PAGE
8	Washington, DC 20037	8 Exhibit 1: Notice of Deposition 10
9	(202) 861-0900	9 Exhibit 2: University of Memphis website
10		10 Information re Paul Simone,
11		11 Assistant Professor - Chemistry
12		12 Department 12
13		Exhibit 3: Declaration of Paul S. Simone, Jr., 40
14	Pursuant to notice, before Leslie Anne Todd, Court	14 Ph.D.
15	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the District of	15 Exhibit 4: "Analysis and Confirmation of
16	Columbia, who officiated in administering the oath to	16 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA in Geranium
17	the witness.	17 Plants using High Performance
18		18 Liquid Chromatography with Tandem
19		19 Mass Spectrometry at ng/g
20		20 Concentrations," by Fleming,
21		21 Ranaivo and Simone 51
22		22 Exhibit 5: E-mail re Research question 60
	Page 3	Page 5
1	APPEARANCES	1 EXHIBITS CONTINUED
2	ATTEARANCES	2 (Attached to transcript)
3	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF:	3 SIMONE DEPOSITION EXHIBITS PAGE
4	CLAUDE SCOTT, ESQUIRE	4 Exhibit 6: Fleming article entitled "Analysis
5	JAMES W. HARLOW, ESQUIRE	5 of Dimethylamylamine (DMAA) in
6	United States Department of Justice	6 Geranium Plants using HPLC-MS/MS" 90
7	Consumer Protection Branch/Civil Division	7 Exhibit 7: Letter dated August 8, 2012, to
8	450 Fifth Street, NW	8 Professor Thevis from Paul Simone 120
9	Suite 6400S	9 Exhibit 8: E-mail re Thoughts on the Intertek/
10	Washington, DC 20530	10 ACC Paper and J. Of Analytical
1 1	<b>5</b> ,	11 Toxicology Paper 131
11		
12		12 Exhibit 9: Fertilizer Analysis 140
	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT:	
12	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT: SHEILA A. WOOLSON, ESQUIRE	12 Exhibit 9: Fertilizer Analysis 140
12 13		12 Exhibit 9: Fertilizer Analysis 140 13 Exhibit 10: Analysis Survey for 1,3-DMAA and
12 13 14	SHEILA A. WOOLSON, ESQUIRE	12 Exhibit 9: Fertilizer Analysis 140 13 Exhibit 10: Analysis Survey for 1,3-DMAA and 14 1,4-DMAA in Food and Geranium
12 13 14 15	SHEILA A. WOOLSON, ESQUIRE Epstein Becker & Green, PC	12 Exhibit 9: Fertilizer Analysis 140 13 Exhibit 10: Analysis Survey for 1,3-DMAA and 14 1,4-DMAA in Food and Geranium 15 Plants 148
12 13 14 15 16	SHEILA A. WOOLSON, ESQUIRE Epstein Becker & Green, PC 1 Gateway Center #13	12 Exhibit 9: Fertilizer Analysis 140 13 Exhibit 10: Analysis Survey for 1,3-DMAA and 14 1,4-DMAA in Food and Geranium 15 Plants 148 16 Exhibit 11: Spreadsheet 156
12 13 14 15 16 17	SHEILA A. WOOLSON, ESQUIRE Epstein Becker & Green, PC 1 Gateway Center #13 Newark, New Jersey 07102	12 Exhibit 9: Fertilizer Analysis 140 13 Exhibit 10: Analysis Survey for 1,3-DMAA and 14 1,4-DMAA in Food and Geranium 15 Plants 148 16 Exhibit 11: Spreadsheet 156 17 Exhibit 12: Spreadsheet 158
12 13 14 15 16 17	SHEILA A. WOOLSON, ESQUIRE Epstein Becker & Green, PC 1 Gateway Center #13 Newark, New Jersey 07102	Exhibit 9: Fertilizer Analysis 140  Exhibit 10: Analysis Survey for 1,3-DMAA and  14 1,4-DMAA in Food and Geranium  Plants 148  Exhibit 11: Spreadsheet 156  Exhibit 12: Spreadsheet 158  Exhibit 13: Document headed FHLFF131,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SHEILA A. WOOLSON, ESQUIRE Epstein Becker & Green, PC 1 Gateway Center #13 Newark, New Jersey 07102 (973) 642-1271	12       Exhibit 9: Fertilizer Analysis       140         13       Exhibit 10: Analysis Survey for 1,3-DMAA and         14       1,4-DMAA in Food and Geranium         15       Plants       148         16       Exhibit 11: Spreadsheet       156         17       Exhibit 12: Spreadsheet       158         18       Exhibit 13: Document headed FHLFF131,         19       Fertilizer, 09-11-13       168
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SHEILA A. WOOLSON, ESQUIRE Epstein Becker & Green, PC 1 Gateway Center #13 Newark, New Jersey 07102 (973) 642-1271  ALSO PRESENT:	12       Exhibit 9: Fertilizer Analysis       140         13       Exhibit 10: Analysis Survey for 1,3-DMAA and         14       1,4-DMAA in Food and Geranium         15       Plants       148         16       Exhibit 11: Spreadsheet       156         17       Exhibit 12: Spreadsheet       158         18       Exhibit 13: Document headed FHLFF131,         19       Fertilizer, 09-11-13       168         20

Washington, D.C. Page 6 Page 8 1 EXHIBITS CONTINUED 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 2 (Attached to transcript) -----3 SIMONE DEPOSITION EXHIBITS PAGE 3 PAUL SIMONE, Ph.D., Exhibit 14: Document entitled "Analysis of 4 4 having first been duly sworn, was 5 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA in Geranium 5 examined and testified as follows: 6 6 **EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF** Plants Using High Performance 7 Liquid Chromatography with Tandem 7 BY MR. SCOTT: 8 8 Mass Spectrometry and Nuclear Q All right. Could you state your full 9 Magnetic Resonance," by Heather 9 name for the record, please, sir. 10 10 Fleming A Dr. Paul Steven Simone, Jr. 11 Exhibit 15: Document entitled "A Study on the 11 Q All right, sir. Now, have you had your 12 Chemical Constituents of Geranium 12 deposition taken before? 13 Oil" 180 13 A I have not. 14 Exhibit 16: Document entitled "Standard 14 All right. Well, I'm sure you have been 15 Analytical Methods" 190 15 over this with your attorney, but a few ground rules 16 Exhibit 17: Intertek/ACC Labs Standard 16 to make this a little more easy on all of us, 17 Analytical Methods 190 17 particularly the court reporter. Exhibit 18: Article by Li, Chen and Li, First of all, you do understand you're 18 18 19 "Identification and Quantification 19 under oath? 2.0 of Dimethylamylamine in Geranium 20 A Yes. 21 By Liquid Chromatography Tandem 21 Q Now, secondly, if during the course of 22 Mass Spectrometry" 192 22 our conversation today I ask a question and you're Page 7 Page 9 EXHIBITS CONTINUED 1 1 not clear on what the question means or what it is (Attached to transcript) 2 2 I'm seeking by way of information through the 3 SIMONE DEPOSITION EXHIBITS **PAGE** 3 information, don't be shy, tell me that, and I will 4 Exhibit 19: E-mail string re A couple of 4 be glad to go back and rephrase the question or 5 5 Quick Questions explain before you begin your answer. 6 Exhibit 20: Document entitled "Analysis of 6 All right? 7 1,3- and 1,4-Dimethylpentylamine 7 A I understand. 8 8 in Geranium Herby by LC-MS/MS," Q And if you answer the question, I'm going 9 9 By Fleming, Ranaivo and Simone to assume that you understood it. Okay? 10 Exhibit 21: E-mail string re Report on DMAA 10 A I understand. 11 Analysis by NMR 246 11 Q Now, in addition, you will have to answer 12 12 verbally because nods and shakes of the head don't 13 13 show up very well in the transcript. 14 14 Also, as I'm asking questions, there will 15 15 be a tendency sometimes for you to anticipate where 16 16 I'm going and try to start answering the question 17 before I finish. Sometimes I will do the same thing 17 18 18 in reverse. It's very difficult for the court 19 19 reporter if we talk over each other. So I will try 20 20 very hard to give you a chance to finish your answer 21 21 before I ask another question, and if you could, let 22 22 me get the question out completely before you begin

Washington, D.C. Page 10 Page 12 1 1 your answer for her sake, if nothing else. (Exhibit No. 2 was marked for 2 2 Okay? identification.) 3 A I understand on both counts. 3 BY MR. SCOTT: 4 4 Q Okay. And during the course of the Q All right. So you have in front of you 5 deposition today, if you need to take a break for any 5 what's been marked as Exhibit 2 to your deposition. 6 reason, just let us know and we will -- at the next 6 It is a three-page document. 7 appropriate spot in the questioning, we will try to 7 The first page says "University of 8 8 Memphis, Department of Chemistry, Paul Simone, accommodate you. All right? 9 A All right. Sounds good. 9 Assistant Professor, Chemistry Department." 10 10 Do you see that? Q All right, sir. 11 MR. SCOTT: I'm going to note for the 11 A I do. 12 record that I have marked as the first exhibit to the 12 Q And do you recognize this document as 13 deposition a copy of the deposition notice for the 13 something that you've seen previously? 14 deposition. 14 A Not that I can recall. 15 (Exhibit No. 1 was marked for 15 Q All right. So you don't know if this is 16 identification.) 16 the information that Memphis puts on its website 17 MR. SCOTT: I will also note for the 17 regarding you? 18 record that this is a deposition of Dr. Simone in two 18 A It looks like it. I mean, I haven't 19 capacities. It is as a fact witness as well as an 19 looked at this in quite a while. 20 expert witness, subject to agreement of the parties. 20 Q Did you look at it before it was posted? 21 BY MR. SCOTT: 21 A Probably. 22 22 Q Well, in the documents, it says: "About Q Now, Dr. Simone, what, if anything, did Page 13 Page 11 1 you do to prepare to testify today? 1 Paul Simone: Dr. Paul S. Simone, Jr., is Assistant 2 2 Professor of Chemistry at the University of Memphis A I reviewed the expert witness reports of 3 3 where he earned his BH -- B.S.Ch, M.S. and Ph.D., Khan, Brown, and Kompatnick, as well as their 4 4 and started his career as a tenure-track professor at rebuttals, and other documents produced in this case. 5 Q All right, sir. And when did you do 5 The Citadel in Charleston, South Carolina." 6 that, review those reports and the documents that you 6 Do you see that? 7 just referred to? 7 A Yes. 8 8 A Prior to this deposition. Q Is all that information accurate? 9 9 Q I mean, was it this weekend, last week, 10 Q It goes on to say that: "Dr. Simone 10 three weeks ago? 11 A All through that time. As best as I can 11 works at the nexus of research and business, 12 recall. 12 developing new technologies at the University of Memphis to help drinking water utilities comply with 13 Q All right, sir. Now, where are you 13 ever stricter USEPA regulations of drinking water 14 currently employed? 14 A The University of Memphis. 15 15 disinfection byproducts." 16 Q What is your position there? 16 Do you see that? 17 17 A Associate professor. I'm tenured. A Yes. 18 Q You are tenured? 18 Q Is that accurate? 19 19 A Yes. A Yes. 20 Q And when did that occur? 20 Q And when you -- the reference there to September 1, 2016. 21 drinking water disinfection byproducts, what does 21 22 Q All right, sir. 22 that mean?

Washington, D.C. Page 14 Page 16 1 A Broadly, it is the regulations concerning 1 the other one, so I asked another one. I can do 2 2 the concentrations of trihalomethanes and haloacetic 3 acids in drinking water produced during the 3 MS. WOOLSON: He asked you to repeat it, 4 4 disinfection of water through chlorination. And the but that's okay. 5 concentrations of trihalomethanes and haloacetic 5 You can answer the question. 6 6 acids range approximately from 1 to 80 parts per THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 7 billion. BY MR. SCOTT: 8 Q All right. Sir, and is that your area of 8 Q Well, as part of your job, do you go into 9 specialization, those types of chemicals and their 9 the lab and supervise work that's being done by 10 detection and perhaps treatment in some way? 10 people? 11 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 11 A Yes. 12 12 Q And is most of that work done in the area You can answer. 13 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the 13 of drinking water? 14 question? 14 MS. WOOLSON: Are you still talking about 15 BY MR. SCOTT: 15 presently today? 16 Q Sure. The area of developing 16 MR. SCOTT: Yes. 17 technologies to help drinking water utilities comply 17 MS. WOOLSON: You can answer. with ever stricter USEPA regulations of drinking THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 18 19 water disinfection byproducts, is that your area of 19 BY MR. SCOTT: 20 20 Q And has that always been the case since specialty? 21 MS. WOOLSON: Same objection to form. 21 you've been at the University of Memphis regarding 22 22 the lab work that you're involved with that's been You can answer. Page 15 Page 17 1 THE WITNESS: I would say my area of 1 involved with chemicals in drinking water, that most specialty is analytical chemistry, and this -- the of it has been? 2 2 3 work that I do in drinking water is a small part 3 A Most of it. 4 of -- well, not small, but a part of what I do as a 4 Q And when you say "most of it," over the 5 5 time since you've been with Memphis coming to today, professor. 6 BY MR. SCOTT: 6 what percentage of your work has been dedicated to 7 Q All right. Sir, your working in drinking 7 issues pertaining to drinking water? 8 8 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. water, what percentage does that represent of your 9 9 independent research and lab work? You can answer. 10 10 THE WITNESS: I can't give you a hard MS. WOOLSON: Is there a time frame for 11 11 that question? number. 12 MR. SCOTT: Right now. 12 BY MR. SCOTT: Q Well, give me a loose number then. 13 13 MS. WOOLSON: You can answer. A 75 percent. 14 THE WITNESS: Repeat that. 14 15 BY MR. SCOTT: 15 Q Of the lab work that you've done? 16 16 A No. Of the research that I've supervised Q Sure. Do you do independent research as 17 17 and directed. part of your job? 18 MS. WOOLSON: Well, that's a different 18 Q Do you yourself directly do lab work? 19 19 A Occasionally. question. 20 20 Q And when you say "occasionally," what But you can answer. 21 MR. SCOTT: I'm aware it's a different 21 does that mean? 22 question. He asked -- he seemed to not understand 22 A When it's necessary.

Washington, D.C. Page 18 Page 20 1 Q All right. And when you found it 1 work that you've directed has been relating to 2 2 necessary for you yourself to do lab work from the haloacetic acids in bleach versus the geranium work? 3 time frame from when you joined the University of 3 A About equal. 4 4 Memphis to today, has the majority of that been in Q So about 12-and-a-half percent each? 5 the area of drinking water and drinking -- chemicals 5 A Sounds reasonable. 6 6 and contaminants in drinking water? Q And how about the lab work yourself, what 7 7 percentage -- that you yourself had done, what A Yes. Q What percentage? 8 8 percent relates to your research pertaining to 9 A 75 percent roughly. 9 haloacetic acids in bleach and what relates to 10 Q And the other 25 percent where you've 10 geranium work? 11 done lab work, what subject matter has that related 11 A I would say about the same, about 12 12 12-and-a-half percent. to? 13 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 13 Q All right. Are you currently doing any 14 14 work relating to geraniums? You can answer. 15 THE WITNESS: I guess I don't understand 15 A What time frame are you referencing? 16 the question. 16 Q Well, when I said "currently," I meant 17 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 currently. Are you currently doing any work Q Well, you said 75 percent of your lab 18 18 pertaining to geraniums? 19 work was dedicated to projects related to drinking 19 A I guess I don't -- we may have a 20 water. What does the other 25 represent? 20 different definition of "currently." 21 MS. WOOLSON: And you are talking about 21 Q Well, what is your definition of 22 22 from the day he started at the University of Memphis "currently"? Page 21 Page 19 1 to today? 1 A I mean, I've -- we have work that is MR. SCOTT: Yes. 2 2 ongoing that is stalled, for lack of a better term, 3 MS. WOOLSON: You can answer. 3 for a variety of reasons, and so we're not putting 4 THE WITNESS: And this is lab work I've 4 effort into it right now. 5 5 specifically done and not directed? Q Well, let's back up and do it this way: 6 BY MR. SCOTT: 6 When was the last time that you were actively in your 7 Q Yes, sir. 7 lab doing work pertaining to geraniums and chemicals 8 8 A I mean, some of it's geranium work; some in geraniums? 9 9 has been analysis of haloacetic acids in bleach. MS. WOOLSON: And I assume you mean other 10 Q Anything else? 10 than Dr. Simone's appearance here today. 11 11 A Not that I can recall. MR. SCOTT: Well, I don't think he is 12 12 Q Now, the 25 percent of the lab work and doing this in his lab, so let's --MS. WOOLSON: I asked you a question. 13 research that you have supervised that does not 13 14 relate to drinking water, what does that relate to? 14 You can clarify. 15 MS. WOOLSON: I'm sorry. You said 15 MR. SCOTT: I don't need to. And if you 16 research he supervised? 16 want to object, you can object. I'm not going to 17 MR. SCOTT: Yes. 17 take colloquy from counsel. 18 MS. WOOLSON: Okay. You can answer. 18 MS. WOOLSON: I'm going to defend the 19 THE WITNESS: The geranium and haloacetic 19 deposition as I see fit, and if I think your question 20 acids in bleach work. 20 is misleading, I'm going to correct it and ask you to 21 BY MR. SCOTT: 21 rephrase it, which is what I've just done. 22 Q How much of that time relating to the 22 MR. SCOTT: And do what you want, and if

Washington, D.C. Page 22 Page 24 I need to do something regarding your interference 1 1 was after the contract work I did for them. But that 2 2 with questioning, I will do that too. funding ran out, and I've subsequently pursued it 3 MS. WOOLSON: It's not interference with 3 just because I would like to know if it's possible. 4 4 questioning, Counsel. Q All right, sir. And when you stopped 5 By MR. SCOTT: 5 doing this work pertaining to chiral derivatizing, 6 6 Q Sir, when was the last time you were in had you successfully developed a protocol that would 7 your lab doing work pertaining to geraniums? 7 allow you to identify or separate the chiral 8 A Did you -- is that a different question 8 footprint? 9 than before? 9 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 10 10 Q Yes, sir, it is. I get to do that. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the first 11 A So are you --11 12 MS. WOOLSON: Counsel, no attitude. 12 part of the question? 13 MR. SCOTT: I don't have an attitude 13 BY MR. SCOTT: 14 about this. 14 Q Well, let me withdraw the question and 15 MS. WOOLSON: Yes, you do. 15 ask it again, perhaps more simply. 16 MR. SCOTT: No, I don't. 16 A Okay. 17 MS. WOOLSON: Yes, you do. 17 Q The work that you were doing on chiral derivatizing, did you consider it to have come to a 18 THE WITNESS: So, so I'm clear, just --18 19 are you -- so I think before the question was what 19 successful conclusion? 20 lab work I was supervising related to geranium, and 20 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 21 now are you asking me what I've done personally on 21 You can answer. 22 22 THE WITNESS: No. geranium and DMAA? Page 23 Page 25 BY MR. SCOTT: 1 1 BY MR. SCOTT: 2 2 Q And why not? Q Yes, sir. 3 3 A We developed a method to extract and --When was the last time you were 4 4 the 1,3-DMAA, we were able to successfully derivatize personally in your lab doing work pertaining to DMAA? 5 A As best as I can recall, the summer. 5 it and successfully separate the stereoisomers of 6 Q The summer of what year? 6 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA at the same time. I was very 7 A 2016. 7 excited, and my -- and then basically -- the 8 8 Q And what did that work entail? instrumentation we had is all a decade or more older 9 9 A We were trying to develop a method to and it finally gave out, and it hasn't been repaired 10 separate the four stereoisomers of 1,3-DMAA -- I'm 10 since. 11 Q What instrumentation was that? 11 sorry -- 1,3-dimethylamylamine and geranium plants 12 12 using the chiral derivatizing agent and a non-chiral A The gas chromatograph with mass spectrometer. That was an ion trap system, and 13 gas chromatography column. 13 14 Q All right. And was that work sponsored 14 unfortunately, our triple quad litho chromatograph 15 by anybody in particular? 15 tandem MS. 16 A No. 16 Q All right, sir. And during -- was that 17 Q You were doing that just as a research 17 also the last time that you had -- were doing work 18 18 while you were supervising work pertaining to DMAA? project? 19 19 A Yes. A The funding for that work had started 20 with USP Labs, I don't remember, back in 2012, 2013, 20 Q Any other projects relating to DMAA 21 sometime in that time frame. I'll be honest, the --21 ongoing when you shut this particular one down? 22 when the chiral derivatizing agent stuff started, it 22 A Not that I can recall.

Page 26 Page 28 involved in both in a supervisory and direct 1 Q Are you familiar with a group that 1 2 2 goes -- I believe I got this right and you can capacity, correct? 3 correct me if I'm wrong -- an acronym MAMML? 3 A Yes. 4 4 A Oh, yeah, that's MAMML. Mobile Q Is that the only project pertaining to 5 analytical monitoring and modeling laboratory. 5 searching for chemicals in a plant medium that you've 6 Q And what is that? 6 engaged in since you've been at the University of 7 A It's the research group that I direct 7 Memphis? 8 8 with my colleague Gary Emmert. A As best as I can recall, yes. 9 Q Does all the research you direct go 9 Q What about when you were at The Citadel, 10 10 through this group? did you have any projects there that you were involved in either supervising or direct work looking 11 A Yes. 11 for chemicals in a plant medium? 12 Q And what is the -- the mobile lab, is it 12 13 actually a mobile lab that goes out in like a truck 13 A Not that I can recall. 14 or something like that, or is this something else? 14 Q Now, Exhibit 2 refers to the development 15 A No, we're actually mobile. We pack up 15 of technology pertaining to contaminants, carcinogens 16 our instruments and we go to various water utilities 16 and other chemicals in drinking water. 17 and set up and conduct week-long monitoring studies 17 Do you have any patents on any technology 18 or longer at drinking water treatment plants, and 18 that has been developed based on your research? 19 these monitoring studies are the longest on record in 19 20 20 Q And how many do you have? the field. 21 Q All right, sir. And the work that's done 21 A Five or six. 22 through MAMML is again work relating to drinking 22 Q Are all of those patents in technologies Page 27 Page 29 1 water? 1 relating to drinking water contaminants? 2 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 2 A Say that again. 3 3 Q Sure. Are all of your patents, the five You can answer. 4 THE WITNESS: It's related to drinking 4 or six that you have, do they relate to technologies 5 water. It's related to this DMAA project. It's 5 associated or related to contaminants in drinking 6 related to whatever we happen to be doing. 6 7 BY MR. SCOTT: 7 A I would say they are related to 8 8 Q All right, sir. Has MAMML taken on any technology associated with drinking water 9 9 disinfection byproducts. projects pertaining to testing for chemicals in plant medium other than the DMAA project while you've been 10 10 Q Do any of those patents relate in any there? 11 11 way, shape, fashion or form to DMAA? 12 A No, not that I can recall. 12 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 13 Q Now, have you been involved either 13 You can answer. THE WITNESS: Not directly. 14 personally during research or in a supervisory role 14 15 in projects pertaining to testing for chemicals from 15 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 plant medium other than the DMAA project while you've 16 Q Indirectly? A Yeah. Yes. been at Memphis? 17 17 18 A Can you -- I'm sorry. Can you repeat 18 Q How? 19 that? 19 A So as a analytical chemist, my, I guess, 20 Q Sure. I'll try. The DMAA project that 20 specialty really comes down to developing new ways 21 you were involved in looking for chemicals in plant 21 for sample handling and sample preparation, and using 22 medium, i.e., geraniums -- that's what you were 22 those in conjunction with calibrations and

Washington, D.C. Page 30 Page 32 1 calibration methods to analyze drinking water 1 take our sample and we analyze it, and then we take 2 2 disinfection by-products. another aliquot of that sample and spike in a 3 But in the end, a good analytical 3 standard and analyze it. And then we take a -- and 4 4 chemistry is still good analytical chemistry, whether we can take multiple samples, we can do one or 5 it's in drinking water or it's in plants, and it's 5 multiple, and we spike in successively increasing 6 6 all the things that I learned in developing those standards. 7 methods for drinking water. I can apply some of 7 And you can do standard addition as 8 those concepts to analysis of 1,3-dimethylamylamine 8 either what amounts to single point standard addition 9 in geranium plants. 9 where you have a sample and the spike alone, and 10 Q All right, sir. The patents that you 10 using an algebraic equation, you can determine the 11 have, did you use any of those techniques in 11 concentration of that sample while minimizing matrix 12 searching for 1,3 or 1,4-DMAA in the context of 12 effects. You can also do a graphical standard 13 geraniums? 13 addition, which is essentially what we did in this 14 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 14 1,3-DMAA project. And, again, that minimizes 15 15 interferences. You can answer. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 Q Now, the patent that you had that you are 17 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 referring to here regarding standard additions, Q Which ones, which patents? you're not saying that you patented the method of 18 18 19 19 A There was a patent that used standard standard addition? 20 20 addition to analyze for trihalomethanes and A No. 21 haloacetic acids in a single instrument. 21 Q You're just saying that in the patent you 22 22 used standard addition? Q Standard addition meaning what in that Page 33 Page 31 answer? 1 A We patented a way to do online standard 2 A Standard addition calibration protocol. 2 addition. 3 Which means what? 3 Q Do you do that online standard addition 4 A Are you asking me to explain standard 4 in relation to 1,3 or 1,4-DMAA testing? 5 5 addition calibration to you? A No. 6 Q Yeah. 6 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 7 A Okay. So in standard addition, you 7 You can answer. 8 8 typically have a sample that you suspect may have THE WITNESS: No. 9 interferences or matrix effects. Usually those 9 BY MR. SCOTT: 10 samples are relatively complex. You may think 10 Q Now, you said that your particular area 11 drinking water is not that complex, but it is a 11 of specialty is analytical chemistry, correct? 12 challenging matrix to work in. The haloacetic acids 12 A Correct. 13 1.3 and THMs are at, you know, somewhere between 1 and 80 Q Could you define for me what you mean by 14 analytical chemistry? part per billion depending on the drinking water 14 15 15 plant. A Well, very, very broadly and loosely, the 16 At the University of Memphis and in 16 determination of chemical species in various 17 Memphis in general the concentrations of those 17 matrices. 18 compounds are very low, 1 to 5 parts per billion. At 18 Q Well, how do you define "analytical 19 a place like the city of Houston, they're higher, 19 chemistry" in the context of your specialty, your 20 somewhere around 50 parts per billion for each class. 20 specialization in that? 21 And so we use standard addition to 21 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked 22 minimize those effects, and the way we do it is we 22 and answered.

Washington, D.C. Page 34 Page 36 1 You can answer. Q Well, generally, when I use the term 1 2 THE WITNESS: The determination of 2 "training," I'm asking you, have you had any 3 chemical species in environmental matrices. 3 training. Did you take any coursework in plant 4 BY MR. SCOTT: 4 chemistry in the context of getting any of your 5 Q What do you mean by "chemical species"? 5 degrees? 6 6 A Chemical compounds such as, but not A No. 7 limited to, the nine haloacetic acids that are 7 MS. WOOLSON: So you're asking the 8 witness if he took a course in plant -typically present in drinking water, five of which 8 9 are regulated by the USEPA, the 4-trilomethanes that 9 MR. SCOTT: Well, he's already answered 10 are regulated by the USEPA, other compounds that may 10 the question. 11 or may not be present and determining whether they're 11 MS. WOOLSON: That's all right. That's 12 present and whether they're not present. You know, 12 fine. 13 the analysis of bleach solutions, the analysis of --13 BY MR. SCOTT: 14 the analysis of bleach solutions for trihalomethanes 14 Q Have you had any formal training, class 15 and haloacetic acids and hexavalent chromium. 15 work relating to botany? 16 In terms of geranium, the analysis of 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 17 1,3-dimethylamylamine and 1,4-dimethylamylamine in 17 You can answer. 18 geranium plants. It's all different chemical species THE WITNESS: I had Biology 101 as a 18 19 that we try to develop to analyze the matrices. 19 freshman in college. 20 Q So do you consider yourself having any 20 BY MR. SCOTT: 21 specialization or expertise in phytochemistry? 21 Q Other than Biology 101 as a freshman in 22 A In what? 22 college, have you had any botany training? Page 35 Page 37 1 Q Phytochemistry. 1 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 2 A What do you mean by "phytochemistry"? You can answer. 3 Q You don't know what the term 3 THE WITNESS: I worked as a landscaper in 4 "phytochemistry" means? 4 high school and college. 5 5 A Yeah, I know what it means, plant BY MR. SCOTT: 6 chemistry, but --6 Q Did they give you botany training to work 7 Q Well, I will ask it that way: Do you 7 as a landscaper? 8 8 have any expertise or training in plant chemistry? MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 9 9 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. You can answer. 10 10 THE WITNESS: You know, you're -- I guess You can answer. 11 THE WITNESS: I've got expertise in 11 I'm trying to answer your question as honestly as I analyzing 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA in plants. 12 12 can. I mean, I haven't taken any further coursework 13 13 BY MR. SCOTT: in botany. Q Well, let me ask you this: Have you had 14 14 BY MR. SCOTT: 15 any training in plant chemistry? 15 Q Since freshman year Biology 101, you've 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 taken no coursework in botany? 17 17 A That's correct. You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: When you say "training," do 18 Q What courses do you teach at the 19 you mean somebody formally advised me like a Ph.D. 19 University of Memphis? 20 advisor or post-doc advisor training, or do you mean 20 A General chemistry --MS. WOOLSON: Currently? 21 using my expertise as an analytical chemist? 21 22 BY MR. SCOTT: 22 MR. SCOTT: Yes, currently.

Washington, D.C. Page 38 Page 40 1 1 You can answer. MS. WOOLSON: You can answer. 2 THE WITNESS: Right now I teach 2 THE WITNESS: No. 3 instrumental analysis. 3 BY MR. SCOTT: 4 BY MR. SCOTT: 4 Q And have you had any training in courses 5 Q What is that? 5 that pertain to plant biological functions? 6 6 A It is a class on using instrumentation to MS. WOOLSON: Object to form. 7 conduct analytical chemistry. 7 You can answer 8 8 Q Since you have been at the University of THE WITNESS: No. 9 Memphis, have you taught other courses other than 9 BY MR. SCOTT: 10 instrumental analysis? 10 Q Now, other than the work that you did for 11 A Yes. 11 USP Labs and you published pertaining to that, have 12 Q What other courses have you taught? 12 you published any articles pertaining to testing for 13 A I taught General Chemistry I, and two 13 chemicals in plant medium? 14 advanced analytical classes at the graduate level, at 14 A No. 15 the doctorate level. And to be clear, instrumental 15 Q Do you currently have any ongoing 16 analysis is at the undergrad and graduate level. 16 research projects pertaining to identification of 17 Q All right, sir. The advanced analytical 17 chemicals in plant medium other than anything that 18 you were or are doing pertaining to DMAA? chemistry that you've taught, what does that entail? 18 19 A I have taught coursework on mass 19 A No. 20 spectroscopy analysis, UV-Vis absorbance analysis, 20 (Exhibit No. 3 was marked for 21 and chromatography. 21 identification.) 22 22 BY MR. SCOTT: Q These are techniques or technologies used Page 39 Page 41 1 in doing analytical chemistry? 1 Q All right, sir. You have in front of you 2 A Correct. 2 what's been marked for identification purposes as 3 Q Have you taught any coursework where the 3 Exhibit 2 --4 subject matter was phytochemistry? 4 MS. WOOLSON: Three. 5 A I have taught materials on -- related to 5 BY MR. SCOTT: 6 1,3-DMAA and chiral separations, but not specifically 6 Q I'm sorry, Exhibit 3, you're right. Let 7 phytochemistry. 7 me start again. 8 8 Q What course did you teach using materials You have in front of you a document which 9 9 pertaining to 1,3-DMAA? has been marked for identification purposes as 10 A It was one of the advanced analytical 10 Exhibit 3 to your deposition. It's a multi-page 11 courses, the graduate level courses where I discussed 11 document. 12 not specifically 1,3-DMAA but chiral separations and 12 The first page you see there says 13 "Declaration of Paul S. Simone, Jr., Ph.D.," correct? 13 the methods pertaining to those separations. 14 Q Have you had any training in any courses 14 A Correct. 15 pertaining to pharmacology? 15 Q All right, sir. And if you would turn 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 over in the document to page 49. Let me know when 17 17 you are there. All right? You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: No. 18 A Okay. 19 BY MR. SCOTT: 19 Q Is that your signature over the typed 20 Q Have you had any training in courses 20 line Paul S. Simone, Jr., Ph.D.? 21 relating to plant biological structures? 21 A Yes. 22 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 22 Q And you signed this on or around

Washington, D.C. Page 42 Page 44 October 4th, 2016? 1 Q Yeah. 1 2 2 A I mean, I -- I guess I plan to testify A Yes. 3 3 about what's in this document. Q And you understand -- so you signed this 4 4 document as a declaration, an affidavit swearing to Q And right now you don't know of anything 5 its contents? 5 else you would testify about? 6 6 A Yes. A Not off the top of my head. 7 7 Q Okay. Now, when you were retained to Q All right, sir. give expert testimony in this case, who contacted 8 8 Are all of your opinions that you are 9 planning on offering in this case contained in 9 you? 10 A Honestly, I can't remember. 10 Exhibit 3, your declaration? 11 A Say that again. 11 Q Was it a lawyer? 12 12 A Probably. O Sure. 13 Do you understand when you prepared the 13 Q All right. Do you recall when it was you 14 declaration, you were to put in there the opinions 14 were retained? 15 you're going to talk about if this goes to trial, 15 A No. 16 right? 16 Q Do you remember if it was in 2015? 17 A Yes. 17 A I -- I honestly don't remember. Q Do you recall what you were asked to do 18 Q Are all of your opinions that you plan to 18 19 talk about at trial within the document? 19 as an expert? 20 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 MS. WOOLSON: Well, I'm going to caution 21 You can answer. 21 the witness insofar as your question may require him 22 THE WITNESS: As best as I can estimate. 22 to testify about attorney-client privileged Page 43 Page 45 1 I mean, I assume so. 1 communications. Subject to that, you can answer the 2 BY MR. SCOTT: 2 question. 3 3 Q Well, do you know of any other opinions THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the 4 that you have that you may testify about at trial 4 question? 5 that you didn't put in the document? 5 BY MR. SCOTT: 6 A Not that I'm planning to. 6 Q Well, let me ask it this way: At some 7 Q I'm not sure what that means, not that 7 point you sat down and wrote this report, right? 8 you're planning to. 8 A (The witness nods.) 9 9 A I don't plan to do that. I mean, I Q You have to say "yes" for her. 10 don't -- I don't know what's going to happen at 10 A Oh. Yes. 11 11 trial. I've never been to trial, so... Q And when you sat down to write the 12 Q Well, sitting here today, is it accurate 12 report, what was it that you had in mind that you 13 that you are not aware of any opinions that you may 13 were supposed to put in a report? What were you to 14 offer at trial that aren't in your declaration, 14 address? 15 15 Exhibit 3? MS. WOOLSON: Objection. Form. 16 A I'm sorry. I missed the first half of 16 You can answer the questions, plural. 17 the question. Can you state it again? 17 THE WITNESS: What was in this report, 18 Q Sitting here today, can you tell me of 18 like stuff in this report. 19 19 any opinions that you might offer at trial that BY MR. SCOTT: 20 aren't in Exhibit 3, your declaration? 20 Q Okay. Well, did somebody ask you to 21 A Are you looking for a "yes" or "no" 21 cover certain topics in the report, or did you just 22 22 make it up as you went along without any direction? answer?

Washington, D.C. Page 46 Page 48 A Oh, oh --1 like -- I've been told it's a fact and expert, but --1 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, compound 2 2 Q So you were told it's a fact and an 3 question, calls for the disclosure of attorney-client 3 expert deposition? 4 privileged communication, and misstates facts in 4 A Yes. 5 5 MS. WOOLSON: And your question related evidence. 6 6 Subject to all of that, you can answer specifically to his declaration as an expert. So --7 7 MR. SCOTT: Sure. And now I'm asking a the question. 8 MR. SCOTT: So let me just be clear. Are 8 different question. 9 you saying that he is your client? 9 MS. WOOLSON: Well, let's just be clear 10 MS. WOOLSON: He's our expert. 10 that that's what you're doing. 11 MR. SCOTT: So how are conversations with 11 MR. SCOTT: Well, I think the record is 12 somebody who is not your client attorney-client 12 pretty clear what I asked. And I would ask you to 13 communications? 13 please watch your attitude. 14 MS. WOOLSON: Counsel, you are perfectly 14 BY MR. SCOTT: 15 capable of understanding the Rules of Civil Procedure 15 O Now, the question I had, sir, is, is 16 and knowing why I --16 anybody here representing you in your personal 17 MR. SCOTT: Oh, I am, yes, I agree. 17 capacity as a fact witness? MS. WOOLSON: And so am I. A I don't know. 18 18 19 MR. SCOTT: But that's not a well-founded 19 Q Well, did you say to anybody, I'm hiring 20 20 you to be my lawyer for my fact deposition? objection. 21 MS. WOOLSON: So you can understand the 21 A No. 22 objection that I made, and your attitude is duly 22 Q Okay. Now, in relation to the expertise Page 47 Page 49 1 noted and not welcomed. 1 that you have shared with us in your report, 2 MR. SCOTT: Well, I have no attitude 2 Exhibit 3, what do you believe that expertise to be? 3 about this. I'm trying to understand how you can be 3 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, asked 4 making the objection that you are making, which I 4 and answered. 5 don't think is well-founded. So obviously --5 You can answer. 6 MS. WOOLSON: Well, that's your opinion, 6 THE WITNESS: The analytical chemistry of 7 but you and I both know the law as it applies to 7 1,3-DMAA in geranium plants. 8 8 attorneys and clients and experts and what is and BY MR. SCOTT: 9 9 isn't proper. Q All right. And the basis of your 10 10 BY MR. SCOTT: testimony regarding the analytical chemistry of 11 11 1,3-DMAA in geraniums plants, is that based on the Q Well, let me ask you, you're here to 12 testify, you realize, in your personal capacity as 12 work that you did for USP Labs? 13 13 well as an expert, right? A Yes. And the work that I've continued 14 since the funding from USP Labs stopped. 14 A Yes. But hold on. I'm -- my Q Which was a continuation of work that you 15 understanding is I'm here to testify as an expert 15 16 witness related to my expertise in analytical 16 had started for USP Labs. 17 chemistry as it relates to the presence of 17 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 18 1,3-dimethylamylamine in geranium plants. 18 You can answer. 19 Q So you weren't told you're also appearing 19 BY MR. SCOTT: 20 in your own individual capacity as Paul S. Simone and 20 O Correct? 21 not as Paul S. Simone, the expert? 21 A I had two contracts from them. One was a 22 A I -- honestly, I'm not a lawyer, and 22 simple analysis contract, and the other was the

Page 50 Page 52 contract for NMR and chiral separation. ask you if that's an article that you were involved 1 1 2 Q All right. And the work that you said 2 in preparing and having published. 3 that you were doing through this summer, which was a 3 A (Perusing document.) 4 continuation of work that you had started for USP 4 Yes. 5 Labs, that was relating to the NMR and chiral 5 Q All right. And does this article 6 separation contract? 6 describe the work that you did for USP Labs in 7 A Yes. 7 testing samples of geraniums that you were provided 8 for 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA? 8 Q All right. So that was work that, 9 although you were doing it through the summer without 9 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 10 being paid by USP Labs, it was a continuation of work 10 You can answer. 11 you had started for USP Labs? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 A Yes. 12 BY MR. SCOTT: 13 Q Now, the first contract that you had with 13 Q And who is -- the other authors that are 14 USP Labs, how did you describe that? It was a simple 14 listed here, who is Heather L. Fleming? 15 something -- of a contract? 15 A She was my first Ph.D. student who has 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 successfully defended her Ph.D., now Dr. Fleming. 17 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 Q And then Patricia Ranaivo? Q Well, let me back up. 18 18 Ranaivo. 19 The first contract that you had with USP 19 Q Who is she? 20 20 Labs, what was the scope of work that it covered? A She was the postdoctoral fellow that was 21 A So there is a scope of work document, but 21 funded by the Department of Chemistry at the 22 to the best of my -- well, actually, do you have the 22 University of Memphis as part of my startup package. Page 53 Page 51 1 scope of work document? 1 She is also a graduate of the Analytical Mobile 2 Q Well, I don't know. But I'm asking you a 2 Monitoring and Miniaturization Laboratory with her 3 question: Can you tell me what the scope of work 3 Ph.D. 4 4 Q All right. So now in relation to the 5 5 A As best as I can recall without the expertise that you bring to the table regarding your 6 written contractual scope of work in front of me, it 6 expert report and testimony, do you believe you are 7 was to analyze geranium plants for 1,3-DMAA and 7 an expert in FDA regulations? 8 8 1,4-DMAA. MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 9 Q Now, when you say "analyze geranium 9 You can answer. 10 plants for 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA," what do you mean 10 THE WITNESS: No. by "analyze"? 11 11 BY MR. SCOTT: 12 12 A I directed and developed a method for Q All right, sir. In relation to your work analysis of 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA concentrations in 13 1.3 as an expert here, have you done any analysis to 14 geranium plants based off a method developed by support any conclusions regarding whether taking 14 15 Intertek Laboratories. 15 DMAA, either in its 1,3 or 1,4 form, causes health 16 (Exhibit No. 4 was marked for 16 problems or risks for humans? 17 identification.) 17 A No. 18 BY MR. SCOTT: 18 Q And you have no opinion on that topic? 19 Q All right, sir. You've been handed 19 MS. WOOLSON: You mean as an expert? 20 what's been marked for identification purposes as 20 MR. SCOTT: Yes. 21 Exhibit 4 to your deposition. It's a multi-page 21 MS. WOOLSON: You can answer. 22 document running from page 59 through 78. And I will 22 THE WITNESS: No. And I don't believe I

Washington, D.C. Page 54 Page 56 1 1 addressed it in my expert report. A "United States Food and Drug 2 2 BY MR. SCOTT: Administration, FDA, challenges marketing of DMAA 3 Q Well, if you would, look at your article 3 products for lack of safety evidence. FDA news 4 4 release April 27, 2012, accessed" -- well, there is a there, Exhibit 4. 5 5 website from their newsroom press announcements A Okay. 6 6 Q And over on the introduction portion of accessed August 10, 2012. 7 the article -- now, let me back up a minute before we 7 Q And then it goes on to say: "Confirming 8 the presence or absence of 1,3-DMAA as a natural 8 get into specific questions about this. 9 This article was published based on the 9 product in geranium plants has important regulatory 10 work that you did for USP Labs? 10 and commercial consequences for many dietary 11 A Yes. 11 supplement companies." 12 Q Did USP Labs pay you to prepare the 12 Referring back to that same reference, 13 13 correct? article? 14 A They funded the work to --14 A Yes. 15 Q Did they -- I'm sorry. Go ahead. I 15 Q What was your understanding of the 16 don't want to cut you off. 16 potential commercial consequences of not finding DMAA 17 A I mean they funded the work to do -- to 17 in geranium plants for dietary supplement companies? MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 18 do the analysis. 18 19 Q All right. Well, in relation to the 19 You can answer. 20 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that 20 preparation of the article, for example, did they pay 21 you an hourly rate to prepare this article? 21 under the -- I think it's the -- well, let's see, I 22 22 think it's in here. The name always gets me. It's A No. Page 57 Page 55 1 Q Now, in the introduction portion of your 1 the Dietary Health and Supplement Education Act of, I 2 article, it says: "There has been significant think 1994, something like that, or '96, one of those 2 3 discussion of 1,3-dimethylamylamine, 1,3-DMAA, and 3 two. It discusses how dietary supplements can be 4 the literature concerning the presence of 1,3-DMAA in 4 marketed and -- as it relates to -- and whether they 5 geranium plants, pelargonium graveolens." 5 are a naturally occurring product or not. And 6 Do you see that? 6 that's -- like to be clear, that's like off the top 7 A I do. 7 of my head. It's been a while since I looked at that 8 8 Q And was your awareness of there being any regulation. 9 9 BY MR. SCOTT: discussion regarding the presence of 1,3-DMAA in geraniums, did it come to you in the context of doing Q Well, when you were doing the testing of 10 10 this work for USP Labs? geranium material for USP Labs, did you have an 11 11 12 A Yes. 12 understanding of what the potential impact would be 13 on USP Labs depending on your findings? 13 Q And then it goes on to say: "1,3-DMAA, 14 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. also known as 4-methyl-2-hexanone MHA, 14 15 1,3-dimethylpentylamine or 2-amino-4-methylhexane can 15 You can answer. 16 be labeled as geranium extract in dietary 16 THE WITNESS: Not specifically, no. supplements." 17 17 BY MR. SCOTT: 18 18 Q Did you have a general understanding of Do you see that? 19 A Yes. 19 USP Labs would not think it was a good result if you 20 Q Where did you get that information? 20 didn't find DMAA when you were testing geranium 21 A Most likely reference number 7. 21 material? 22 Q And reference number 7 is what? 22 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.

Washington, D.C. Page 58 Page 60 1 1 You can answer. BY MR. SCOTT: 2 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question. 2 Q Sure. Before you entered into a contract 3 BY MR. SCOTT: 3 with USP Labs, did you investigate the company at Q Sure. 4 4 all? 5 5 When you were doing the testing of the A Probably. 6 samples that you were provided for USP Labs to see if 6 Q Do you recall doing that? 7 you could find DMAA, did USP Labs tell you they were 7 A Not specifically. 8 hoping you would find it? 8 Q And if you had done that, would any 9 A I don't think so. Not that I can recall. 9 documentation of that be in your file? 10 Q Did they tell you why they were looking 10 A No. I probably looked it up on the 11 for it? 11 internet. 12 12 Q But you don't even remember doing that A Not that I can recall. 13 Q Now, in the work that you were doing for 13 specifically? 14 USP Labs, who was your contact? 14 A Not specifically. 15 A Erik White. 15 (Exhibit No. 5 was marked for 16 Q And who is Mr. White? 16 identification.) 17 A As far as I know, he works for USP Labs. 17 BY MR. SCOTT: Q Do you know what his position was? 18 18 Q All right, sir. Before we get into the 19 A No. 19 document, could you look in your report a minute, 2.0 Q Did you, in doing the work that you did 20 Exhibit 3. 21 for USP Labs, have any direct contact with any other 21 And if you would, turn to paragraph 41 on 22 USP Labs employee? 22 page 73. Page 59 Page 61 1 A Not that I can recall or know of. 1 A What page? 2 Q I'm sorry, it's paragraph 73 on page 41. 2 Q All right, sir. Had you ever done any --3 had you ever heard of USP Labs prior to doing the 3 Now, if you would read through 4 testing of the geranium materials that you were 4 paragraph 73, the whole thing, and let me know when 5 5 you are done, and then I will have a few questions provided? 6 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 6 for you. All right? 7 You can answer. 7 A (Perusing document.) 8 THE WITNESS: Beyond e-mails with them 8 9 9 when we were setting up the contract, no. Q All right, sir. Now, paragraph 73 on 10 BY MR. SCOTT: page 41 of Exhibit 3, that's something that you 10 11 Q Well, prior to them -- you being wrote, correct? 11 12 contacted and the contacts leading up to you setting 12 A (The witness nods.) 13 up the contract, had you heard of them? 13 You have to say "yes" or "no" for her. 14 A No. 14 A Yes. 15 Q When you were approached by USP Labs, did 15 Q And at the top there, it says: "I can 16 you take any steps to investigate the company, find provide insight into our research. I was approached 16 17 out who they were, the type of business, scope of by USP Labs to conduct the analysis of 1,3-DMAA and 17 18 business, anything like that? 18 1,4-DMAA in some geranium samples as an independent 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 19 laboratory." 20 20 Do you see that? You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the first half of 21 I do. 21 Α 22 that? 22 Q And was that the scope of your initial

Washington, D.C. Page 62 Page 64 assignment with USP Labs? 1 1 A I do. 2 2 Q Who is Gary Emmert? A As best as I can recall. 3 Q That's what you put in your report and 3 A He is my Ph.D. advisor and colleague at 4 4 swore to anyway? the University of Memphis, and he's now the chair of 5 5 A Yeah, that is. That's correct. the department. 6 6 Q Was he the chair of the department at Q And in relation -- and that testing that 7 you -- the reference there in paragraph 73 of your 7 this time back in 2011? report is the testing that you then described the 8 8 A No. 9 outcome of in Exhibit 5, your -- I'm sorry, 9 Q Then it goes on to say that Drs. Emmert 10 10 and Simone -- well, first of all, let me back up. Exhibit 4, the article that you had published, 11 correct? 11 Who is Richard Bloomer? 12 12 A Correct. A He is -- at the time he was a professor 13 Q Now, below that it says: "Prior to my 13 in another department. I think exercise science, 14 contract with USP Labs, I had never heard of them or 14 something along those lines. 15 the controversy surrounding DMAA." 15 Q Another department at the University of 16 Do you see that? 16 Mississippi? 17 A Yes. 17 A Yes -- no, at the University of Memphis. Q I'm sorry. University of Memphis. 18 Q And that's to the best of your 18 19 recollection? 19 Okay. Had you dealt with him before 20 A Yes. 20 receiving this e-mail? 21 Q How did they approach you? How did they 21 A Not that I can recall. 22 22 find you? Q All right, sir. And it goes on to say --Page 63 Page 65 1 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 1 or the e-mail from Dr. Bloomer: "We do work for a 2 2 You can answer. nutraceutical/dietary supplement company which has 3 THE WITNESS: I'm sure it's in this 3 the need for sample analysis as indicated below. 4 4 Would either of you have the interest and ability to e-mail 5 5 BY MR. SCOTT: do such testing? If not, would you know others in 6 Q Well, let's look over the e-mail. You 6 your department who may? Any help would be much 7 have in front of you what's been marked for 7 appreciated. Thank you and regards, Rick Bloomer." 8 8 identification purposes as Exhibit 5 to your Do you see that? 9 9 deposition. It's a multi-page exhibit bearing A Mm-hmm. identification numbers UMPS-HT-004885 through 4887, Yes? 10 10 Q and I will ask you to take a look through that. Look 11 11 Yes. 12 through all of it, and then let me know when you're 12 Did you have any conversations with 13 done, and then we will have some questions. All 13 Dr. Bloomer regarding this potential assignment? 14 right? 14 A Not that I can recall. 15 A (The witness nods.) 15 Q Now, the description of work down there 16 16 says that we need either LC/MS/MS or GC/MS analysis (Perusing document.) 17 for 1,3-dimethylpentylamine (small volatile amine) in 17 Okay. 18 Q All right, sir. If you would look at the 18 a sample matrix of geranium oil." 19 last page of that Exhibit 5 where there is an e-mail 19 Do you see that? 20 from Richard J. Bloomer, dated December 12, 2011, to 20 A Yes. 21 a Gary Emmert, E-M-M-E-R-T, and yourself. 21 Q Is this the first time you had ever heard 22 Do you see that? 22 of that chemical?

Washington, D.C. Page 66 Page 68 1 going to have to budget it in the contract to do it. A Yes. 1 2 2 Q Well, did you have in place the type of Q And it goes on to say that: "We already 3 have a validated method for the determination and 3 equipment, chemicals, glassware and whatnot to do 4 quantitation of 1,3-dimethylpentylamine," parens, 4 this type of testing? 5 "(CAS No. 105-41-9) in geranium oil and geranium 5 A Yes. Let me back up. So without knowing 6 plant tissue using LC/MS/MS, so we can supply the 6 what specifically was required and the method, the 7 method for them which will be a major part of the 7 description of work was pretty broad. And so it was 8 work." 8 either they were going to provide it as in Rick 9 Do you see that? 9 Bloomer or the company or they were going to provide me the money to purchase what I needed. I mean 10 10 A Yes. 11 Q And then it goes on to say: "I would 11 chemicals, glassware and materials is pretty broad. 12 like to pass along the full method, but we'd have to 12 Q All right. In dealing with Mr. White, 13 have an NDA in place first. As far as samples, I 13 did he ever use any other name? 14 would expect 5-10 samples of geranium oil and 14 15 perhaps 3-5 samples of geranium plant. As far as 15 Q Have you ever heard of anyone named Sy 16 cost, \$100-300 per sample." 16 Wilson? 17 Do you see that? 17 A No. Q Why the grin? 18 A Yes. 18 19 Q Now, above that, it says: "Richard, I am 19 A It was on the subpoena that I received from the -- I think it was the FDA from -- I think it 20 definitely interested in working with you on these 20 21 sample analyses. However, I need to know some 21 was Mr. Harlow. 22 22 Q And do you know who Sy Wilson is? analysis details before I can agree to the work and a Page 67 Page 69 1 price per sample. A couple of questions that you can 1 A No. answer without a need of an NDA are: What type of Q Now, if you look at the first page of 2 2 3 timetable are you looking at for this sample 3 that exhibit, it says: "As much as I would like to 4 analysis? And do you have the chemicals, glassware, 4 agree to do the analysis work, the time frame of 5 and materials needed to do the analysis?" 5 analysis makes it very difficult for us to do. The 6 Do you see that? 6 typical amount of time it takes to learn a new method 7 A Yes. 7 is longer than 1-2 weeks, and the cost to pay a 8 8 Q Why was it a concern for you regarding student and buy the materials would approach the cost 9 9 of a typical contract analysis lab." 10 A Any time I've worked with a company on 10 Do you see that? 11 doing research and development work and contracts in 11 A I do. 12 general, my big question is always how fast do they 12 Q What are you referring to there by 13 want it, because their idea of fast and my idea of 13 "typical contract analysis lab"? 14 fast may be different. 14 A So a contract lab is going to have a lot 15 Q All right. And it goes on to say: "And 15 of things in place, like already kind of like general 16 two, do you have the chemicals, glassware and 16 needs in place already. And we don't typically 17 17 materials needed to do the analysis?" operate as a contract lab. And so when I do, I have 18 Do you see that? 18 a higher price tag than a typical lab and I need more 19 A Yes. 19 time. 20 Q Why were you asking that? 20 Q Were you seeing this project as putting 21 A Did they -- you know, did they have the 21 you in a position where you would be acting like a 22 materials in place already for me to do it or was I 22 contract lab?

Page 70 Page 72 1 some form? 1 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 2 2 A I have no idea. I don't know how he set You can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: I mean they specified a 3 it up. 4 4 Q All right. Do you know how much USP Labs contract for a price per sample analysis, and that's 5 kind of what I think of when I think of contract lab. 5 paid Dr. Bloomer for his work? 6 6 BY MR. SCOTT: A No. 7 Q All right, sir. And in the response, 7 Q Now, the work that you did for USP Labs, 8 at least this initial lab where you were testing 8 Erik White says in the e-mail: "Could you suggest 9 what a reasonable time frame and cost would be? 9 these samples, was that paid to you directly or to 10 We're open on this. Also, would you anticipate 10 the university? 11 publication of these data? It's something we're 11 A To the university. The contract was 12 interested in." 12 through the university and administered both by 13 13 research support services and the accounting office. Do you see that? 14 14 A Yes. They handled payments and certification of time and 15 Q Now, did you have any conversations with 15 16 him after receiving this e-mail regarding why they 16 Q Now, in relation to your initial work in 17 wanted you to do it as opposed to a contract lab, 17 testing these samples, you said here in your report, 18 page 41, exhibit -- paragraph 73: "I can provide 18 which you indicated would already be set up to go 19 19 ahead and do this type of testing? insight. I was approached by USP Labs to conduct the 20 20 A Not that I can recall. analysis of 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA in geranium samples 21 Q Do you recall discussing with anyone why 21 as an independent laboratory." 22 22 What do you mean there by "independent they picked you out to do this as opposed to a Page 73 Page 71 1 contract lab or some other university? 1 laboratory"? 2 2 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. A A laboratory that had no affiliation with 3 3 You can answer. them. 4 4 Q And when you started the work, though, THE WITNESS: Repeat the question. 5 5 BY MR. SCOTT: you knew that they wanted to publish the outcome? 6 6 Q Sure. Did you have any conversation with MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 7 anyone regarding why you were chosen by USP Labs to 7 You can answer. 8 8 do this work as opposed to a contract lab or some THE WITNESS: I mean, they stated that in 9 9 other institution? the e-mail. 10 BY MR. SCOTT: 10 A Not that I can recall. 11 11 Q Did you ever wonder about that? Q Now, when you say here in your report 12 12 that they wanted you to conduct the analysis of 13 13 Q Now, do you know how much work 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA, what did you understand that 14 analysis to consist of? 14 Mr. Bloomer had been doing for USP labs? 15 15 A I don't believe at the time I did. Not A I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question? 16 16 Q Sure. Well, let me ask it a slightly specifics. 17 Q Did you learn how much work Dr. Bloomer different way. 17 had done for USP Labs at some point? 18 18 You said in your report you were 19 19 approached by USP Labs to conduct the analysis of A No. 20 Q Was the work that Dr. Bloomer was doing 20 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA in some geranium samples. Do 21 for USP Labs, was that paid for directly into 21 you see that? 22 Dr. Bloomer or was it paid into the university in 22 A Yes.

Washington, D.C. Page 74 Page 76 1 Q What do you mean there by "analysis" in 1 MS. WOOLSON: I said, Objection to form. 2 2 the context of what you were asked to do by USP Labs? You can answer. 3 A What was I asked to do? 3 THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the Q Yeah. 4 standard addition method? 4 5 5 BY MR. SCOTT: A Take the Intertek lab method and run with 6 6 it, and analyze 1,3 and 1,4-DMAA in geranium plants. O Yeah. 7 Q In other words, to test geranium plants 7 A So in the -- what was the question again? 8 and see if you could identify the presence of 1,3 or 8 Q Sure. You found -- you tested the 9 1,4-DMAA. 9 samples that you were provided by USP Labs, and some 10 10 of them you said did not reflect any DMAA. So, A It was -- I wouldn't characterize it that 11 way. I would say that we were asked to do -- to 11 therefore, you said it wasn't there at the minimum 12 determine -- to determine -- I guess -- I'm sorry. 12 detection limit. 13 Your question is worded oddly for me. 13 Does that mean it may be there below the 14 So we were asked to do the analysis for 14 minimum detection limit? 15 1,3 and 1,4-DMAA and report our results. 15 A It's possible. 16 Q And the results were primarily whether 16 Q Did you test to see? 17 you found 1,3 or 1,4-DMAA or not in the geranium 17 A So, if I recall correctly, we had no samples using the Intertek procedure? evidence to suggest that they -- the concentrations 18 18 19 A Well, the -- if -- I guess, yes. If the 19 might have been there below the detection limit, and 20 concentrations were there, then we report what they 20 we did not pursue it any further. 21 were, you know, if they were above the method 21 Q All right, sir. Now, in the course of 22 22 doing your work for USP Labs and testing for DMAA in detection limit. If they were not there, then we Page 75 Page 77 1 would report it as less than method detection limit. 1 geranium samples, did you obtain reference samples? 2 2 Q What do you mean by the term "method A What do you mean? 3 3 Q I mean, did you get a reference from detection limit"? 4 4 somewhere to use for your testing as a standard for A That is the lowest concentration that can 5 5 be distinguished from the noise in the analysis. your testing? 6 Q Now, in relation to the samples that you 6 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 7 tested for using the Intertek procedure for USP Labs 7 You can answer. 8 8 that are reported in exhibit -- the article itself, BY MR. SCOTT: 9 9 were there some of those that you did not detect Q A reference sample, did you get a --10 10 A Are you talking like a -- are you asking DMAA? 11 11 about a plant that had a known concentration of MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 12 You can answer. 12 1,3-DMAA in it? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 Q Did you go out to a chemical company and 14 14 buy DMAA? BY MR. SCOTT: 15 Q And with those, did you do any exercise 15 A Yes. 16 using addition procedures to check and see if there 16 Q And for what purpose? 17 was any evidence that it may be there at below the 17 A To be a standard that we used for 18 MDL? 18 calibration of 1,3-DMAA for the analysis. 19 19 Q And where did you get it from? MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 20 A Let's see. Pharmakon USA. You can answer. 21 THE WITNESS: Are you -- well, what was Q Was the reference sample that you 21 22 22 obtained to use in your testing synthetic DMAA? that?

Washington, D.C. Page 78 Page 80 referenced some things in the paper I published, 1 A As far as I'm aware, it is. 1 2 2 and --Q Did you try to get a naturally produced 3 version of DMAA to use as a reference sample? 3 Q Well, you know that Eli Lilly had a 4 4 patent on DMAA that was issued in the 1940s, correct? A To the best of my knowledge, that's -- I 5 don't know if that's available or not. 5 6 6 Q Did you look for a naturally --Q Do you recall finding any reference to 7 A I don't recall. 7 DMAA in existence as a chemical compound prior to 8 Q -- produced version of DMAA to use as a 8 that patent being issued? 9 reference? 9 A Not that I'm aware of. 10 10 Q Now, in the context of the testing that A I don't recall. 11 Q Why do you think naturally occurring DMAA 11 you did for USP Labs that shows up in your Exhibit 4, 12 is not available as a reference sample? 12 your article, did USP Labs as part of the exercise 13 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Calls 13 that you were hired for ask you to take steps to 14 for speculation. 14 determine if DMAA was naturally produced by the 15 You can answer. 15 geranium plant? 16 THE WITNESS: Well, can you repeat the 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 17 question? 17 You can answer. BY MR. SCOTT: THE WITNESS: I don't understand. 18 18 19 Q Why do you think that a naturally 19 BY MR. SCOTT: 20 produced version of DMAA is not available to use as a 20 Q Sure. Let me ask it again. 21 reference sample? 21 You were approached by USP Labs and USP 22 A I don't know. 22 Labs asked you to test certain samples of geraniums Page 79 Page 81 1 But you just don't think it is? 1 to see if you could locate the existence of DMAA in 2 A I -- I don't know. 2 those samples based on the Intertek process and 3 Q You're not aware of it being -- anywhere 3 protocol, right? 4 that you can get a naturally occurring or naturally 4 A Yes. 5 produced version of DMAA to use as a reference 5 Q Did they also ask you as part of that 6 sample? 6 exercise to determine if that geranium -- DMAA, if 7 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 7 you found it in a geranium plant, if it was something 8 8 that the geranium plant naturally produced as part of You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, if it exists, I'm 9 9 its biological functions? 10 10 unaware of it. A No. 11 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 11 BY MR. SCOTT: 12 12 Q Now, in relation to the work that you did You can answer. on DMAA, did you do literature research regarding the THE WITNESS: No. 13 13 chemical studies that were relating to the chemical BY MR. SCOTT: 14 14 15 or anything else? 15 Q Did they ever ask you to do that, to --16 A What do you mean? 16 did USP Labs ever ask you to determine if DMAA was Q I mean did you do any literature release 17 naturally produced as part of the biological 17 18 search as part of your work for USP Labs? 18 functions of geranium plants? 19 A More than likely. 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 Q But you don't recall having done it 20 You can answer. 21 THE WITNESS: Are you referring to -- not 21 specifically? 22 A It was like five years ago. I mean I 22 that I can recall.

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Washington, D.C. Page 82 Page 84 BY MR. SCOTT: 1 1 A Yes. Q Okay. Let's turn if we could here -- I 2 2 Q Do you recall now who he was or who he 3 will show you another exhibit. 3 is? 4 Well, before we do that, let me ask you 4 A To an extent. 5 this: The samples that you worked on that you tested 5 Q And what is your recollection? 6 for USP Labs, where did you get them? 6 A He's the guy who sent the samples to the 7 A From --7 University of Memphis from China. 8 Q Now, had you ever dealt with Dr. Yi Jin 8 Q Well, we will get to the specifics, but I 9 mean, were they sent to you or did you go out and 9 before doing this project for USP Labs? 10 10 acquire them? A No. 11 A Some were sent via shipping services. I 11 Q Had you ever heard of him? 12 think a couple that we got for essentially practice 12 Α No. 13 were sourced locally in Memphis. Had you ever heard of Yunnan University? 13 0 14 Q So you got -- you went ahead and bought 14 Not that I can recall. 15 some geranium samples locally in Memphis so that you 15 O Now, when the samples were sent to you by 16 could practice using the Intertek procedure; is that 16 Dr. Yi Jin, had you contacted him to order those or 17 right? 17 were they facilitated being delivered to you by a USP 18 A Yes. 18 Labs person? 19 Q And then you were sent some by a shipping 19 A They were facilitated. 20 service from China? 20 Q By a USP Labs person? 21 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 21 By -- what was the last part? 22 22 You can answer. Q By UPS Labs personnel. Page 83 Page 85 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 1 A Yes. BY MR. SCOTT: 2 2 Q And do you know who for USP Labs was 3 Q And then did Intertek also send you some 3 coordinating with Dr. Yi Jin regarding getting these 4 samples that it had of geranium plants that it had 4 samples? 5 5 previously tested? A To the best of my knowledge, it was Erik 6 A Yes. 6 White. 7 Q Are you familiar with the name Yi Jin? 7 Q Okay. Now, the samples that you received 8 8 A How do you spell that? from Dr. Yi Jin, did you have any involvement in 9 9 Q Well, let me focus you a little bit here setting up any protocols for the identification and 10 since you are looking at Exhibit 4. 10 gathering of those samples? 11 If you would, look in Exhibit 4 on page 11 A No. But I don't think anybody else did 12 71 under "Acknowledgments." 12 either. 13 13 Q So what do you mean by you don't think A Okay. 14 Q Under "Acknowledgements," it says: "The 14 anybody else did either? 15 authors would like to acknowledge and thank Dr. Yi," 15 A The other researchers. 16 Y-I, "Jin," J-I-N, "of Yunnan University for 16 Q Well, do you know what happened with 17 overseeing the geranium sample collection and 17 those and how they got those samples? 18 shipment to the University of Memphis." 18 A No. 19 19 Do you see that? Q Well, in the context of yours, your 20 A I do. 20 samples that you got, Dr. Yi Jin didn't get any Q And does that refresh your recollection 21 instruction from you on how to select or prepare the 21 22 about Dr. Yi Jin? 22 samples to be shipped to you?

Page 86 Page 88 1 THE WITNESS: It says -- do you want me 1 A No. 2 2 Q Did all the information that you had to read it to you? 3 regarding the selection, preparation of the samples 3 BY MR. SCOTT: 4 4 Q Sure. that you were sent by Dr. Yi Jin come to you through 5 USP Labs? 5 A It says: "Samples were collected from 6 6 A Say that again. three regions in China, Changzhou, Guiyang, Kunming, 7 Q Sure. Did the information that you had, 7 during three different harvest seasons. The Chinese whatever it was, regarding the selection and 8 8 Academy received the geranium herbs as potted plants 9 preparation of your samples of geraniums from Dr. Yi 9 originally grown in the field. Multiple plants," 10 10 parentheses, "ranging from two to ten in number," Jin, did that information come to you through USP 11 Labs, Erik White? 11 closed parentheses, "were collected from each 12 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 12 location. The plants from each location were 13 13 combined prior to shipment to the University of You can answer. 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I mean I 14 Memphis. Therefore, concentrations of 1,3-DMAA and 15 clearly have written that they were authenticated 15 1,4-DMAA of individual plants and variations thereof 16 by -- I will try to pronounce the name -- Xu Youkai, 16 are not reported here." 17 but I don't recall how I got that information. It 17 And the samples were sent by Express could have been from Dr. Yi Jin. Mail, stored at minus 20 Celsius, and then I have the 18 18 19 BY MR. SCOTT: 19 dates that each one was collected. 20 Q Okay. Did you -- the gentleman that you 20 Q Is that the sum total of the information 21 just said authenticated these, did you deal with him 21 you have regarding the selection and preparation of 22 directly? 22 the samples that you tested? Page 87 Page 89 1 A No. 1 A Very likely. 2 Q So if that is the sum total, you did not Q And you don't -- and the information that 2 3 3 have any information regarding soil conditions, you got about him authenticating the plants came 4 either through Dr. Yi Jin or USP Labs? 4 correct? 5 5 A To the best of my knowledge. A No. 6 Q And what information were you given 6 Q Or growing conditions, correct? 7 regarding what that means, that he authenticated the 7 A What do you mean by growing conditions? 8 8 samples that you eventually tested? Q The climate it was grown in, the 9 9 A That they were in fact pelargonium circumstances where it was grown, anything like that. 10 10 graveolens samples and the regions they were A I have the seasons they were grown in. 11 11 Q Beyond the seasons, do you have any collected from. 12 Q Were you given any information regarding 12 information regarding the growing conditions 13 the growing conditions of the plants that were 13 associated with the plants that you tested? 14 sampled and provided to you to test for USP Labs? 14 15 A Just what's in my published paper. 15 Q Do you have any information regarding the 16 Q All right. And does your published paper 16 water, soil or fertilizers that may have been used to 17 17 include anything regarding growing conditions, grow these plants? 18 climatological conditions, geographic conditions, 18 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 19 19 THE WITNESS: No. soil conditions, anything like that regarding your 20 20 MR. SCOTT: All right. We've been going samples? 21 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 21 at this a while. Why don't we take a short break. 22 22 MS. WOOLSON: Okay. You can answer.

Washington, D.C. Page 90 Page 92 1 with them, but I understood that their production had (Recess.) 1 2 2 (Exhibit No. 6 was marked for been turned over to everybody. 3 identification.) 3 BY MR. SCOTT: 4 BY MR. SCOTT: 4 Q All right, sir. Exhibit 6 there, you 5 Q All right. We're back on the record 5 said that you've seen this before? 6 6 after a short break. A If I produced it, then, yes, I've 7 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 7 probably seen it before. 8 8 Q And Heather Fleming you said was one of still under oath. All right? 9 A I understand. 9 your grad students? 10 Q And, again, if my questions are unclear, 10 A Yes. 11 let me know. Okay? 11 Q And do you recall helping her prepare 12 A Okay. 12 this particular slide show? 13 Q And if you need to take a break at any 13 A I mean, not specifically. I'm pretty 14 point, please let us know. 14 sure I did. 15 A Okay. 15 Q All right. And why do you think you 16 Q All right, sir. Let me hand you a 16 helped her to prepare the Exhibit 6? 17 document. You've been given a document which has 17 A Because she at one point gave a seminar been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 6 in the Department of Chemistry related to this work. 18 18 19 to the deposition. It is a multi-page document 19 Q Relating to the DMAA work. 20 bearing -- running from pages 1 through 45. The 20 A Well, this -- yeah, and this -- this 21 first page is "Analysis of dimethylamylamine DMAA in 21 particular slide show. 22 Geranium Plants Using HPLC-MS/MS," Heather L. 22 Q Okay. So you think that she used this Page 93 Page 91 1 Fleming, Department of Chemistry University of 1 slide show in a seminar that she gave regarding your 2 Memphis. work on DMAA in the U of M chemistry department? 2 3 Have you seen this document before? 3 4 A I probably helped Heather put it 4 Q And so, therefore, you would have helped 5 5 her put it together? together. 6 MS. WOOLSON: Just let me note for the 6 A Yes. 7 record that this doesn't seem to have Bates-stamped 7 Q All right, sir. And do you recall when 8 8 numbers on it, so I don't know that it was part of that slide show -- when that seminar was, when she 9 9 the government's production or any production in this gave that? 10 10 A After April 2013. case. 11 MR. SCOTT: Well, actually it was part of 11 Q Were you there when she gave the 12 the production from the University of Memphis. 12 presentation based on the slide show? 13 Unfortunately, because of the form in which it was 13 A As best as I can recall. Q All right, sir. You can set that aside 14 produced, because it is a slide, the Bates numbers 14 15 did not convey with it. But it was produced by the 15 for the moment. 16 University of Memphis, and I understand you folks 16 Let me send you back to Exhibit 3, your 17 17 also have a copy of this from that production. report, page 41. 18 MS. WOOLSON: I'm not sure that is 18 A Okay. 19 correct, but I will accept that representation for 19 Q And still in paragraph 73, about five 20 purposes of today. 20 lines down there, there's a sentence that says: "The 21 MR. SCOTT: Well, if you don't have it 21 work at MAMML proceeded and the initial results 22 from the University of Memphis, you should take it up 22 determined two outcomes: One, there were matrix

Washington, D.C. Page 94 Page 96 effects present in the analysis; and, two, 1,3-DMAA 1 1 discovery and all that. 2 2 was present and detected in the Changzhou samples Q All right. So it's your position that 3 where the concentrations were below the detection 3 Dr. Khan and ElSohly found DMAA? 4 limit for the Guiyang and Kunming samples." 4 A Based on the documentation that they 5 Do you see that? 5 provided after I submitted the report. 6 6 A I do. Q All right, sir. And that's not in your 7 Q And that's information that you included 7 report? in this report yourself. You wrote that. 8 8 A That is not in my report. 9 A Yes. 9 Q So this is an opinion that's not in your 10 Q Now, what do you mean by there were 10 report? 11 matrix effects presented -- present in the analysis? 11 A I mean, I wrote my opinion based on the 12 A There were -- so based on the percent 12 documentation that I had at the time, and then new 13 recovery that we got from our preliminary extraction 13 documentation became available that was different 14 protocol that we outlined in the paper, Exhibit 4, we 14 than what had been presented to me before, and so... 15 found that the -- let me look at the sample analysis. 15 O Now, did the documentation that you saw 16 So the percent recoveries for those 16 pertaining to Dr. ElSohly and Dr. Khan's work, did 17 samples, the Changzhou, Kunming, and Guiyang, were 17 they write that up? Was that published? relatively low. Here they range from 19 to 44 18 18 A It was not published. 19 percent. And what we believed occurred was signal 19 Q So they did a study that they didn't 20 suppression in the electrospray ionization source of 20 publish anything about? 21 the tandem mass spectrometer. And basically due to 21 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 22 that, it lowered the signal from our 1,3- and 22 You can answer. Page 95 Page 97 1 1,4-DMAA analytes and basically the matrix effects. 1 THE WITNESS: No, it was part of their 2 2 Q When you say "matrix," what are you 2015 multi-center study, and one of their centers 3 3 talking about in the context of plant material? reportedly found 1,3-DMAA concentrations at a pretty 4 4 low level. And I think they further determined that A The -- so the matrix is everything in the 5 5 sample you are analyzing that is not your analyte. their analysis through their methods of confirmation 6 So if you've got your analyte, in our case it is 6 was less than their stated detection limits. So they 7 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA, the matrix is like literally 7 had a -- what's the word for it? -- a -- some 8 8 everything else in the sample itself. preliminary evidence that it may in fact have been in 9 9 Q Now, further down in exhibit -- in the sample. I reviewed the chromatograms, and it 10 10 looks like it could have been there. And to the best paragraph 73 of 41, it said: "Based on my review of 11 11 the literature time, I knew that this would be of my knowledge, they did no further work to try to 12 12 considered an outlier analysis." resolve whether that peak was there or not. 13 13 BY MR. SCOTT: Do you see that? 14 14 A Yes. Q Now, is it your understanding that there 15 Q What do you mean there by "outlier 15 is a chromatogram in some work associated with the 16 analysis"? 16 multi-lab work done by Drs. ElSohly and Khan that 17 A Well, in the context of this gestalt of 17 shows a peak that you think might be DMAA? 18 1,3-DMAA work published by myself and others, there 18 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 19 have been four reports of 1,3-DMAA present in sample. 19 You can answer. 20 20 THE WITNESS: It matches the retention The Fleming paper, the Li paper from Intertek, the 21 Ping paper, and Professor Khan and ElSohly's work as 21 time and the multiple reaction monitoring signal from 22 produced in the documentation for this deposition in 22 1,3-DMAA based on their admittedly poorly reproduced

Washington, D.C. Page 98 Page 100 chromatograms. 1 effort to generate a supplemental report adding this 1 2 BY MR. SCOTT: 2 analysis or any conclusions you reached from it to 3 Q And did they do any work associated with 3 your report or give us notice of what you were 4 that poorly reproduced chromatogram to determine --4 relying on in doing the analysis? 5 to determine whether or not what was shown there was 5 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. He's 6 6 DMAA? relying on documents that you produced. 7 A I don't know. 7 MR. SCOTT: I understand that. And the 8 8 Q Did they do any work to try and verify federal rules say if you are relying on documents, 9 any of the outcomes that were shown by the labs where 9 wherever you got them from, you are supposed to give 10 this work was done, including this one? 10 the other side notice that there is an opinion and 11 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 11 they are being -- documents are being relied on to 12 12 support it, which you haven't done. You can answer. 13 THE WITNESS: To the best of my 13 MS. WOOLSON: Well, I think you can rest 14 knowledge, they look to be discussed in their 14 assured it's coming. 15 e-mails. 15 MR. SCOTT: And we will depose him again. 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 MS. WOOLSON: And we raised the issue at 17 Q Did they do any work to test whether or 17 Dr. Khan's deposition. not that particular outcome was accurate to the MR. SCOTT: And we will depose him again 18 18 19 extent it showed there was DMAA? 19 once we get something. 20 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 MS. WOOLSON: And we will depose Dr. Khan 21 You can answer. 21 again. 22 22 THE WITNESS: They -- based on my BY MR. SCOTT: Page 101 Page 99 1 1 recollection of the e-mail, somebody had analyzed the Q So did anybody -- have you put any of 2 this in writing? 2 sample at approximately 2 nanograms per mil of 3 1,3-DMAA, and which was less than their stated 3 A No. No. 4 detection limit. And the signal-to-noise ratio on 4 Q Are there any other opinions that are not 5 that sample was approximately 38, which is generally 5 in your report that you would like to share with me? 6 well above the typical standard we use for method 6 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 7 detection limits of 3, assuming a signal-to-noise 7 You can answer. 8 8 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so. ratio of 3 for the method detection limit, and the 9 9 BY MR. SCOTT: limit of quantitation of signal-to-noise ratio of 10. 10 BY MR. SCOTT: 10 Q Have you done any work to answer the 11 11 question of whether a geranium plant produces DMAA as Q And when did you do this analysis? 12 12 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. a natural function of its biology? MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 13 13 You can answer. THE WITNESS: When I was presented with 14 14 You can answer. 15 the e-mails. 15 THE WITNESS: What do you mean? 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 17 Q I mean, have you done any scientific work Q And when was that? 18 A After my expert report was turned in. 18 to answer the question of whether or not a geranium 19 19 plant actually, through its natural biological Q How long after? 20 A I think I saw it like last week maybe. 20 processes, produces DMAA in any form, 1,3 or 1,4? Maybe -- yeah, last week, sometime last week. 21 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 21 22 Q All right, sir. Has there been any 22 You can answer.

Washington, D.C. Page 102 Page 104 THE WITNESS: No. 1 Q Any type of error, in what you've 1 2 2 BY MR. SCOTT: identified, whether it's what you say it is, the 3 Q Now, the DMAA that you report in your --3 concentration levels, anything. 4 4 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. the document that you published, Exhibit 4 to your 5 deposition, that DMAA was identified for you at what 5 You can answer. 6 level of concentration? What was the measure of 6 THE WITNESS: There is always error in 7 concentration that you found? 7 any analytical protocol that you undertake. The A Can you restate the question? 8 8 errors at 1 to 10 parts per billion are traditionally 9 Q Sure. Let me ask it a little more 9 much higher simply because the concentrations are lower. And you could see errors on a range as high 10 specifically, maybe it will help. The DMAA that you 10 11 report in your article, Exhibit 4, was found at parts 11 as 100 percent from one lab to another. And I would 12 per billion levels? 12 say that is not uncommon in this line of work. 13 A In the plant? 13 BY MR. SCOTT: 14 Yeah. 14 Q Okay. And when you say you can see 15 Yes. 15 errors from one lab to another as much as 16 Q Would you consider that to be a trace 16 100 percent, are you talking about errors in the 17 concentration of the chemical? 17 identification of chemicals or the quantification for chemicals or both? 18 18 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 19 A We're talking about the reported 20 BY MR. SCOTT: 20 concentration as determined by the lab. Multiple 21 Q And you are using the term "trace," so 21 22 22 the record is clear here, what do you mean? Q Now, in relation to your article, Page 103 Page 105 1 A Well, there is a variety of definitions 1 Exhibit 4, did you include any information regarding 2 for "trace." If I recall correctly, I think "trace" 2 potential error rates in the work that you had done? 3 refers to kind of the textbook definition as 1 to 3 A I don't understand your question as 4 100 -- 1 part per billion to, I think, 10 to 100 part 4 phrased. 5 per million. But as somebody who does work at the 5 Q Sure. Well let's do it this way: If 6 part per billion level routinely, working at a single 6 you would, turn in the document to Table 2. It's on 7 part per billion or 10 part per billion is very 7 page 65. 8 8 different than working at 10 part per million, which A All right. 9 9 is -- you know, 10 part per million is a thousand Q Now, you have there Table 2 which has 10 times higher in concentration. And so the way you go 10 some headings across the top with numbers flowing 11 about measuring concentrations at 10 part per million 11 below there. 12 can be very different than those at 10 part per 12 Do you see that? 13 13 billion. A I do. 14 Q Different in what general sense? 14 Q Analysis Set 1, Analysis Set 2 and 15 A They have to be more sensitive and more 15 Analysis Set 3, what were they? 16 selective. 16 A Those were the sets of analysis we did 17 Q Is there also, because they have to be 17 for each batch of samples that we reported. 18 more sensitive and selective looking at 10 parts per 18 Q These were the samples that USP Labs 19 billion, more possibility of there being some type of 19 supplied you from China or you got from Intertek? 20 error in the quantification and identification of 20 A These are the labs that I received 21 chemicals? 21 directly from China and from Intertek. 22 A What kind of error? 22 Q Did you pay anybody in China for

Washington, D.C. Page 106 Page 108 gathering and shipping the samples of geraniums to 1 "Check Standard UG/L" mean? 1 2 2 you for testing? A That's the check standard concentration 3 A No. 3 as -- and for 1,4-DMAA at concentrations of 3 4 4 micrograms per liter and 8 micrograms per liter, and Q Who did that, who paid? 5 A I don't know. 5 going down, and micrograms per liter is equivalent to 6 6 Q Do you know of anyone other than USP Labs a part per billion. 7 who might have been willing to pay them for this 7 Q And then "USEPA MDL UG/L," what does that 8 8 information represent? work? 9 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 9 A That is the method detection limit using 10 10 the USEPA, United States Environmental Protection You can answer. 11 THE WITNESS: I have no data regarding 11 Agency protocol for determination of the method 12 who paid for the shipment of those samples. 12 detection limit. 13 BY MR. SCOTT: 13 Q All right. So the method detection 14 Q So you have no data that would indicate 14 limit, is that the minimum level at which you can 15 someone other than USP Labs paid, correct? 15 detect the particular concentration? 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 A That is the lowest concentration 17 You can answer. 17 distinguishable from noise. THE WITNESS: Ask that question again. 18 Q All right. And so it appears that it 18 19 BY MR. SCOTT: 19 varies from analysis set to analysis set and in some 20 Q Sure. So you have no data to suggest 20 cases from sample to sample, correct, the MDL? 21 that someone other than USP Labs paid the folks in 21 A It changes from -- it changes based on 22 22 China to gather and send you the geranium samples any number of factors. The method detection limit Page 109 Page 107 1 that you tested, correct? 1 that you report for a given analysis should be done 2 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, 2 prior to that analysis, and we're talking about best 3 3 analytical practices. And if we talk about what misstates testimony. 4 4 amounts to broadly the philosophy of analytical You can answer. 5 THE WITNESS: What I know is the samples 5 chemistry, the method detection limit in any report 6 were shipped from China to the University of Memphis 6 is simply an estimate. It's kind of hard to tell 7 and from Intertek to the University of Memphis. I 7 what the true method detection limit is other than 8 don't know if USP Labs paid for it. I don't know if 8 when you run the instrument at that given time and 9 9 they were paid for by the people who shipped it. that given conditions, you determine the method 10 BY MR. SCOTT: 10 detection limit prior to the analysis of samples so 11 11 Q So you think the people who gathered the that you can provide a reporting of those 12 geranium samples may have paid themselves to gather 12 concentrations. 13 them and ship them to you? 13 And so in Table 2, for 1,4-DMAA we see MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 14 14 that our method detection limit ranges from 15 You can answer. 15 approximately 0.8 on what was likely a very good day 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I mean, 16 to 2.4 micrograms per liter at the high end. And the 17 17 we've collected samples and shipped them out fact that it ranges is not uncommon. 18 ourselves, so whether we paid ourselves or not is 18 Q Well, what are the factors that go into 19 19 the range being different over time? internal accounting. 20 BY MR. SCOTT: 20 A The environmental conditions the analysis 21 Q All right. So now in relation to 21 was performed under, so like temperature of the lab,

the response of the instrument itself, the -- well,

22

Table 2, what does the information under the heading

22

## Page 110

1 that's a big chunk of it.

- Q What does "mean percent recovery" mean?
- A That is the -- that's our estimate of
  accuracy of the method. And when you are within a
  factor of 2 to 5 of your method detection limit, that
- 6 mean percent recovery can range from approximately 50 to 150 percent and be considered normal.
- 8 Q And percentage RSD, what does that relate 9 to?
  - A That is our estimate of precision, and it's the percent relative to standard deviation as determined by the standard deviation of your seven measured check standards, the standard deviation of their concentration divided by the average concentration times 100 percent, and when you are within a factor of 2 to 5 of that method detection limit, the percent relative to standard deviation can be as high as 30 to 40 percent.
  - Q So does that mean that you have a -- the RSD, does that mean that there is an error rate potential in there or not?
    - A Yeah, when --

your method detection limit for the analysis, the error rates -- not the error rates, but the percent

relative to standard deviation on that check standard is going to increase.

Q All right. And the MDL factor, what is that?

A That is how close you are to the method detection limit. So you see we are -- so a good example of this is -- the thing we were just talking about, Analysis Set 2, line number 1 at 3 part per billion, our MDL factor is 1.5, which means that our method detection limit was within a factor of 1.5 of our check standard. And when your -- when your detection limit is that close to your check standard, the error rates are going to be very high.

But then as we look, let's call the line at the bottom of that, where Analysis Set 2, line 4, for 8 part per billion, you will see our MDL factor is 3.9, so about 4. So our method detection limit was 2.1, when we determined it on a check standard of 8.0, and you see that the percent RSD is substantially lower.

## Page 111

MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Restate the question, please.

BY MR. SCOTT:

Q Sure. RSD, does that relate in any way to potential error rates in your analysis?

A Yes

Q And how does it relate to potential errors?

A It provides you an estimate of the error on that day for the analysis itself.

Q So the analysis on the day -- for example, Analysis Set 2, the first sample there, that would be a 30 percent error rate?

A Yes. So when you are at concentrations of approximately 3 micrograms per liter as measured by the instrument, the error rate can range from -- as its written here, from 10 to 30 percent. If you are at a higher concentration, such as 8 micrograms per liter as what's right below it, the error rate is going to likely be lower. And as you get closer to

Page 113

Page 112

Q All right. And the equation of linear regression, what does that represent?

A That is the equation of the line for the calibration curve determined by linear regression that we use to -- that relates the analytical signal of the response of our tandem mass spectrometer, our HPLC tandem MS, to the concentration of the analyte standard. So on the x-axis we plot -- not plot. On the X-axis is concentration, on the Y-axis is signal, and we generate a line from a series of standards that we run.

Q All right, sir. And R2, R squared?

Q All right, sir.

A That is -- let me see. I want to be sure I use the right term. I believe the term "coefficient of variance," I think. Anyway, it's a measure of how well your line fits to the data that you have used to generate that line. So, ideally, if everything is perfect, that line is going to be 1, a 1.00 on a range of zero to 1. In this case, our R squareds were greater than 0.995 or higher, which is excellent.

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

aui Si	mone, Ph.D. Washing	ton, D.C	November 7, 20
	Page 114		Page 116
1	THE WITNESS: Can we take a break so I	1	first column there. The first paragraph, last
2	can use the restroom?	2	sentence or, actually, next to the last sentence
3	MR. SCOTT: Oh, certainly.	3	which says: "Finally, the diastereomers in ratios of
4	(Recess.)	4	1,3-DMAA in geranium plants from Changzhou are
5	BY MR. SCOTT:	5	similar to those in the synthetic standards. This
6	Q All right. We're back on the record	6	indicates that 1,3-DMAA could be a natural product
7	after a short break.	7	extract fulfilling the requirement of the Dietary
8	Dr. Simone, I will remind you that you	8	Supplement Health and Education Act."
9	are still under oath.	9	Do you see that?
10	A Understood.	10	A I do.
11	Q And again, if my questions are unclear,	11	Q Was that your opinion at the time?
12	let me know. And again, if you need to take a break,	12	A Yes.
13	we will try to accommodate you.	13	Q Has your opinion changed?
14	A Okay.	14	A No.
15	Q In relation to your work as an expert in	15	Q Are you in a position where you can say
16	this matter, are you offering an opinion that you	16	to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty today
17	have a scientific basis to conclude that the geranium	17	that in fact geraniums produce 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA
18	plant as a natural function of its biology produces	18	naturally?
19	1,3- or 1,4-DMAA?	19	A Yes.
20	MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.	20	Q And that's based on finding it in the
21	You can answer.	21	samples you tested for USP Labs?
22	THE WITNESS: I'm offering my expert	22	A Yes.
	Page 115		Page 117
1	witness report and I guess testimony that I was I	1	Q Anything else that you are basing that
2	had sets of plants, I analyzed them at a sufficient	2	statement on?
3	degree of analytical rigor. Those plants were	3	A Does that include materials that I've
4	determined to have 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA in them as	4	provided in the discovery process?
5	outlined in the paper that I published. And that's	5	Q I have no idea what you're talking about.
6	my statement, that 1,3-DMAA is naturally occurring in	6	Do you have any basis, other than the work that you
7	those plants.	7	did for USP Labs as reported in Exhibit 4, for your
8	BY MR. SCOTT:	8	opinion that you just articulated that DMAA is a
9	Q All right. And what part of your study	9	naturally occurring product of geranium plants?
10	directed itself as determining how those particular	10	A Based on the work that I did for USP Labs
11	samples obtained or got in the DMAA that you found in	11	and the published paper, that's my basis.
12	them?	12	Q Any work that you are relying on, other
13	Strike that. Let me ask it a different	13	than what's described in the published paper that you
14	way.	14	did for USP Labs, for your position that the geranium
	0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15	plant naturally produces DMAA?
15	So the basis for your saying that DMAA		
	naturally occurs as a geranium plant is the testing	16	MS. WOOLSON: I assume you are including
15			MS. WOOLSON: I assume you are including in that his expert report?
15 16	naturally occurs as a geranium plant is the testing	16	
15 16 17	naturally occurs as a geranium plant is the testing you did of the samples for USP Labs that is reported	16 17	in that his expert report?
15 16 17 18	naturally occurs as a geranium plant is the testing you did of the samples for USP Labs that is reported in Exhibit 4?	16 17 18	in that his expert report?  MR. SCOTT: I don't think he did that for USP Labs.
15 16 17 18	naturally occurs as a geranium plant is the testing you did of the samples for USP Labs that is reported in Exhibit 4?  A Yes.	16 17 18 19	in that his expert report?  MR. SCOTT: I don't think he did that for

Washington, D.C. Page 118 Page 120 1 MS. WOOLSON: And you're asking if it's 1,3-DMAA in a Home Depot geranium plant. 1 2 limited to just Exhibit 4, his article; is that 2 Q Was that the graveolens geranium plant? 3 correct? 3 A I believe so, yeah. MR. SCOTT: No, I'm not. And if you have 4 Q And does that paper that is yet to be 4 5 an objection, make it. I'm not going to debate the 5 published regarding that Home Depot geranium, does 6 questions with you. 6 that article explain why you think that is a 7 MS. WOOLSON: I'm not asking you to 7 naturally occurring portion of the geranium? 8 debate the question. 8 A I don't believe it does, other than its 9 MR. SCOTT: Actually you are. 9 presence in the geranium plant. 10 MS. WOOLSON: I'm asking you to verify 10 Q All right. Have you done any work to 11 the question. 11 determine whether or not there is a biological 12 12 pathway by which a geranium plant can make DMAA? MR. SCOTT: You are. 13 MS. WOOLSON: No. I think you are trying 13 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked 14 to mislead the witness. 14 and answered four times. 15 MR. SCOTT: No, actually, I'm not, but 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 you're obviously trying to coach the witness. 16 (Exhibit No. 7 was marked for 17 MS. WOOLSON: No. 17 identification.) BY MR. SCOTT: MR. SCOTT: Oh, really. 18 18 19 19 BY MR. SCOTT: Q All right, sir. You have in front of you 20 2.0 Q Doctor, for your opinion that the what has been marked for identification purposes as 21 geranium plants naturally produce 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA, 21 Exhibit 7 to your deposition. It's a one-page 22 22 document bearing identification numbers are you relying upon any scientific evidence other Page 119 Page 121 1 than the testing that you did for USP Labs that's 1 UMPS-HT-002361. It appears to be a letter dated 2 2 August 8, 2012, to a Professor Thevis, T-H-E-V-I-S, described in your article, Exhibit 4? 3 3 A Can you repeat the question one back from you. 4 4 Do you see that? time? 5 5 MR. SCOTT: Read it back, please. A I see it. 6 6 Q Is this a letter that you prepared and THE WITNESS: What? 7 MR. SCOTT: She will read it back. 7 signed? 8 8 MS. WOOLSON: He's asking the court A Say that again. 9 9 Q Is this a letter that you prepared and 10 (Whereupon, the requested record was 10 signed? 11 11 A Yes. 12 THE WITNESS: I am relying on the testing 12 Q Now, who is Professor Thevis? 13 that I did for USP Labs. 13 A Based on the letter, I assume he is an 14 BY MR. SCOTT: 14 editor at Drug Testing and Analysis. 15 Q Anything else? 15 Q Is that one of the periodicals that you 16 A Not that I can recall. 16 submitted your article to for potential publication? 17 17 Q Are you relying on any testing you did A I ultimately did not submit this paper to 18 for USP Labs other than what is described -- the 18 Drug Testing and Analysis. Q And why was that? 19 19 testing that was done and described in Exhibit 4? 20 20 A I believe there was a conversation where A We had a paper we submitted that was for 21 21 Erik White and I were discussing where to submit the peer review in addition for chiral separation that 22 has yet to be published that showed there was 22 journal, and we agreed upon Analytical Chemistry and

Page 122 Page 124 1 1 paper as I summarized, measured the diastereomer Science. 2 2 Q Did you submit it to any periodicals that ratios of two standards, Sigma-Aldrich and ChromaDex, 3 did not accept it? 3 along with the dietary supplements, and what he found A No. 4 was that the supplements had identical ratios to 4 5 Q Now, the letter dated August 8, 2012, in 5 those standards. 6 6 the third paragraph there, it says, talking about And then based on Zhang's work, we took 7 your article: "To the best of our knowledge, this is 7 it upon ourselves to measure the diastereomer ratios 8 the first report providing confirmation of 1,3-DMAA 8 of our plants and our standards as well, which we 9 in geranium plants using standard addition analysis 9 did. And what we found was that the ratios ranged. and in a laboratory sample analysis between labs, 10 10 They were similar to the synthetic standards 11 which both quantify 1,3-DMAA in an identical geranium 11 presented here, as well as to the standards and 12 plant sample." 12 supplements analyzed by Zhang. 13 Do you see that? 13 Q Okay. So the standard -- the ratios of 14 A Yes. 14 the diastereomers that you found within the samples 15 Q You are referring to testing that 15 that you tested were identical to the ratios that you 16 Intertek did? 16 saw in the standards that you got which were 17 A For the -- for what? 17 synthetic, correct? Q For the other lab. 18 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 18 19 A Yes. 19 You can answer. THE WITNESS: Say that again. 2.0 Q And it says: "However, we believe 20 21 there's a regional effect on the presence of the 21 BY MR. SCOTT: 22 22 1,3-DMAA species in geranium and is detailed in the Q Sure. The ratios of the diastereomers Page 125 Page 123 1 manuscript." 1 that you saw were equivalent to the ratios that you 2 2 And you see that? saw in the standard which was synthetic? 3 3 A Yes. MS. WOOLSON: Same objection. Form. 4 Q "Additionally, this manuscript contains 4 You can answer. 5 the first report of a comparison of the diastereomer 5 BY MR. SCOTT: 6 ratios of the 1,3 species confirmed to be present in 6 O Right? 7 the geranium plant to synthetic standards. The 7 A Are you -- you're referring to the plants 8 diastereomer ratio of the 1,3-DMAA in the plant and 8 in the standards that we --9 synthetic standards were of similar magnitude." 9 Q Yeah. 10 10 Do you see that? A Okay. You didn't mention plant, so I'm 11 A I do. 11 just trying to be precise. 12 And what does that mean? 12 So, yes, we measured the diastereomer 13 A It means that -- so 1,3-DMAA exists as 13 ratios of the plants, we found that they varied. And four stereoisomers, and within those four 14 14 we measured them in the standards, and they were 1.5 stereoisomers there are two pairs of enantiomers, and 15 similar. 16 then those two pairs of enantiomers are 16 Q Okay. 17 diastereomers. And because they are diastereomers, 17 A Some overlapped and some did not. 18 they have different physical and chemical properties 18 Q I mean normally in plants, would you 19 compared to the enantiomers. So you get two peaks 19 expect there to be a difference in the diastereomers? 20 when you separate 1,3-DMAA on a standard non-chiral 20 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 21 21 chromatography column. You can answer. 22 And Zhang in his paper, as detailed in my 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah, probably.

Washington, D.C. Page 126 Page 128 BY MR. SCOTT: natural extract of geranium plants, and thus, has 1 1 2 2 Q How often have you in looking at plants important ramifications for commercial interest with 3 found the ratio to have different -- a ratio of 3 respect to the regulations and the Food and Drug 4 4 diastereomers to have something other than a constant Administration." 5 5 Do you see that? ratio? 6 6 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. A What exhibit are we on? 7 7 O We are on Exhibit 7, the last sentence. You can answer. A Okay. Okay. (Perusing document.) 8 THE WITNESS: Well, in the plants we 8 9 measured here, they're not all the same. 9 Okay. 10 BY MR. SCOTT: 10 Q Was that your position and opinion at the 11 Q Have you found that in any other plant 11 time? 12 12 that you ever measured? A I wrote it, yes. 13 A Not that I have directly measured. 13 Q All right, sir. So based on the work 14 Q Are you aware of any other plants where 14 that you did for USP Labs as reported in Exhibit 4, 15 the ratio differs in the plant material? 15 your position was because you found DMAA in some 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 samples of geraniums, it could possibly be that they 17 You can answer. 17 were producing it naturally, the geranium plants? THE WITNESS: I'm going to say no, but I 18 18 A Yes. 19 19 find your question to be oddly worded. Q Did you ever get past the point where 20 20 BY MR. SCOTT: your opinion was, based on finding DMAA in some 21 Q Well, I mean from the standpoint of if 21 samples, it was possible that it could be naturally 22 you've got a chiral profile of the four peaks, is the 22 occurring, part of the geranium plant, the DMAA? Page 127 Page 129 1 plant generally going to have a standard looking 1 A I don't understand your question. 2 profile where the ratio -- they're all pretty much 2 Q Well, did your opinion ever get beyond 3 3 the possibility to a point where you could say that the same, the chiral peaks. 4 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 4 based on your evidence, to a reasonable degree of 5 5 scientific evidence, you believe that the geranium You can answer. 6 THE WITNESS: To the best of my 6 plants were producing DMAA as a natural part of their 7 knowledge, nobody else has measured four peaks of 7 biological function? 8 8 1,3-DMAA in the plant. A Yes. 9 9 BY MR. SCOTT: Q And what did you base that on? 10 10 A Some -- I guess our further work and Q I'm not talking about DMAA. I'm talking 11 11 analysis that we did as part of the USP Labs generally now. 12 In plants, are you going to expect 12 contracts. 13 Q What further work and analysis did you do normally to see the chiral profile to be of a 13 for USP Labs that caused you to go from DMAA could 14 standard as opposed to having different ratios of the 14 15 chiral peaks that you see? 15 possibly be a natural product from geranium plants to 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 saying it actually -- yes, you believe to a 17 17 reasonable degree of scientific certainty that it is? You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 18 A Our work with the chiral derivatization 19 19 and detection of 1,3-DMAA in additional plants. BY MR. SCOTT: 20 Q All right, sir. Back to the exhibit. 20 Q And did that tell you how the plant got 21 It goes on to say here: "This result 21 that DMAA? 22 indicates that 1,3-DMAA could potentially be a 22 A No.

1 Q Whether it was by natural or some type of contamination or something along that line? 2 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 4 You can answer. 5 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 6 Q Sure. 9 Did the additional work that you did regarding the chiral platform where you identified some DMAA in another geranium, did that tell you whether it got there naturally or by some other means? 14 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 15 You can answer. 16 THE WITNESS: It was there. 17 BY MR. SCOTT: 18 Q But it didn't tell you how it got there? 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 You can answer. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 BY MR. SCOTT: 23 THE WITNESS: No. 24 DAnd it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the granium plant could make DMAA, did it? 24 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times. 25 THE WITNESS: No. 26 A Mill do. 27 A Will do. 28 A Will do. 29 And it you a document which has know. 29 A Okay. 20 A Okay. 21 THE WITNESS: It was there. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 BY MR. SCOTT: 23 THE WITNESS: No. 24 Day our recognize that as an e-mail going a biological mechanism by which the granium plant could make DMAA, did it? 36 Diological mechanism by which the granium plant could make DMAA, did it? 37 You can answer. 38 THE WITNESS: No. 39 MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 11 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there. 39 CAIL right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of the last page of the exhibit, and the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of the last pa		wasning	ton, D.	C.
2 contamination or something along that line? 3 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 4 You can answer. 5 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the 6 question? 7 BY MR. SCOTT: 8 Q Sure. 9 Did the additional work that you did 10 regarding the chiral platform where you identified 11 some DMAA in another geranium, did that tell you 12 whether it got there naturally or by some other 13 means? 14 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 15 You can answer. 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 18 Q But it didn't tell you how it got there? 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 You can answer. 31 And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, 15 was there. 41 WILLIAM AND COLSON: Objection to form. 42 yourself that you're - you know, that this is a series of e-mails between you and Erik at USP Labs, 16 was an e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs? 4 A (Perusing document.) 5 A (Perusing document.) 6 Q Do you recognize that as an e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs? 6 A I don't remember writing the e-mail, but  Page 131 1 Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the e-that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 5 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and an answered six times. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 9 MR. SCOTT: 7 You can answer. 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we broke for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 11 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there. 12 Labs, 11 trafts the white? 13 (Lunch recess.) 14 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.) 15 germination numbers UMPS-HT-005617 through 56 to be something I wrote, yes. 16 The will have a couple of questions. 17 You can answer. 18 Page 131 19 Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the geranium plant to the last page of Exhibit 8. 19 Dr. Simone, a will right. We're back on the record after a lunch break. 19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are still under oath. All right? 20 Droyu see that? 21 Droy ou see th		Page 130		Page 132
2 contamination or something along that line? 3 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 4 You can answer. 5 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? 6 Q Sure. 9 Did the additional work that you did regarding the chiral platform where you identified 11 some DMAA in another geranium, did that tell you 12 whether it got there naturally or by some other means? 13 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 14 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 15 You can answer. 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 18 Q But it didn't tell you how it got there? 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 You can answer. 31 And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, 15 and then after you've done that, let me know, and I will have a couple of questions. 32 A Will do. 3 A Okay. 4 Know. 4 know. 5 So let me hand you a document which has been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 8 to your deposition. 4 It's a multi-page document bearing been means? 5 THE WITNESS: It was there. 5 A Okay. 6 Os let me hand you a document which has to your deposition. 6 It's a multi-page document bearing been means? 7 You can answer. 7 Wou fam any better the page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, 10 Paul Simone, dated July Ist, 2012. 8 And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, 10 Paul Simone, dated July Ist, 2012. 9 And it didn't tell you how it got there? 10 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 11 You can answer. 12 Q Do you recognize that as an e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs? 13 Looks to be something I wrote, yes. 14 Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 15 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times. 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times. 17 You can answer. 18 Page 131 19 Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 19 Dr. Garmen And Island Page	1	O Whether it was by natural or some type of	1	questions, let me know. All right?
MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? BY MR. SCOTT: Q Sure. Did the additional work that you did regarding the chiral platform where you identified some DMAA in another geranium, did that tell you whether it got there naturally or by some other means? MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: It was there. BY MR. SCOTT: BY MS. SCOTT: BY MR. SCOTT: BY MS. SCOTT: BY MS. SCOTT: BY MS. SCOTT: BY MS. SCOTT: BY MR. SCOTT: BY MS. SCOTT: BY MS. SCOTT: BY MR. SCOTT: BY	2		2	
4 Now.  THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?  BY MR. SCOTT:  BY MR. SCOTT:  Did the additional work that you did regarding the chiral platform where you identified some DMAA in another geranium, did that tell you whether it got there naturally or by some other amounts.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: It was there.  BY MR. SCOTT:  BY MR. SCOTT:  BY MR. SCOTT:  Add the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, and then after you're done that, let me know, and I will have a couple of questions.  THE WITNESS: It was there.  BY MR. SCOTT:  BY MR. SCOTT:  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which thethat work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the granium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  Wool can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the granium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MR. SCOTT:  WR. SCOTT:  WR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  MR. SCOTT:  WR. SCOTT:  WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  WR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  D A Okay.  Q So let me hand you a document which has to your deposition.  It would be madertally and familiarize yourself that you're - you know, that this is a series of e-mails between you and Erik at USP Labs. It sat the series of e-mails between you and Erik at USP Labs. It sharts over on the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about half'way down there is a sentence that says: "Independ	3		3	O And if you need to take a break, let us
THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? BY MR. SCOTT: Q Sure. Did the additional work that you did regarding the chiral platform where you identified some DMAA in another geranium, did that tell you whether it got there naturally or by some other means? And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, And the after you've done that, let me know, and I will have a couple of questions. A (Perusing document.) Okay. Q Do you recognize that as an e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs. A I don't remember writing the e-mail, but  Firm it looks to be something I wrote, yes. Q All right, Sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8. A Okay. Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page Would that be Erik White? A Yes.  It	4	-	4	
6 question? 7 BY MR, SCOTT: 8 Q Sure. 9 Did the additional work that you did regarding the chiral platform where you identified some DMAA in another geranium, did that tell you whether it got there naturally or by some other means? 14 MS, WOOLSON: Objection to form. 15 You can answer. 16 THE WITNESS: It was there. 17 Q But it didn't tell you how it got there? 18 MS, WOOLSON: Objection to form. 29 You can answer. 20 You can answer. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 BY MR, SCOTT: 23 mechanism by which the — that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 24 mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 25 MS, WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times. 26 THE WITNESS: No. 27 You can answer. 28 THE WITNESS: No. 29 Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8. 30 And it didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 31 THE WITNESS: No. 32 MS, WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times. 33 A (Aosy. 34 A Osay. 35 Q And it didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 46 A Osay. 47 You can answer. 48 THE WITNESS: No. 49 MR, SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Lef's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there. 48 THE WITNESS: No. 49 Q All right, Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about half-way down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the regional or artifact of a laboratory bias for all involved in the analysis." 40 Do you see that? 41 Unuch recess. 42 Do you see that?			5	
BY MR. SCOTT:  8	6	· · ·	6	
By MR. SCOTT:  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the e-that work didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the e-that work didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the e-that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  A Cokay.  Q And the first page is an e-mail from Erik, USP Labs, and then after you've done that, let me know, and I will have a couple of questions.  A (Perusing document.)  Okay.  Q Do you recognize that as an e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs?  A I don't remember writing the e-mail, but  Page 131  Page 131  Page 131  Page 13  Page 14  Page 13  Page 13  Page 14  Page 14  Page 15  Page 15  Page 16  Page 16  Page 17  Page 18  Page 18  Page 18  Page 18  Page 18  Page 18  Page 19  P		-	7	
Did the additional work that you did regarding the chiral platform where you identified some DMAA in another geranium, did that tell you whether it got there naturally or by some other means?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. You can answer.  BY MR. SCOTT:  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the – that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. Woolson: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. Woolson: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. Woolson: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. Woolson: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. Woolson: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. Woolson: Objection to form. Asked and then after pour kedwere yeau and Erik at USP Labs.  MR. Woolson: Objection to form.  A Okay.  Q All right, six. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.			8	
regarding the chiral platform where you identified some DMAA in another geranium, did that tell you whether it got there naturally or by some other means?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: It was there.  BY MR. SCOTT:  BY MR. SCOTT:  THE WITNESS: No.  THE WITNESS: No.  And then after you've done that, let me know, and I will have a couple of questions.  A (Perusing document.)  Okay.  Q Do you recognize that as an e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs?  A I don't remember writing the e-mail, but  Page 131  Page 134  Page 134  Page 134  Page 135  Page 134  Page 136  Page 136  Page 136  Page 137  Page 137  Page 138  Page 139  Page 139  Page 139  Page 139  Page 139  Page 139  Page 130  Page 130  Page 130  Page 130  Page 131  Page 130  Page 130  Page 130  Page 131  Page 132  Page 134  Page 134  Page 134  Page 134  Page 134  Page 136		`	9	
whether it got there naturally or by some other means? MS, WOOLSON: Objection to form. SYou can answer. BY MR, SCOTT:  Page 131  Q And it didn't tell you how it got there? BY MR, SCOTT:  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the — that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS, WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by Shich the — that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS, WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR, SCOTT:  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MR, SCOTT: All right, Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around licentify a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  Let's shoot for coming back around licentify in little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  Let's shoot for coming back around licentify in little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  A Let's shoot for coming back around licentify in little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  Let's shoot for coming back around licentify in little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  A Let's shoot for coming back around licentify in little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  A Let's shoot for coming back around licentify in little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  A Let's for all right. We're back on the record life identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  A lon't remember writing the e-mail, but  BY MR. SCOTT:  A lon't remember writing the series of e-mails between you and Erik at USP Labs.  A loavy.  A loavy.  A loavy.  A loavit remember writing the e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs.  A Neav.  A Nea		-		
means?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  You can answer.  BY MR. SCOTT:  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the —that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and an answere.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and an answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and an answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and an answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and an answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and an answered six times.  A Okay.  Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  BY MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around in identification.)  Each and then after you've done that, let me know, and I will have a couple of questions.  A (Perusing document.)  By And then after you've done that, let me know, and I will have a couple of questions.  A (Perusing document.)  A (Perusing document.)  A (Perusing document.)  A (Perusing document				_
means?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  Syou can answer.  Q But it didn't tell you how it got there?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a series of enails between you and Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a series of the world where geraniums may grow and the geranium plants grown at the Uni				
MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. You can answer.  15 You can answer. 16 THE WITNESS: It was there. 17 BY MR. SCOTT: 18 Q But it didn't tell you how it got there? 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 You can answer. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 BY MR. SCOTT: 22 BY MR. SCOTT: 23 David it didn't identify any biological 24 mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 3 biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 4 could make DMAA, did it? 5 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times. 7 You can answer. 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there. 13 (Lunch recess.) 14 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.) 15 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break. 18 OF SINGLE AND				
15 You can answer. 16 THE WITNESS: It was there. 17 BY MR. SCOTT: 18 Q But it didn't tell you how it got there? 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 You can answer. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 BY MR. SCOTT: 22 BY MR. SCOTT: 23 DQ And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 25 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times. 26 A Okay. 27 You can answer. 28 THE WITNESS: No. 29 MR. SCOTT: 20 Q All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there. 28 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.) 29 Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break. 20 G Do you recognize that as an e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs, and then after you've done that, let me know, and I will have a couple of questions. 4 A (Perusing document.)  A (Perusing document.				
THE WITNESS: It was there.  16 and then after you've done that, let me know, and I will have a couple of questions.  17 Will have a couple of questions.  18 A (Perusing document.)  19 Okay.  20 You can answer.  21 THE WITNESS: No.  22 BY MR. SCOTT:  22 BY MR. SCOTT:  23 Do you recognize that as an e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs?  24 Labs?  25 Day and it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  4 COULD MAR DMAA, did it?  5 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  7 You can answer.  7 You can answer.  8 THE WITNESS: No.  9 MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around lakes to get there.  10 (Lunch recess.)  11 (Lunch recess.)  12 (Lunch recess.)  13 (Lunch recess.)  14 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)  15 DY MR. SCOTT:  16 BY MR. SCOTT:  17 Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  18 OR AND		· ·		
17 BY MR. SCOTT: 18 Q But it didn't tell you how it got there? 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 You can answer. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 BY MR. SCOTT: 22 BY MR. SCOTT: 23 Page 131 24 Q And it didn't identify any biological 25 mechanism by which the — that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it? 26 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times. 27 You can answer. 28 THE WITNESS: No. 29 Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8. 4 A Okay. 5 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times. 6 and answered six times. 7 You can answer. 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there. 13 (Lunch recess.) 14 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.) 15 GY MR. SCOTT: 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break. 19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are still under oath. All right? 20 Will have a couple of questions. A (Perusing document.) 0 Ak and forth between you and Erk at USP Labs?  17 I'm - it looks to be something I wrote, yes. 0 A I don't remember writing the e-mail, but 18 the last page of Exhibit. 19 A Yes. 0 All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis				
Q But it didn't tell you how it got there?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.  You can answer.  BY MR. SCOTT:  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the — that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we  break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around to break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around to identification.)  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we  CExhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT: BY MR. SCOTT:  A A Clay.  A Clay.  Q Do you recognize that as an e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs?  I I'm — it looks to be something I wrote, yes.  Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Page 131  Page 13  I'm — it looks to be something I wrote, yes.  Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q All right, world, would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of geranium plants grown at the University of parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the geranium plants grown at the University of Missispipi used by ElSohly to determine whether the effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory bias for all involved in the analysis."  Do you see that?				-
MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MR. SCOTT: 10  A O And it elast page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  A Okay.  A I'm it looks to be something I wrote, yes.  Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  A Yes.  A I'm it looks to be something I wrote, yes.  A A Okay.  A Oka				
You can answer.  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around lite takes to get there.  (Lunch recess.)  (Lunch recess.)  (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identify including in the identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are still under oath. All right?  Do you see that?  A I don't remember writing the e-mail going back and forth between you and Erik at USP Labs?  A I don't remember writing the e-mail, but  Page 13  I'm it looks to be something I wrote, yes.  Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of the paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  BY MR. SCOTT:  O All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are still under oath. All right?  Do you see that?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 BY MR. SCOTT:  Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we  break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 1 2 takes to get there.  (Lunch recess.)  (Lunch recess.)  (Lunch recess.)  MY. SCOTT:  BY MR. SCOTT:  BY MR. SCOTT:  BY MR. SCOTT:  BY MR. SCOTT:  CALUAR recess.)  A I don't remember writing the e-mail, but  Page 13  Page 13  I'm it looks to be something I wrote, yes.  Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the e-mail, but  The wrote, yes.  Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the e-mail, but the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  A Yes.  J Page 13  Call right, viir If you would, look on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  A Yes Harden the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Er		-		-
Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 11 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 12 takes to get there.  (Lunch recess.)  (Lunch recess.)  A I don't remember writing the e-mail, but Page 13  Page 13  Page 13  Page 13  I'm it looks to be something I wrote, yes.  Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  Page 13  L'm it looks to be something I wrote, yes.  Q All right, sir. If you would, lork on the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of geranium plants grown at the University of Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory bias for all involved in the analysis."  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are still under oath. All right?				
Page 131  Q And it didn't identify any biological mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around takes to get there.  (Lunch recess.)  (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are still under oath. All right?  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are still under oath. All right?  A Okay.  Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of geranium plants grown at the University of Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory bias for all involved in the analysis."				
1 Q And it didn't identify any biological 2 mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a 3 biological mechanism by which the geranium plant 4 could make DMAA, did it? 5 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked 6 and answered six times. 7 You can answer. 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we 10 break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 11 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 12 takes to get there. 13 (Lunch recess.) 14 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.) 15 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 Q All right. We're back on the record 18 after a lunch break. 19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 20 still under oath. All right?  1 I'm it looks to be something I wrote, yes. Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8. A Okay.  9 Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  8 A Yes. 9 Q All right. Now, if you would, look on 10 the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third 11 paragraph down, about halfway down there is a 12 sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory 13 analysis should be undertaken with samples from the 14 regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of 15 geranium plants grown at the University of 16 Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the 18 after a lunch break. 19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 20 still under oath. All right? 20 Do you see that?	22	BY MR. SCOTT:	22	A I don't remember writing the e-mail, but
mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 12 takes to get there.  (Lunch recess.)  (Lunch recess.)  (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  PY MR. SCOTT: All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 20 still under oath. All right?  2 Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to the last page of Exhibit 8.  A Okay.  A Okay.  A Okay.  A Okay.  A Ves.  Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and ot geranium plants grown at the University of Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the after a lunch break.  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 20 by you see that?		Page 131		Page 133
biological mechanism by which the geranium plant could make DMAA, did it?  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 11 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 12 takes to get there.  (Lunch recess.) (Lunch recess.)  (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  PY MR. SCOTT:  PY MR. SCOTT:  MR. SCOT	1	Q And it didn't identify any biological	1	I'm it looks to be something I wrote, yes.
4 Could make DMAA, did it? 5 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked 6 and answered six times. 7 You can answer. 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we 10 break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 11 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 12 takes to get there. 13 (Lunch recess.) 14 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.) 15 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 Q All right. We're back on the record 18 after a lunch break. 19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 20 still under oath. All right?  4 A Okay. 5 Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White? 4 A Okay. 5 Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the page. Would that be Erik White? 4 A Okay. 6 Q And the e-mail that's on that page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the page again is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  9 Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of the page again  14 Figure 1 and 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it any analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to cont	2	mechanism by which the that work didn't identify a	2	Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to
MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and and answered six times.  MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked and six directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on the prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)  MELECONTY IN THE WOOL AND THE PROPERTY OF A WOULD AND THE PROPERTY OF A WOUL	3	biological mechanism by which the geranium plant	3	the last page of Exhibit 8.
and answered six times.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  (Lunch recess.)  (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.    12   sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  Do you see that?	4	could make DMAA, did it?	4	A Okay.
THE WITNESS: No.  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around till 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  (Lunch recess.) (Lunch recess.)  (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. Why don't we  The prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are  still under oath. All right?  The prior page. Would that be Erik White?  A Yes.  Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of geranium plants grown at the University of Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the after a lunch break.  BY MR. SCOTT:  Do you see that?	5	MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked	5	Q And the e-mail that's on that page again
THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around to break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around to little after maybe, depending on how long it takes to get there.  (Lunch recess.) (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for dentification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are still under oath. All right?  8 A Yes.  9 Q All right. Now, if you would, look on the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the geranium plants grown at the University of Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory bias for all involved in the analysis."  Do you see that?	6	and answered six times.	6	is directed to Erik at USP Labs. It starts over on
9 MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we 10 break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 11 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 12 takes to get there. 13 (Lunch recess.) 14 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for 15 identification.) 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 Q All right. We're back on the record 18 after a lunch break. 19 Q All right. Now, if you would, look on 10 the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third 11 paragraph down, about halfway down there is a 12 sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory 13 analysis should be undertaken with samples from the 14 regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of 15 parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the 16 geranium plants grown at the University of 17 Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the 18 after a lunch break. 18 effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory 19 bias for all involved in the analysis." 20 Do you see that?	7	You can answer.	7	the prior page. Would that be Erik White?
break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 1:20, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 1:21 takes to get there. 1:22 takes to get there. 1:33 (Lunch recess.) 1:44 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for 1:55 identification.) 1:56 BY MR. SCOTT: 1:76 Q All right. We're back on the record 1:86 after a lunch break. 1:77 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 1:87 the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third 1:98 paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory 1:99 analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of the parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the geranium plants grown at the University of the parts of the world where geraniums whether the after a lunch break. 1:80 the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, and the last page of the exhibit. In the last page of t	8	THE WITNESS: No.	8	A Yes.
break for lunch. Let's shoot for coming back around 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 1:20, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 1:21 takes to get there. 1:22 takes to get there. 1:33 (Lunch recess.) 1:44 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for 1:55 identification.) 1:56 BY MR. SCOTT: 1:76 Q All right. We're back on the record 1:86 after a lunch break. 1:77 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 1:87 the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third 1:98 paragraph down, about halfway down there is a sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory 1:99 analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of the parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the geranium plants grown at the University of the parts of the world where geraniums whether the after a lunch break. 1:80 the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third the last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, Exhibit 8, the third last page of the exhibit, and the last page of the exhibit. In the last page of t	9	MR. SCOTT: All right. Why don't we	9	Q All right. Now, if you would, look on
11 1:00, a little after maybe, depending on how long it 12 takes to get there. 13 (Lunch recess.) 14 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.) 15 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 Q All right. We're back on the record 18 after a lunch break. 19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 20 still under oath. All right? 21 sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the geranium plants grown at the University of Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory bias for all involved in the analysis." 20 Do you see that?	10		10	
takes to get there.  (Lunch recess.)  (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. We're back on the record after a lunch break.  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are still under oath. All right?  12 sentence that says: "Independent interlaboratory analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the geranium plants grown at the University of Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory bias for all involved in the analysis."  Do you see that?	11		11	
13 (Lunch recess.) 14 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for 15 identification.) 15 parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the geranium plants grown at the University of 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 Q All right. We're back on the record 18 after a lunch break. 19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 20 still under oath. All right? 10 analysis should be undertaken with samples from the regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the geranium plants grown at the University of Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory bias for all involved in the analysis." 20 Do you see that?	12		12	
14 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for 14 regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and of 15 identification.) 15 parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 geranium plants grown at the University of 17 Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the 18 after a lunch break. 18 effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory 19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 19 bias for all involved in the analysis." 20 Do you see that?	13	-	13	
15 identification.) 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 geranium plants grown at the University of 17 Q All right. We're back on the record 18 after a lunch break. 19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 20 still under oath. All right? 15 parts of the world where geraniums may grow and the geranium plants grown at the University of 16 geranium plants grown at the University of 17 Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory 19 bias for all involved in the analysis." 20 Do you see that?	14		14	regions of China shown to contain 1,3-DMAA and other
BY MR. SCOTT:  Q All right. We're back on the record  after a lunch break.  Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are  still under oath. All right?  16 geranium plants grown at the University of  Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the  effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory  bias for all involved in the analysis."  Do you see that?		·	15	parts of the world where geraniums may grow and those
17 Q All right. We're back on the record 18 after a lunch break. 19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 20 still under oath. All right? 11 Mississippi used by ElSohly to determine whether the effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory 19 bias for all involved in the analysis." 20 Do you see that?		· ·		
after a lunch break.  18 effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory  19 Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are  20 still under oath. All right?  18 effects seen are regional or artifact of a laboratory  19 bias for all involved in the analysis."  20 Do you see that?				
Dr. Simone, I will remind you, you are 19 bias for all involved in the analysis." 20 still under oath. All right? 20 Do you see that?		·		
20 still under oath. All right? 20 Do you see that?				
				-
		-		-
22 Q And, again, if you don't understand my 22 Q What does that mean?				
		, , , , ,		

## Washington, D.C. Page 134 Page 136 1 A It means that you've essentially got --1 A I mean it's possible that the -- even if 2 2 you've got multiple laboratories that are in we did the interlaboratory study, there would still 3 disagreement as whether or not 1,3-DMAA is occurring 3 be disagreement. 4 in geranium plants. And in order to essentially hash 4 Q Would it also be possible if you did the 5 out what is actually happening, he should have 5 interlaboratory study that it may be determined that 6 6 multiple people look at these geranium plants and see in fact DMAA is not in geranium plants? 7 7 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. if it's there. 8 Q You say here "to determine whether the 8 BY MR. SCOTT: 9 effects seen." I take it whether geranium -- DMAA is 9 Q Would that be part of the gamble? 10 in geraniums or not is the effect you're talking 10 A I mean, that would be possible. I mean, 11 11 I could -- you know, I -- to be frank, I have 12 A Yes. 12 analyzed plants that show it's there and show it's 13 Q And it goes on to say that "... are a 13 not, and if -- and it's pretty clear that it's a --14 regional or artifact of a laboratory bias for all 14 it's a thing where it's hard to determine which 15 involved in the analysis." What do you mean there by 15 plants are going to have 1,3-DMAA and which are not. "artifact of a laboratory bias"? 16 16 And further in there I speak of it being 17 A I mean it's -- as I previously mentioned, 17 a shotgun approach to determining whether 1,3-DMAA is there are errors in all analytical methods, and both present, and -- and beyond the Changzhou samples 18 18 consistently showing 1,3-DMAA in it, those are the --19 random and systematic, and determining if there is a 19 20 20 random or systematic error can be difficult. So it's those are the only ones that I have found that 21 a -- that's one way to take a look at it. 21 contain 1,3-DMAA. 22 22 Q The Changzhou samples that you are And the bias could be bias for not Page 135 Page 137 1 finding it and the bias could be for finding it. 1 referring to there, how many shipments of samples did 2 2 you receive that showed DMAA? And, you know, if this is a factfinding mission 3 3 essentially for the truth of whether 1,3-DMAA is Well, let me ask the question, it may be 4 4 simpler: The Changzhou samples that you say had DMAA present in geranium plants, as I've stated it is, 5 5 then the goal should be that you get laboratories in them, that you found DMAA in, were those the ones 6 6 that find it and laboratories that don't find it that are referenced by your article, Exhibit 4? 7 7 together analyzing the same set of samples. A Yes. 8 8 Q Did you receive any additional samples Q All right, sir. And did you ever reach 9 9 after that testing from Changzhou that showed DMAA? out to any of the laboratories who tested geraniums 10 A I can't speak with certainty. 10 and did not find 1,3-DMAA regarding doing such a 11 11 Q Do you recall receiving any more samples study? 12 12 A No. from China after you did the testing there that is 13 outlined in your Exhibit 4? 13 Q Now, it goes on to say in the next 14 14 paragraph on the last page of Exhibit 8: "The A Yes. 15 15 interlaboratory analysis is certainly a gamble, but I Q You received additional shipments from 16 believe that it would be something to buy you time to 16 China? do more detailed studies and to let cooler heads 17 17 A Yes. 18 prevail." 18 Q And did you receive shipments from 19 19 Changzhou, geraniums from Changzhou? Do you see that? 20 20 A I think it was. A I do. 21 Q What do you mean there by "the 21 Q Do you recall whether or not you tested 22 interlaboratory analysis is certainly a gamble"? 22 that material and whether it showed to have DMAA in

Washington, D.C. Page 138 Page 140 it? 1 1 and answered. 2 A I believe I did, and it had 1,3-DMAA in 2 You can answer. 3 it. 3 THE WITNESS: I -- I mean, I -- you know, 4 Q All right, sir. And when did that 4 whether everybody came up with the same answer or 5 testing occur? 5 6 6 A After this analysis. The timing is -- I BY MR. SCOTT: 7 believe it was in 2013 or so. 7 Q Or the answer came out in a way that they Q Okay. And when did you get those 8 8 didn't -- wasn't in line with their commercial 9 shipments, the additional shipments of Changzhou 9 interest of wanting to sell DMAA products, right? 10 10 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, asked geranium plants? 11 A Well, to be clear, I can't recall if they 11 and answered. 12 were Changzhou or not. When did I get them or where 12 You can answer. 13 did I get them? 13 THE WITNESS: No, the -- I guess maybe 14 Q Yes, where did you get them from? 14 that would be the case. 15 A They were shipped directly from China. 15 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 Q From Dr. Yi Jim? 16 Q When you say to let cooler heads prevail, 17 A I don't remember. Probably. 17 what are you talking about? Q Were they shipped based on any efforts A Honestly, I don't remember. 18 18 19 by -- on your part, or did you order them, give 19 Q Okay. You can set that one aside. 20 instructions to whoever shipped them to you? 20 (Exhibit No. 9 was marked for 21 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 21 identification.) 22 You can answer. 22 BY MR. SCOTT: Page 139 Page 141 Q All right, sir. You have in front of you 1 THE WITNESS: No, these were shipped as 1 2 a document which has been marked for identification 2 part of a shipment for the second USP Labs contract. 3 3 purposes as Exhibit 9 to your deposition. It is a BY MR. SCOTT: 4 Q And were they handled the same way as the 4 two-page document bearing no identification numbers. 5 shipment of geranium material for the first USP Labs 5 It's headed "Fertilizer Analysis." 6 6 And I will ask you if you recognize this contract? 7 A Yes. 7 document as something you've seen previously. 8 8 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. A (Perusing document.) 9 9 You can answer. When you say "seen previously," what do 10 BY MR. SCOTT: 10 you mean? 11 11 Q Well, do you recognize the document, Q Now, in your view, would the -- an 12 interlab study, as you describe there in the document 12 Exhibit 9? A Yeah. Yes. 13 that we were just talking about, Exhibit 8, having 13 What is Exhibit 9? How do you recognize 14 such a thing, would that be a gamble for USP Labs? 14 15 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 15 it? 16 16 A It looks like a document related to an You can answer. 17 analysis of fertilizers for 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA 17 THE WITNESS: I mean, if -- I -- I mean, 18 I clearly wrote that. 18 using what is likely equipment at the University of 19 19 BY MR. SCOTT: Memphis. 20 Q What did you understand the gamble to be 20 Q Do you know who prepared this document? 21 as it relates to USP Labs? 21 A I mean -- I'm not really sure how to 22 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, asked 22 answer that.

Washington, D.C. Page 142 Page 144 Q Well --1 proposal that I wrote to USP Labs, the preliminary 1 2 2 estimates I believe said there was 1,3-DMAA in the A I mean --3 Q Did you write this document? 3 fertilizer. A It's probably a group effort between me 4 4 Can we --5 and the graduate student. 5 Q In Osmocote or more than one? 6 Q So this document, Exhibit 9, would have 6 A In Osmocote. 7 been prepared by you in concert with Ms. Fleming? 7 THE WITNESS: Can I take a break and use 8 A Probably. Did this come out of the 8 the restroom? 9 University of Memphis --9 MR. SCOTT: Sure. 10 10 Q Yes. (Recess.) 11 A -- discovery? All right. Yeah. 11 BY MR. SCOTT: 12 Q And do you recall when this document was 12 Q All right, sir. And do you recall if you 13 13 found DMAA in fertilizers in addition to Osmocote? prepared? 14 A Late 2013 probably. 14 A There might have been one other, but let 15 Q All right, sir. And what prompted you 15 me be clear about the level of rigor that was 16 folks to develop this fertilizer analysis protocol? 16 involved in that. And so the preliminary estimates 17 A I was trying to rule in or rule out a 17 came up positive. And -- but we were really never able to publish that work. And it's -- that's 18 plausible reason for 1,3-DMAA in geranium plants. 18 19 Q All right. And did somebody outside of 19 because the -- I could not -- we could not get the 20 the university ask you to do this or was this done at 20 method reproducible enough. There were significant 21 your volition? 21 matrix effects. And the analysis was actually pretty 22 22 A It was done at my volition. hard on the instrument, and so it was a very Page 143 Page 145 1 Q And to what degree did Ms. Fleming assist 1 difficult analysis. 2 2 you in developing this protocol? And if I compare that to the -- what 3 3 ended up being an unpublished report using the chiral A I -- I'm trying to give a good 4 percentage. Probably 50/50. 4 stuff, we actually submitted that to a journal and 5 Q And did in fact you folks, you and 5 got reviews back, and did our best to address the 6 Ms. Fleming, put this fertilizer analysis protocol 6 reviews. But then we were unable to finish that work 7 into action? Did you actually analyze fertilizers to 7 due to instrumentation problems. 8 8 determine if they had 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA as part of Q Okay. But you did some work on 9 9 their constituents? fertilizers, and the preliminary results showed DMAA, 10 10 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. correct? 11 11 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. You can answer. 12 THE WITNESS: We tried. 12 You can answer. 13 BY MR. SCOTT: 13 THE WITNESS: Very preliminary, correct. 14 Q And what fertilizers did you analyze? 14 BY MR. SCOTT: 15 A So let me be clear. In terms of specific 15 Q And did you ever do any work that 16 memory, I honestly don't remember. But I read the 16 reversed those results, that showed that in fact 17 17 expert reports and they mention -- I think Osmocote these fertilizers that you preliminarily identified 18 is one of them, so I went back and reviewed the 18 as having DMAA actually didn't have it? 19 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, record, and Osmocote was in fact one of them. 20 20 Q Did you find DMAA in Osmocote? misstates testimony. 21 A So the -- so looking at our records and 21 You can answer. 22 looking at some of the expert reports and the 22 THE WITNESS: No. I believe -- and like

Washington, D.C. Page 146 Page 148 1 contamination for geranium plants? 1 I said, I have not looked very closely at some of 2 2 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. that work since then. We essentially abandoned that 3 work. And, you know, the -- I believe we -- and --3 You can answer. 4 4 BY MR. SCOTT: I'm actually kind of nervous about talking about this 5 because I don't have the data in front of me to talk 5 Q Based on the work you did? 6 6 about it. A I was never able to confirm nor deny it. 7 But, you know, the only report I made to 7 The level of rigor in that work is preliminary for a 8 reason. It was never published for a reason, because 8 the presence of 1,3-DMAA in the fertilizer was that 9 proposal to USP Labs that I can recall. And the 9 we couldn't get the method to work reproducibly. 10 10 (Exhibit No. 10 was marked for reason in that proposal we actually wanted to 11 continue doing that work was to actually solidify 11 identification.) 12 the research we had done up to that point, which was 12 BY MR. SCOTT: 13 is it in there or is it not. And so we found it in 13 Q All right, sir. You have in front of you 14 kind of like a screening preliminary analysis but 14 what's been marked for identification purposes as 15 were never able to confirm it to an acceptable 15 Exhibit 10 to your deposition. It's a multi-page 16 standard for publication. 16 document bearing identification numbers 17 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 UMPS-HT-001088 through 1093. And I will ask you --18 the heading on the first page is "Confidential: Do 18 Q Well, based on the work that you 19 described that you did and looking at fertilizers to 19 not distribute. Analysis Survey for 1,3-DMAA and 20 see if it had DMAA, were you able to eliminate 20 1,4-DMAA in Food and Geranium Plants." It says -- it 21 fertilizer contamination as a possible source of DMAA 21 has listed here Paul Simone and Randall Bayer. 22 22 in geraniums that you had tested? Is this the proposal you put together to Page 147 Page 149 1 A I had no reason to believe the geraniums 1 give to USP Labs for additional work? 2 that we tested were contaminated. 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you know what fertilizers were used 3 Q And who is Mr. Bayer? 4 4 A He is the chair of the biology department with the geraniums that you --5 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 5 at the University of Memphis, and his role was going 6 You can answer. 6 to be simply authentication of the plants. 7 BY MR. SCOTT: 7 Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to 8 8 Q -- that you tested? page 4 of the document. The third paragraph down --9 9 A Repeat the question. A Let me -- I want to amend that previous 10 10 answer. So it was authentication and help growing Q Sure. You got geraniums from -- samples 11 from China. What fertilizers were used to grow them? 11 12 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 12 Q Okay. And was this proposal given to USP 13 13 Labs? You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no data indicating 14 14 A I think so, yes. 15 whether fertilizer was or was not used in those 15 Q Did they adopt it? Did they tell you to 16 geraniums, and based on my reading of other -- of 16 go ahead with this work? 17 17 other people's papers, they also don't have -- they A No. 18 don't know whether fertilizer was used in growing 18 Q Now, if you would turn to page 4, the 19 19 third paragraph down there starts: "Prior to their plants. 20 BY MR. SCOTT: 20 planting of the geranium plants, all soils and 21 Q Were you able to eliminate fertilizer 21 fertilizers will be analyzed for 1,3-DMAA and 22 with DMAA in it as a source of potential 22 1,4-DMAA. This is an important step as a

Washington, D.C. Page 150 Page 152 commercially purchased time-release fertilizer 1 Q Why were you concerned, at least in the 1 Osmocote was found to contain 12 ng/g of 1,3-DMAA, 2 2 context of this document, of fertilizers containing 3 which could potentially contaminate plants if blindly 3 DMAA potentially acting as a source of DMAA into the used." 4 4 human food diet? 5 Do you see that? 5 A If the fertilizer contained DMAA, then 6 6 A I do. the -- based on my conversations with Randy Bayer, he 7 Q Is that 12 ng/g, is that parts per 7 said that it could be taken up into the plant. billion? 8 Q Absorbed into the plant through the root 8 9 A Yes, nanograms per gram. 9 system, DMAA? 10 Q Is that the same unit of measure that you 10 A I assume so, yes. 11 used in the tests that you did for geranium samples, 11 Q And why would that matter to you in the 12 parts per billion? 12 context of what you were proposing to do here whether 13 A Yes. 13 or not the plants were absorbing DMAA through the 14 Q And it goes on to say: "A screening of 14 root system based on fertilizer contamination? 15 fertilizers will be conducted to identify 15 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 commercially available sources with high nitrogen, 16 You can answer. 17 phosphorous and potassium content to provide growth 17 THE WITNESS: We were looking for to all aspects of the plants." 1,3-DMAA in these other plants. 18 18 19 Do you see that? 19 BY MR. SCOTT: 20 A I do. 20 Q To determine if it was there naturally as 21 Q And then it goes on to say: "Fertilizers 21 opposed to through some contamination? 22 containing DMAA could potentially act as a source of 22 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Page 153 Page 151 1 DMAA into the human food diet. If all fertilizers 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 analyzed contain 1,3-DMAA, then a custom mix of 2 BY MR. SCOTT: 3 3 fertilizer will be reproduced from a reagent grade Q And USP Labs did not authorize this work? fertilizer equivalence, all scheduled for both DMAA 4 4 A What do you mean? 5 5 species." Q I mean, you made a proposal. Did they 6 6 say, Go do it? The proposal that is set out here in Do you see that? 7 7 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Exhibit 10. 8 8 THE WITNESS: I do. A Are you -- so -- can -- can you -- I'm 9 9 BY MR. SCOTT: sorry. Can you restate the question? 10 Q By "DMAA species," you're talking about 10 Q You called Exhibit 10 a proposal that was 11 11 sent to USP Labs. Correct? 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA? 12 A Correct. 12 A Correct. 13 13 Q Now -- and this was presented to USP Q Did they tell you to do the work set Labs? forth in the proposal, Exhibit 10? 14 14 15 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, asked 15 A They did not fund the work. 16 Q Did you do any work to screen fertilizers and answered. 16 17 17 for DMAA after you sent this proposal to USP Labs? You may answer. 18 BY MR. SCOTT: 18 A Not that I can recall. 19 19 O This document? Q Did the preliminary work you had done in 20 A I e-mailed it to them. I e-mailed it to 20 fertilizers to identify whether DMAA may be there 21 Erik White. I'm sure there is an e-mail in there 21 based on the protocol you developed with this one, 22 somewhere that shows it. 22 was that done before this proposal was sent?

Washington, D.C. Page 154 Page 156 1 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 1 You can answer. 2 2 THE WITNESS: It's not written there, no. You can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 But the -- as I said, if this was a preliminary 4 BY MR. SCOTT: 4 thing, and I don't know any other way to describe it, 5 Q Now, based on the work that you did 5 that if we had been able to essentially nail down 6 6 looking at the fertilizers, were you able to whether or not it was there, we would have done so 7 eliminate fertilizer contamination from DMAA as a 7 and we would have published it, whether or not --8 8 regardless of how it actually affected all this other source of DMAA potentially being in geranium samples? 9 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 9 stuff. 10 10 (Exhibit No. 11 was marked for You can answer. 11 THE WITNESS: Based on the work that I 11 identification.) 12 did, I had no reason to suspect that the geraniums 12 BY MR. SCOTT: 13 that I analyzed were contaminated by fertilizer. 13 Q All right, sir. You have in front of you BY MR. SCOTT: 14 14 what has been marked for identification purposes as 1.5 Q Well, my question is a little broader 15 Exhibit 11 to your deposition. It's a multi-page 16 than that. My question is, were you able to 16 exhibit of spreadsheets and graphs. The first page 17 eliminate as a general matter DMAA in fertilizer as a 17 is headed FHLF111 dated August 17, 2013. And I will potential source of contaminate of geraniums? ask you if you can identify this as work product that 18 18 19 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, asked was generated by your lab? 20 20 and answered twice. A Yes, it very likely is. 21 You can answer. 21 Q Now, at the bottom there, it says: 22 THE WITNESS: I was neither able to 22 "Fertilizer sample: Osmocote, Scott." Do you see Page 155 Page 157 1 confirm nor deny that DMAA was in fact in the 1 that? 2 2 fertilizer to begin with. The -- as I said, the A Yes. 3 3 Q And the numbers that go through -- beyond results, you know, stated here concerning the 4 presence of 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA in the fertilizer were 4 that are -- say "Concentration." Do you see that? 5 preliminary. If I had had concrete results, I would 5 A Yes. CONC, period? 6 have attempted to publish them. But we couldn't get 6 Q CONC, period. Does that reflect that 7 concrete results, and part of the work presented in 7 there was a concentration of DMAA, 1,3 and 1,4, found 8 8 this proposal would have been developing a method in the Scott and Osmocote fertilizers? 9 9 that was able to actually do that analysis. A What it reflects is the fact that the 10 BY MR. SCOTT: 10 analysis came up with a concentration of -- let's 11 11 Q Now, in the proposal that you made to see. Oh, the units are on here. Presumably -- let's 12 12 your client, USP Labs, you state there that: "This see, I have to see what -- let's see. So presumably 13 13 is an important step. As a commercially purchased concentration of micrograms per liter as measured by 14 time-release fertilizer Osmocote was found to contain 14 the instrument. 15 12 ng/g of 1,3-DMAA." And I'm on page 4. 15 Now, if you look at those numbers, those 16 16 concentration numbers, they are all less than the A Okay. 17 Q Do you see that sentence? 17 method detection limit of the fertilizer -- of the 18 A I do. 18 analysis. And so as we previously discussed, if it's 19 Q Is there anywhere in there you say that 19 less than the method detection limit, and as many of 20 your results on this are preliminary, unreliable or 20 my other colleagues, for lack of a better term, who 21 anything of that ilk? 21 publish in this area, if it's less than the method 22 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 22 detection limit, then you don't report it.

Page 158 Page 160 1 1 below what we typically found in the plants. Now, if I recall correctly, we very 2 2 Q All right, sir. Before testifying here likely, as what I would have done, actually tried to 3 analyze a higher mass of fertilizer to see if that 3 today, did you go back and look at any of the testing 4 4 that you had done relating to the presence of DMAA in concentration was in fact real. And then that's 5 where we get into the -- I couldn't make it 5 fertilizer? 6 6 A Not in this detail. reproducible enough to actually get a number out of 7 it, because as we increased the mass, the extraction 7 Q Well, what level of detail did you do it? 8 8 A Scanned our lab notebooks that said we became significantly more complex. 9 Q But you were able to get a number 9 had done it. 10 sufficient to tell your client that you found it in 10 Q And did you look at what the 11 Osmocote at 12 parts per billion in your proposal, 11 concentration levels were found to be? 12 12 A Not in detail. correct? 13 13 At all? A It appears so, yes. 14 Q Okay. You can set the graph aside for a 14 A I simply looked at the lab notebooks that 15 15 had mentioned it. moment. 16 Are you familiar with a fertilizer called 16 Q Okay. And you didn't look at the --17 Lesco? 17 what sample concentrations were? A No. I did not specifically look at these 18 A Yes. 18 19 19 Q Did you test that for DMAA? spreadsheets. 20 20 A Possibly. Is it on that sheet of paper? Q Well, I'm not talking about just these 21 (Exhibit No. 12 was marked for 21 spreadsheets. Any evidence in your own records 22 22 regarding what samples you found when you were identification.) Page 159 Page 161 1 BY MR. SCOTT: 1 testing fertilizers for DMAA. 2 2 Q You have in front of you what's been A No, I did not. 3 3 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. It's marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 12 to 4 your deposition. The first page of the spreadsheet 4 been asked and answered. 5 is headed "FHLFF135, 9/18/2013, Lesco." 5 You can answer. 6 6 THE WITNESS: And I didn't -- I did not Do you see that? 7 A Where do you see Lesco? 7 rely on this for my expert report, just as I did not 8 8 Q At the top next to the date. rely on the work we had attempted to publish relating 9 9 A Oh, I see it. Okay. to the chiral derivatization of 1,3-DMAA. 10 BY MR. SCOTT: Q Have you seen this document before? 10 11 11 A Not specifically. I mean it's a document Q All right, sir. Would you agree that 12 produced in our lab, but I don't think I've --12 there is a probability that plants have been and can 13 be contaminated with DMAA through soil and fertilizer Q Does this reflect a test showing the 13 14 presence of either 1,3 or 1,4-DMAA in the test 14 contamination? 15 sample? 15 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 16 A (Perusing document.) Can you repeat the You can answer. THE WITNESS: Well, if we are talking 17 17 question? 18 Q Sure. Does that reflect a test that 18 about work that I did not include in my expert report, further work we did related to this, we 19 19 shows the presence in the sample, the Lesco sample, 20 20 almost killed the plants when we tried to spike in of 1,3- or 1,4-DMAA? 21 21 1,3-DMAA into the geranium plants. A Apparently it does, at a concentration of 22 0.05 nanograms per gram. A factor of 100 to 1000 22 BY MR. SCOTT:

Washington, D.C. Page 162 Page 164 1 Q Spiking it at levels higher than you 1 talking about pouring spiked water on geranium plants 2 2 found in the fertilizers? done before or after this presentation? 3 A I don't believe so. 3 A (Perusing document.) I don't know. Q Well, if you would turn to page 39 in 4 Q And when was that work done? 4 5 Sometime around some of this. 5 Exhibit 6. That's the one in your hand. 6 6 Was any of that documented? A Okay. 7 A I believe it was. But it was -- this is 7 Q Up on the top there is a heading "Planned 8 Research." It's kind of hard to read. 8 essentially a qualitative assessment of we put 9 1,3-DMAA in water that we poured over the plants, and 9 A Yes. 10 10 they about died doing it. O But down at the bottom it says: 11 Q And what concentration levels were the 11 "Investigate probability that plants are being 12 12 exposed to DMAA and absorbing the compound," with a DMAA in the water? 13 A Sufficient to be approximately equal to 13 bullet point under that, "Soil, fertilizer analysis." 14 that of what we found in the plants. 14 Do you see that? 15 Q All at one time you put the -- what you 15 A I see it. 16 found in the plants, that concentration level in the 16 Q Did you write that language? 17 water and poured it on the plant? 17 A Probably. And certainly if Ms. Fleming wrote it, 18 A (The witness nods.) 18 19 Q Would you expect if the plant was 19 you saw it before she gave the presentation? A Yes. 20 absorbing DMAA through its root system that it would 20 21 take up the entire concentration in one event? 21 Q Do you know what is meant there by 22 A I have no idea. 22 "Investigate probability that plants are being Page 163 Page 165 1 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 1 exposed to DMAA and absorbing the compound"? 2 A It's probably poor word choice on our 2 BY MR. SCOTT: 3 3 part, and as I say, looking to see if DMAA could be Q Was Ms. Fleming involved in this study, 4 4 exposed to -- I mean DMAA could be -- the plants this work? 5 A Yes. And I directed her doing it. 5 could be exposed to DMAA through the soil and 6 Q And so do you remember when that was? 6 fertilizer. 7 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, asked 7 Q Well, now, let me back up to something 8 and answered. 8 you talked about a while ago. As I understand it, 9 9 You can answer. you said you did an exercise where you got geranium plants, live geranium plants, correct? 10 THE WITNESS: Sometime around when this 10 11 A Yes. 11 was going on. I mean, honestly, I don't remember. 12 12 BY MR. SCOTT: Q And then you put DMAA in water in 13 Q Was it before this document and this 13 concentration levels equivalent of what you had found when you did your DMAA testing of geranium plants for 14 presentation was done? 14 15 MS. WOOLSON: What presentation? 15 USP Labs, correct? 16 MR. SCOTT: The presentation that's 16 A Approximately the same concentrations. marked as Exhibit 6. He gave us a date on that, I Q Well, the concentrations in those -- your 17 17 18 believe of April of 2013. 18 samples, you know, had some ranges there. So do you 19 19 recall what range you picked? Was it the high end or THE WITNESS: No, I gave you an after 20 20 the low end? date. I said it was after April 2013. 21 BY MR. SCOTT: 21 A No. 22 Q Okay. Well, was the work that you were 22 Q Or something in between?

Page 166 Page 168 1 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, asked A No. 1 2 2 Q But it was something that approximated and answered. 3 what you found -- the concentration levels in the 3 You can answer. 4 4 water that you poured over the plants, it THE WITNESS: I don't know. 5 approximated the levels that you found when you did 5 (Exhibit No. 13 was marked for 6 your testing of USP Labs samples, correct? 6 identification.) 7 7 BY MR. SCOTT: A Correct. 8 8 Q And then you said that once you poured Q You have in front of you what's been 9 the water over them, the -- did you do it all at one 9 marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 13 to 10 10 time? your deposition. It's a two-page document bearing 11 A We repeatedly watered the plants. 11 identification -- well, no identification numbers. 12 Q With the concentration levels that were 12 This was produced by the University of Memphis. It's 13 in what -- equivalent to what you found when you did 13 headed "FHLFF131, Fertilizer, 09/11/13." 14 your testing? 14 And I will ask you, first of all, to take 15 A Correct. 15 a look at it and see if you've seen this before. 16 Q Each water sample had the same 16 A I have not seen it before, but it looks 17 concentration level in it? 17 like something that came out of our lab. A To the best of my knowledge. 18 18 Q All right, sir. And there are several 19 19 Q Now, if you are watering geranium plants products or names here starting with Lesco. Do you 20 with water that's been spiked with DMAA equivalent of 20 see that? 21 what you found in your test causes them to die, how 21 A I do. 22 22 Q Is that a fertilizer? do you juxtapose that with your view that the Page 169 Page 167 1 geranium plants naturally produce the product at 1 A Presumably. 2 Spring Valley, is that a fertilizer? those concentration levels? 2 3 3 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. A I believe it is. 4 Scott Extract, is that a fertilizer? You can answer. 5 THE WITNESS: You know, I can't really 5 I believe it is. 6 give you a good answer. It -- we -- well, for the 6 And Earthmate, is that a fertilizer? 7 same reason I didn't include it in my report. We --7 I believe it is. 8 8 Now, do these appear to be chromatographs about that time period, I moved at the time 9 9 that were done of samples of each of the fertilizers Ms. Fleming, now Dr. Fleming, to another project 10 I just named? because it had more pressing needs, and that was 10 11 11 related to haloacetic acids in drinking water and A Yes. 12 bleach. And the work here was no longer being funded 12 Q Do any of these show the presence of DMAA, either 1,3 or 1,4-DMAA, in those fertilizers? 13 by USP Labs, and so I moved on. 13 14 BY MR. SCOTT: 14 A I don't know. 15 Q Well, that all may be, but my question 15 Q What would you have to do to determine 16 was a little more specific than that. 16 that? 17 17 My question is, do you have an A I would have to look at a standard run 18 explanation of why -- if geranium plants naturally 18 approximately at the same time, look at the retention 19 19 produce DMAA at the concentration levels that you times, and do a much more detailed analysis than -- I 20 found it in your test for USP Labs, why pouring that 20 might look at some chromatograms on a sheet of paper 21 concentration level over them in water would kill 21 that aren't labeled. 22 them? 22 Q All right, sir.

Washington, D.C. Page 170 Page 172 1 (Exhibit No. 14 was marked for 1 Q Now, in your published study you talk 2 identification.) 2 about a number of other studies that were performed 3 BY MR. SCOTT: 3 pertaining to trying to identify DMAA in geranium 4 Q All right, sir. You have in front of you 4 plants. Right? 5 what's been marked for identification purposes as 5 A Right. 6 6 Exhibit 14 to your deposition. It is a multi-page Q Now, are you familiar with something that 7 document, pages 1 through 23. The first page is 7 is generally referred to as the Ping study? 8 "Analysis of 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA in geranium plants 8 9 using high performance liquid chromatography with 9 Q And do you refer to or cite the Ping 10 tandem mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic 10 study in your published study there, Exhibit 4? 11 resonance, Heather L. Fleming, September 2013." 11 12 Do you see that? 12 Q Why is that? 13 A I do. 13 A I had not read that study. 14 Q And do you know what this document is? 14 Q All right. So when you did your work for 15 A Probably her prospectus document. 15 USP Labs, you had not seen the Ping study? 16 Q And what's that? 16 A That's correct. 17 A That's the document that she writes as 17 Q Were you aware of it? 18 part of her cumulative examinations to qualify as a 18 A I don't recall. 19 Ph.D. candidate at the University of Memphis. 19 Q But certainly when you put forward your 20 Q All right, sir. Did you have any 20 findings in Exhibit 4, you had not reviewed the Ping 21 involvement in the preparation of this? 21 study and were not relying on it for any purpose? 22 22 A I probably edited it, more than likely. A I had not reviewed it and I did not Page 171 Page 173 1 I mean -- yes. 1 reference it. Q Did you rely on it for anything? 2 Q And so you would have looked it over and 2 3 3 A No. I had not read it, had not seen it. told her go/no go with the document before she 4 submitted it? 4 Q All right, sir. When do you recall first 5 5 seeing the Ping study? A Yes. 6 Q All right, sir. You can set that aside. 6 A Sometime after it was provided to me 7 MR. SCOTT: Let's take a short break here 7 during this -- the generation of my expert report as 8 8 while I shift materials. related to this particular case. 9 9 (Recess.) Q Okay. So you first saw Ping after you 10 10 BY MR. SCOTT: were retained as an expert in this case? 11 Q Back on the record after a short break. 11 A That's correct. 12 12 Doctor, I will remind you you're still And I will assume a lawyer gave it to 13 under oath, all right? 13 you? 14 A Understood. 14 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 15 And please let me know if my questions 15 You can answer. 16 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was provided as are unclear. 17 17 part of the documents that were given to me. A Understood. 18 And if you need a break, again let me 18 BY MR. SCOTT: Q 19 19 know. Q Okay. Now, I probably should have asked 20 If you would, grab Exhibit 4, your 20 this earlier, but in the course of preparing as an published study. 21 expert here, have you had any conversations with 21 22 22 A Okay. anyone at Hi-Tech?

## Washington, D.C. Page 174 Page 176 1 Q Then it goes on to say there: "This 1 A I don't understand. 2 2 Q You've been working as an expert for manuscript should be viewed for what it is, a typical 3 Hi-Tech in this matter. Have you talked to anybody 3 chemical survey using GC/MS to separate, provide 4 4 who works directly for Hi-Tech as opposed to lawyers relative quantity and initial identification of the 5 working for Hi-Tech? 5 compounds present in the geranium oil extract." 6 6 A I've only spoken to the lawyers, that I'm Do you see that? 7 7 aware of. A I do. Q When you were doing your work that 8 8 Q What do you mean by "a typical chemical 9 resulted in Exhibit 4 being prepared, your -- the 9 survey"? 10 10 A Well, based on my review of additional published article about your study, did you have any 11 direct communications, conversations with anyone 11 literature as part of this report, there were 12 12 multiple surveys referenced for geranium that used employed by Intertek? 13 MS. WOOLSON: Did you say Intertek? 13 similar methods to identify the components. 14 MR. SCOTT: Yes. 14 Q Okay. And do you rely on those for any 15 THE WITNESS: Not that I can recall. 15 purpose, those other surveys in your report? 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 16 A (Perusing document.) Yes. 17 Q Have you had any conversations with 17 Q And what purpose do you rely on those anyone employed by Intertek since you've been other general surveys for? 18 18 19 employed as an expert in this case? 19 A The composition of geranium plants as 20 20 referenced by multiple authors, and the composition A No. 21 Q If you would, flip over to your report in 21 of those geranium plants and oil extracts vary 22 this case, Exhibit 4. 22 widely, based on the metal ion variation of the soil, Page 175 Page 177 1 MS. WOOLSON: Report? 1 the growing region and growing climate. In addition, 2 MR. SCOTT: Report. 2 the method of preparation can affect the apparent 3 3 MS. WOOLSON: That's not Exhibit 4. composition of the geranium plant oil extracts. And 4 MR. SCOTT: I'm sorry. Exhibit 3. 4 what I said was -- yeah. 5 5 Q You are reading from part of your report? You're correct. 6 BY MR. SCOTT: 6 A I am. 7 Q Flip over to Exhibit 3, the report you 7 Q What page? 8 prepared, the declaration that you prepared as an 8 42, paragraph 74. 9 9 expert in this matter, and turn to page 23. Q All right, sir. Now, in any of -- do any 10 10 A Okay. of the other general surveys of components of the 11 Q Paragraph 39 there starts off: "The 11 geranium plants and oil extracts identify DMAA as a 12 publication as the stem of many discussions and 12 component, anybody beyond Ping? 13 analysis in the peer-reviewed literature is Ping 13 A Can you repeat the question? 1996." 14 14 Q Sure. You said that you looked at and 15 You see that? 15 relied on for some purpose general surveys of 16 A I do. 16 geranium oil and components, right? 17 Q And it goes on to say: "Here Ping 17 A Correct. 18 reports using gas chromatography, GC, with mass 18 Q Do any of the -- do any general surveys 19 19 spectrometry, MS, to identify components, including of geranium oil components other than Ping identify 20 1,3-DMAA in geranium oil extract." 20 DMAA as a component? 21 Do you see that? 21 A Not that I'm aware of, at the 22 A I do. 22 concentrations that they reported their components

Washington, D.C. Page 178 Page 180 A I don't understand. 1 1 at. 2 2 (Exhibit No. 15 was marked for Q What concentrations did Ping report his 3 3 identification.) at? 4 4 BY MR. SCOTT: A I don't remember off the top of my head. 5 I would have to actually look at it. 5 Q All right, sir. You've got in front of 6 6 Q Do you consider the Ping report to you what's been marked for identification purposes as 7 conclusively demonstrate that it found DMAA? 7 Exhibit 15. It's a multi-page document bearing 8 8 MS. WOOLSON: I'm sorry. Could you identification numbers HT 06200 through 6207. The 9 repeat that question? 9 first page is "A Study on the Chemical Constituents 10 10 MR. SCOTT: Read it back. of Geranium Oil." 11 (Whereupon, the requested record was 11 Is that the translation of the Ping study 12 12 that you were provided? read.) 13 MS. WOOLSON: You can answer. 13 A Yes. 14 THE WITNESS: They reported that they 14 Q Now, did Ping take a chromatograph and 15 did, and -- and I assume, like I do with others, that 15 compare it to a reference library to identify 16 the results were reported in good faith. 16 substances that it said were DMAA? 17 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 A (Perusing document.) 18 Q Well, people make mistakes. Correct? 18 So what was the question? 19 19 Q I'm not even sure at this point. 20 MR. SCOTT: What was the last question? Q Well, let me ask you this: Have you seen 20 21 the Ping report in its original Chinese? 21 (Whereupon, the requested record was 22 A Maybe. I don't remember. 22 read.) Page 179 Page 181 1 Q Were you given an English translation of 1 THE WITNESS: Potentially. it? BY MR. SCOTT: 2 2 3 3 Q You can't tell whether he did that or A Yes. 4 Q Did you attempt to duplicate the results 4 not? A There's a sentence that says: 5 of Ping? 5 6 6 "Furthermore, complex quantitative operations such as A No. 7 Q Now, based on the information that's 7 a spectrum temperature control, collection of 8 8 provided in the Ping study, would it be possible to spectrum data, data storage and mass spectrum image 9 9 actually follow what they did and try to duplicate examination will be performed by a computer." 10 10 Q Was any of the results of that reported its results? 11 A Do you have a copy of the Ping paper? 11 in his study, in his report, that exhibit? 12 Q Sure. 12 A I mean, he reported the conditions of the 13 Well, let me ask you this: Do you 13 GC/MS, and that's his analysis conditions. The --14 know -- while I pull it out, in relation to the Ping 14 the specifics of data storage were not described, but 15 paper, did Ping compare a chromatograph to a 15 basically nobody describes the specifics of how you 16 reference library to identify what he says was DMAA? 16 store the things on the computer. And the mass 17 17 A I would have to read the paper to tell spectrum image examination is what I assume to be 18 18 the -- a scan against the reference library. you. 19 Q Well, let me ask you this: When you were 19 Q Okay. Did he tell you what reference 20 given the paper, did you do anything to determine 20 library he used? 21 whether or not his results were in fact subject to 21 A No 22 duplication? 22 Q Did he tell you how close the match was,

Page 182 Page 184 either quantitatively or qualitatively? THE WITNESS: I was -- I don't know if I 1 1 2 2 would --A No. BY MR. SCOTT: 3 Q Would it be possible for you to go back 3 4 4 Q I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. then and take what he gave you in the chromatograph 5 5 A Hold on one moment. I'm looking for my and determine if his analysis was done correctly? 6 A I mean, can -- can you repeat the 6 statement 7 7 So in my Summary of Opinions, page 87, I question? 8 8 Q Sure. How would you duplicate the state that: "It is my opinion that the geranium 9 results that he has without knowing the reference 9 plants analyzed by Li, 2012, and Fleming, 2012, 10 10 contain concentrations of 1,3-DMAA in the stated library used or the closeness of the match? 11 A I would start with the equipment provided 11 range for both, and that these studies were done to 12 12 the same level of rigor as ElSohly, 2012 and 2015; and -- and the scan speed, the column conditions, the 13 temperature of the oven, the injector port and the 13 Austin, 2013; Zhang, 2011; and DiLorenzo, 2012, both 14 detector conditions. Start with all of those, as 14 in terms of the analytical chemistry and level of 15 well as the column that he provided, and the 15 authentication of the geranium plants." 16 5 percent benzyl polysiloxane fused silica capillary 16 Q Well, I'm confused because earlier in 17 column. He's got the scan speeds here. The 17 your testimony I thought you said that you were 18 relying on four studies that you said found DMAA, electron -- the electric potential for the ionization 18 19 is there, which is the standard 70 electron volts 19 including Ping. So are you not relying on Ping? 20 20 that has been used for as long as I've been an A I guess I misstated it. That should be 21 analytic chemist. And provided -- I think he 21 three. 22 22 provided somewhere how they did the oil extraction. Q So you are not relying on Ping for any Page 185 Page 183 1 Let's see. That's where I would start. 1 purpose in your report? 2 2 Q All right, sir. If you would look at A Other than I reviewed it as a report that 3 3 purported to contain DMAA, and then I would say that your report again, paragraph 38. 4 4 my analysis of Ping is -- it is what it is. A Okay. 5 5 Let me put it this way: It is not the --Q Page 22. 6 A What page? 6 I guess the same level of rigor that the other more 7 Q Page 22, paragraph 38. 7 quantitative papers used. It's a qualitative 8 8 A Okay. identification. 9 9 Q It states there: "In either case, the Q Did Ping use a reference sample to 10 10 identify the DMAA he claims to have found? most credible publications of 1,3-DMAA analysis 11 11 report parameters such as detection limits, accuracy, A I don't know. It's not stated. 12 precision, and percentage recoveries." 12 Q If he did, he didn't say so? 13 Do you see that? 13 A Correct. Q Do you know if in the Ping paper what he 14 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Does Ping supply you with that 15 identifies as DMAA elutes at the level and time frame 16 information in his report? 16 that you would expect to --17 17 A No. And neither do -- there's a few A Can you repeat that or restate it? 18 other papers that I reviewed that also did not. 18 Q Sure, are you familiar with the term 19 19 "elutes"? Q But you are relying on Ping but not the 20 20 others, correct? A Yes. 21 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 21 Q And what is that? 22 22 A It's the -- well, that's the -- it's You can answer.

Washington, D.C. Page 186 Page 188 referring to a chemical compound exiting a 1 this: You don't know when Ping says DMAA eluted in 1 2 2 chromatography column. the process, do you? 3 Q And they generally exit at a given time 3 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 4 frame based on the size and configuration of the 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't see the -- I 5 5 don't see 1,3-DMAA or 4-methylhexane on there. molecule, correct? 6 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 6 BY MR. SCOTT: 7 7 Q Do you see in the Ping paper 1,3-DMAA or You can answer 8 THE WITNESS: Based on the -- it's a 8 1,4-DMAA listed at all? 9 little more complicated than that. It's based on the 9 A No. Not as stated. 10 stationary phase, the -- and the interaction between Q All right, sir. If you go back to your 10 11 it and the molecule. 11 report, Exhibit 3. 12 BY MR. SCOTT: 12 In Exhibit 3, if you would, turn to 13 13 page 27, paragraph 46. Q Is the time frame in the analysis in 14 which Ping reports DMAA to have eluted consistent 14 A Okay. 15 with what you understand the time frame that DMAA 15 Q Now, I take it certainly you've reviewed 16 will elute in doing this type of analysis? 16 the Li protocol used in testing geranium plants for 17 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 17 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA? A Correct. 18 You can answer. 18 19 THE WITNESS: (Perusing document.) Can 19 Q And, in fact, you implemented those protocols in doing the testing for USP Labs that is 20 you restate the question just so I'm clear? 20 21 BY MR. SCOTT: 21 reported in your article, Exhibit 4, correct? 22 22 A Correct. Q Sure. Have you looked at the Ping study Page 189 Page 187 1 sufficiently to determine if he is reporting what he 1 To be clear, I got what amounts to a -- I 2 reviewed their standard analysis method. I didn't considers to be DMAA to be eluting the process at the 2 3 time frame that you think DMAA should be shown to be 3 review the paper before. 4 4 eluting the process? Q All right. So you reviewed their 5 5 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. standard analysis method when you did your testing. 6 6 You hadn't actually seen their final paper since You can answer. 7 7 THE WITNESS: I have not reviewed it in then? 8 8 that detail, no. A That's correct. 9 BY MR. SCOTT: 9 Q Do you have any understanding of what the Q Based on the information that you have 10 10 conclusions were reached in the final paper? 11 And we'll get to that. I'm asking if 11 and based on your review of Ping, to the extent that 12 you have had a chance to review it, can you confirm 12 you -- is that something you looked at in the course 13 within a reasonable degree of scientific certainty 13 of doing your expert work? 14 that what he claims to have found as DMAA in fact was 14 A Yes. 15 DMAA? 15 Q Is that something you did before 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 looking -- doing your expert work, looked at the Li 17 paper to see what his conclusions were? 17 You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: (Perusing document.) 18 A I may have. 19 19 Can you -- just so it's clear, can you Q You don't have a specific recollection of 20 restate what -- the question that you asked? 20 that? 21 BY MR. SCOTT: 21 A No. I mean, other than what I probably 22 Q Let me withdraw the question and ask you 22 mentioned in my publication.

Washington, D.C. Page 190 Page 192 (Exhibit Nos. 16 and 17 were marked 1 Intertek's work or do you rely on Intertek's work to 1 2 for identification.) 2 support that opinion? 3 BY MR. SCOTT: 3 A I based it on the paper that they 4 4 published. Q All right, sir. You have in front of you 5 a document which has been marked for identification 5 Q Okay. And did you -- what -- and what in 6 purposes as Exhibit 16 to your deposition. It's a 6 the paper did they publish are you basing -- are you 7 multi-page document bearing identification numbers 7 using for support? 8 8 UMPS-HT-00682 through 686. A Do you have the paper on you? 9 And I will ask you to take a look at 9 Q Sure. 10 10 (Exhibit No. 18 was marked for this, and tell me if this is a copy of the standard 11 analytical method that you were provided pertaining 11 identification.) 12 12 BY MR. SCOTT: to the work done by Intertek. 13 A Yeah, as best as I can recall. 13 Q All right, sir. You have in front of you 14 Q All right, sir. And there's also 14 what's been marked as 19 to your deposition -- 18, 15 Exhibit 17, which bears identification numbers 15 I'm sorry. 16 UMPS-HT-687 through 691. The first page is headed 16 You have in front of you what's been 17 "Standard Analytical Methods, Intertek ACC Labs." 17 marked as Exhibit 18 to your deposition. It is a multi-page document bearing identification numbers 18 Is this an additional standard analytical 18 19 methods sheet that you were provided by Intertek 19 HT-06140 through 06151. The first page is headed 20 20 while you were doing your work for USP Labs? "Identification and Quantification of 21 A I don't believe I ever received these 21 Dimethylamylamine in Geranium By Liquid 22 from Intertek. 22 Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry," J. S. Li, Page 191 Page 193 1 Q Where did you get them? 1 M. Chan, and Z. C. Li are the authors. 2 A Through USP Labs. 2 Do you see that? 3 Q All right. But these were both given to 3 A I see that. 4 you by USP Labs while you were doing your work on the 4 Q This is the write-up of the Li work, the 5 samples that they provided? 5 Intertek work, correct? 6 A As best as I can recall, that's correct. 6 A As far as I know, yes. 7 Q Now, do you rely on the Intertek work to 7 Q Have you seen this before? 8 8 support your view that geranium plants naturally 9 9 produce DMAA? Q And is there anything in the Intertek 10 A What do you mean? 10 work that you're relying upon to support your Q I mean you've offered the opinion, I 11 11 position that geranium plants naturally produce DMAA? 12 12 thought, correct me if I'm wrong, that DMAA is A They have plants and oils, what looks to naturally produced by geranium plants, and you based 13 13 be three plants and three oils from various regions 14 that in part, I thought, on the studies that you did 14 of China that they analyzed and report to contain 15 and the testing of the geranium samples provided by 15 concentrations of 1,3-DMAA and 1,4-DMAA. 16 16 USP Labs. Am I right so far? Q Now, does anything in their study in your 17 A You're -- hold on. The question was 17 view support a conclusion that their work shows that 18 really long, so I'm sorry. 18 geranium plants actually naturally produce DMAA as 19 Q Is it your opinion that geranium plants 19 opposed to, Well, we tested some samples and we found 20 naturally produce DMAA? 20 it? 21 A Well, yeah, as I wrote in my report, yes. 21 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 22 Q And is it your -- did you rely on any of 22 You can answer.

Washington, D.C. Page 194 Page 196 THE WITNESS: Can you restate the 1 Q And what was that? 1 2 2 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked question? 3 BY MR. SCOTT: 3 and answered three times. 4 4 Q Sure. Do you know what they were asked You can answer 5 to do by USP Labs in doing this work? 5 THE WITNESS: That they measured -- that 6 6 MS. WOOLSON: That's a different question they analyzed plants from three different regions in 7 7 China, and the oils that they analyzed, although I'm completely. 8 8 not sure if I specifically mentioned the oils MR. SCOTT: Yes, I know it. I'm asking a 9 different question because he didn't understand the 9 themselves because I did not analyze them, but they 10 10 did the three different plants from China, three other one. 11 MS. WOOLSON: No, he asked you to restate 11 different regions and found DMAA in all of them above 12 it. Now you're asking him a completely different 12 their detection limits. 13 question. And that's fine, but that's what you're 13 BY MR. SCOTT: 14 14 Q Okay. What did Intertek do, if anything, doing. 15 15 to ensure that the plants they tested had not been Go ahead, you can answer. 16 MR. SCOTT: So what's the objection if 16 contaminated before they reached Intertek's lab by 17 it's fine for me to ask a different question? 17 water, air or fertilizer or soil? 18 MS. WOOLSON: The witness asked you to MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 18 19 restate the question that you asked him, and instead, 19 You can answer. 20 you chose to ask him a completely different question 20 THE WITNESS: I have no evidence in any 21 in response. 21 way, shape or form of -- that their plants could have 22 22 been contaminated, and the -- there was no evidence MR. SCOTT: Yes, and do you have an Page 195 Page 197 1 objection or is it just you want to get involved --1 based on my dealings with -- where I got my samples 2 2 from that they were adulterated or contaminated. MS. WOOLSON: Yes, my objection is the 3 3 BY MR. SCOTT: witness asked you to restate the question, and you 4 4 Q Were fertilizers used on the plants that didn't do that. You chose to ask him a different 5 question from what he asked you to do. 5 you tested in growing them? 6 MR. SCOTT: Okay. I still haven't heard 6 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, asked 7 an objection. 7 and answered. 8 MS. WOOLSON: I'm just making sure we're 8 You can answer. 9 9 clear for the record that you did not respond to the THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that? 10 witness's request. 10 BY MR. SCOTT: 11 11 Q Sure. The plants that you received for BY MR. SCOTT: 12 12 Q Sir, you read the Intertek paper as part testing, had fertilizer been used to grow them? 13 MS. WOOLSON: Same objection. of you being an expert in this case, right? 13 14 A Correct. 14 You can answer. 15 Q Did you rely on that for your conclusion 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 16 in any form or fashion that DMAA is naturally 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 produced by geranium plants? 17 Q Were fertilizers used on the plants that 18 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. Asked 18 were grown and supplied to Intertek? 19 19 A I don't know. and answered three times. 20 20 Q All right, sir. Is there anything that You can answer. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 Intertek did that you rely upon to support your 22 BY MR. SCOTT: 22 conclusion that geranium plants actually produce DMAA

Washington, D.C. Page 198 Page 200 other than they tested samples provided by USP Labs 1 in the report to support your conclusion regarding 1 2 2 and found what they believed to be DMAA in those DMAA being naturally produced by geraniums? 3 samples? 3 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 4 4 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. You can answer. 5 5 THE WITNESS: I mean, I've looked at You can answer. 6 6 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the their report, and it said they found it in there. 7 7 I've used my report, we found it, and that's what question? 8 8 BY MR. SCOTT: I in my report based my conclusions on. 9 Q Sure. Is there anything other than 9 BY MR. SCOTT: 10 Intertek -- in the Intertek report that you are 10 Q All right, sir. Turn to page 57 of 11 relying on for your opinion that geranium plants 11 Exhibit 18, the Li study. 12 naturally produce DMAA other than the fact that they 12 A Okay. 13 tested samples that USP Labs had sent to them and 13 Q Up at the top of that page, it says that: "Further study is needed to elucidate the 14 found trace elements of DMAA in some of those 14 15 samples? 15 biosynthetic pathway of DMAAs in the geranium plant." 16 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 Do you see that? 17 You can answer. 17 A I see that. THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm not really sure Q I know you said you haven't talked to 18 18 19 what -- I don't understand your question. 19 anybody from Intertek regarding their study, right? 20 BY MR. SCOTT: 20 A Correct. 21 Q Okay. Well, let me make it very, very 21 Q And do you know what they meant by that? 22 22 A Based on what knowledge? simple. Page 199 Page 201 1 A Great. 1 Q Based on any you have. You say you read the Intertek report. 2 2 A I mean, I read Dr. Brown's report and she 3 3 discussed needing to come up with a biosynthetic 4 Q And it says in there that USP Labs gave 4 pathway, but I'm presuming that means the plant takes 5 us samples of geraniums, we tested them and found 5 something in and makes DMAA. 6 some DMAA, right? 6 Q Okay. Well, I mean -- well, moving away 7 A Let me see. (Perusing document.) 7 from Dr. Brown, do you have any idea of what Intertek 8 8 It says they received their plants meant here by "elucidate a biosynthetic pathway of 9 9 and geranium -- their plants from Mr. Yi Jin of DMAAs"? 10 Yunnan University and authenticated by Professor Xu 10 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 11 Youkai of the Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical 11 You can answer. 12 Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences. 12 THE WITNESS: I mean, that's not -- that sounds like to me somebody needs to go do some 13 Q Just like you did. 13 biochemistry research to figure out what it is. 14 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 14 BY MR. SCOTT: 15 15 You can answer. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 Q To figure out what what is? 17 17 BY MR. SCOTT: A What the biosynthetic pathway is. 18 Q Okay. They got those samples, and they 18 Q And do you -- based on reading 19 tested them and found some DMAA. They say that in 19 Dr. Brown's report or any other source, do you have 20 their report, right? 20 an understanding of what a biosynthetic pathway is as 21 A Correct. 21 it relates to a chemical like DMAA? 22 Q Do you rely on anything other than that 22 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, asked

Page 202 Page 204 A Okay. 1 and answered. 1 Q Now, do you know if the levels of DMAA 2 2 You can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: I mean, I --3 that Li reports that he found in samples of DMAA were 4 BY MR. SCOTT: 4 lower or higher than were reported by Ping? 5 5 A (Perusing document.) Q I mean as a general idea what a 6 biosynthetic pathway is. I'm not asking you if you 6 So I previously stated that I didn't see 7 know of one particular chemical. 7 1,3-DMAA on the --8 A No, I think I understand. I think -- I'm 8 Q On the Ping study? 9 not sure if that's a question -- like I'm not sure 9 A -- on the Ping study. 10 Q Okay. I'm sorry. I had forgotten that. that's a question that my background covers, let me 10 11 put it that way. 11 Okay. Well, look at the Li study, if you 12 Q The question of what a biosynthetic 12 would, Exhibit 18 to your deposition. If you would, 13 pathway is, is not something that is typically to be 13 turn to page 55. 14 dealt with by an analytical chemist. Is that what 14 A Okay. 15 you're saying? 15 Q Under the heading there, "Application of 16 A Yeah. 16 the Method to Investigating Geranium Plants and 17 Q Now, when you looked at Dr. Brown's 17 Geranium Oils," do you see that? report and she talked about biosynthetic pathways in A I do. 18 18 19 determining whether the geranium plant could make 19 Q And under that it says: "The current 20 20 method was applied to analyze geranium plants and DMAA or not, you saw that? 21 A I saw that. 21 geranium oils from different sources. The results 22 22 are shown in Table 5." And did you agree with her view that that Page 203 Page 205 1 was important in determining whether the DMAA that 1 Do you see that? you found was either synthetic or naturally produced 2 2 A I see that. 3 by geranium plants? 3 Q And feel free to take a look at Table 5 4 MS. WOOLSON: Objection. Form. 4 if you'd like. 5 5 A (Perusing document.) Okay. You can answer. 6 THE WITNESS: You're going to have to 6 Q Now, on Table 5, we have there various 7 show me where she wrote it, so I can read what she 7 concentrations of DMAA that they purport to have 8 8 found in samples from China. stated. 9 9 BY MR. SCOTT: Do you see that? 10 Q Okay. But you've done -- strike that. 10 A I see that. 11 Now, in doing your work on your study, 11 Q And do you know which of these samples 12 did you do ion detection analysis? 12 you also tested? 13 A What are you talking about? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you use ions or identifying ions to 14 O Which ones? 1.5 try to determine whether or not what you were seeing 15 A I believe it is the -- I believe it's the 16 was DMAA in your study, your analysis? 16 Changzhou sample, but I would have to like basically 17 17 A I understand you're saying did I use look at Google Maps to figure out -- make sure the 18 ions, but I'm not -- I don't understand -- it's an 18 regions were right. We -- we did the work to tie 19 oddly worded question. I mean, like ions is pretty 19 them all together when we published the paper, but --20 20 the specifics are hazy. broad. 21 Q All right. Well, we will hold that one 21 Q All right, sir. Now, if you would, look 22 and get back to it. 22 down at the bottom of the page, at Table 6 there on

	mone, Ph.D. Washing	ton, D.C	November 7, 201
	Page 206		Page 208
1	that same page. It has "The relative intensity of	1	A The same.
2	transitions for qualifying DMAAs in geranium	2	Q The same ones?
3	pelargonium graveolens."	3	A Mm-hmm.
4	Do you see that?	4	Q How many transitions did you do?
5	A I see that.	5	A Let me double-check.
6	Q And it says: "Detection ion MZ precursor	6	(Perusing document.)
7	production ion." And then it's got some numbers in	7	So I used the 116 to 99.7 and 116 to 57.
8	the column going off to the right, 116, 43, 57 and	8	Q So you did two transitions?
9	99. Do you see that?	9	A Yes.
10	A I see that.	10	Q Using how many ions?
11	Q Do you have any understanding what that	11	A Two measurement ions.
12	information represents?	12	Q All right, sir. Turn to page 28 in your
13	A Yes.	13	report.
14	Q What is that?	14	A Okay.
15	A That is the transitions that we used and	15	Q If you would, read through paragraph 47
16	multiple reaction monitoring analysis using tandem	16	there on page 28 in your report, and let me know when
17	mass spectroscopy.	17	you are done.
18	Q All right, sir. And when it says	18	A (Perusing document.)
19	"detection ion," what is a detection ion?	19	Okay.
20	A That is the mass-to-charge ratio of the	20	Q Now, the last sentence of that paragraph
21	ions used in the mass spectrometer for the analysis.	21	says: "Importantly, Fleming, et al., reported
22	Q All right, sir. And how many ion	22	concentrations of 1,3-DMAA present in samples arising
	Page 207		Page 209
1	transitions, if I'm using that term correctly, did Li	1	from the Changzhou region of China, but not the
2	use in doing his analysis?	2	Kunming or the Guiyang regions."
3	A He used two transitions.	3	Do you see that?
4	Q And how many ions is he using?	4	A I do.
5	A Either two or three, depending on how you	5	Q What do you mean there, "importantly," in
6	look at it.	6	reference to those findings?
7	Q And when you say "two or three, depending	7	A I think it's highlighting the fact that I
8	on how you look at it," what would we be looking at	8	that we determined that they were present in
9	to make that differential?	9	1,3-DMAA was present in geranium samples in the
10	A So if you in a tandem MS you've got	10	Changzhou region, but we didn't find anything above
11	three quadrupoles in series, for a triple quad at	11	the detection limit in Kunming or Guiyang.
12	least, and the analysis that is being done here, what	12	Q And in fact, with the Kunming and Guiyang
13	Li has stated is that MS1 is set to 116, a	13	samples, you did addition standard addition work
14	mass-to-charge ratio, and then he measures the	14	with them, correct?
15	products of at 57, I think, the product of the	15	And if you look up in paragraph 47, I can
1.6	collision cell at 57 and 99, where 57 was used for	16	save you some time. It says: "Fleming, et al., used
16	quantification for the measurement and 99 was used as	17	standard addition, which works by analyzing a sample,
17			a sample with known concentrations of 1,3-DMAA added
	his qualifying ion.	18	a sample with known concentrations of 1,3-DiviAA added
17	-	18 19	in to determine concentrations."
17 18	his qualifying ion.		
17 18 19	his qualifying ion.  Q Did you do a similar analysis in part of	19	in to determine concentrations."

Washington, D.C. Page 210 Page 212 1 measure, a detection indication that would be over 1 Q And both agreed that you found no signs 2 2 of DMAA in the Kunming and Changzhou samples? the spiked amount? 3 Well, let me withdraw the question and 3 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 4 ask it in a different way. 4 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 5 Did you use standard addition analysis 5 BY MR. SCOTT: 6 6 with the Kunming sample, for example? Q Did Intertek find DMAA in samples from 7 7 those regions? A Yes. 8 Q And what did you do? 8 A That is correct. 9 A I -- we had -- in this case -- let me see 9 Q And were you using the same samples that 10 if I can kind of walk you through it. 10 they used? 11 All right. So we would have taken the 11 A For one of them. 12 individual plant sample -- if we're doing standard 12 Q Which one? 13 addition and we did this for all the samples -- we 13 A The Changzhou sample. Changzhou, it's 14 would take the sample, we'd analyze it. We would 14 got a specific number. Changzhou S11. 15 spike in a known concentration of 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA 15 O And he found -- and that was the same 16 into that plant, you know, level 1. And then we 16 sample that you tested, a split from it? 17 would spike in into another sample, another aliquot 17 A Yes, that was -- it was a split sample. 18 of that sample, level 2. Q And at what concentration levels did they 18 19 find it? So we have the unknown, level 1 spike and 19 20 level 2 spike. We analyzed all three of those 20 A Approximately 165 nanograms per gram. 21 samples for 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA. And then we generate 21 Q And you didn't find it at all? 22 a plot, and from that plot of signal versus spiked-in 22 A No. I found it at 254 nanograms per Page 211 Page 213 1 concentration, the negative X intercept is the 1 gram, roughly. 2 concentration in that aliquot that we spiked in, and 2 Q All right. And was there a sample where 3 then we back calculate based on the dilution factor 3 they found it and you didn't? 4 an extraction protocol that we use to determine the 4 A Yes. The Kunming and Guiyang samples. 5 5 Q Were they splits? concentration present in those samples. 6 Q So by doing this, you're trying to 6 A No. No. The Changzhou was the only one 7 determine if the original sample where you didn't see 7 that was an actual split sample. 8 8 signs of DMAA may have it at concentrations below Q Okay. And the difference again was 156 9 9 your ability to detect? versus 254 in concentration? 10 A Can you repeat that? 10 A Li had 165, and Fleming reported 254, 11 Q Sure. 11 plus or minus 17 nanograms per gram. 12 12 So you got a sample, you're not showing Q All right, sir. And how do you account 13 13 DMAA; you use standard addition and you spike it. Is for the difference in concentration levels across the this exercise to determine -- to double-check to 14 14 two tests? 15 15 determine whether or not DMAA is present in the MS. WOOLSON: Are you talking about the 16 sample below your MDLs? 16 S11 sample? 17 A We used -- I guess the best way to 17 MR. SCOTT: Yeah. 18 describe it is that we used an external calibration 18 THE WITNESS: So as I previously 19 procedure to determine concentrations, and we also 19 discussed earlier, you know, we were operating at 20 used the standard addition procedure to determine 20 concentrations that are considered trace levels on 21 concentrations, and both should agree approximately 21 the parts-per-billion scale, and at those levels the 22 with each other. 22 differences between laboratories can be as high as

Page 214 Page 216 100 percent, you know, basically using textbook 1 detect it. 1 2 2 definitions. Now, you know, why did they detect it and 3 BY MR. SCOTT: 3 I didn't? I mean, if it's a different harvest 4 Q How do you account for Li finding DMAA in 4 season, which, you know, I think I mentioned in my 5 the Kunming and Changzhou -- not Changzhou -- the 5 report that is a factor in variability as referenced 6 6 Kunming and the Guiyang samples and you not finding by other people. 7 it? 7 Q Now, did you do any scientific analysis 8 A So, one, they were different samples. 8 to determine why they were finding DMAA in samples 9 Let me be clear about that. Two, so they -- so they 9 that you weren't, whether it was impacted by harvest 10 have one, their Yunnan China one, they're reporting 10 season or any other things specific to the regions in 11 13.6 micrograms per gram -- I'm sorry, nanograms per 11 China where these samples came from? 12 gram of 1,3-DMAA in their plant. 12 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 13 Now, assuming that we were to get that 13 You can answer. 14 same plant sample, based on the analysis and analysis 14 THE WITNESS: What do you mean? You mean 15 at two, that was less than our method detection 15 like --16 limit, and in that case we actually would agree on 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 the report. I report as less than the method 17 Q Well, let's turn to your report. detection limit of 20, plus or minus 4; and Li 18 18 A Okay. 19 reported basically 14. And so I wouldn't detect 19 MS. WOOLSON: His report or his study? 20 MR. SCOTT: His study. I'm sorry. 20 that. 21 As far as the one at 365 nanometers, 21 BY MR. SCOTT: 22 22 again, I did not get that sample -- I'm sorry, Q Now, your original retention by USP Labs Page 217 Page 215 1 not nanometers -- 365 nanograms per gram, I did not 1 did not include you doing any work to display any 2 2 get that sample, so I can't really provide a reason discrepancies between results that you had and 3 why I wouldn't have detected it. 3 results that Li had, correct? 4 Q What region are you talking about for 4 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 5 5 that sample? You can answer. 6 A That's Guizhou (phonetic). 6 THE WITNESS: What do you mean? 7 Q So Guizhou, they came in -- Li came in at 7 BY MR. SCOTT: 8 8 165 nanograms? Q Well, when you were hired by USP Labs to 9 9 A No, 365 nanograms. test samples, did they also ask you to review Li's 10 10 Q 365 nanograms per gram? work for any purpose? 11 11 A Correct. A Like -- I mean, I looked at -- as far as 12 12 Q And you didn't find it at all. I know, as far as I remember, I looked at these, and A I didn't find it at all. And so, now, 13 13 ran with the sample, I ran with the analysis, and I 14 obviously that is above my method detection limits. 14 think at some point along the way, they, either 15 So if I had that sample, I should have been able to 15 through publication from Li or via e-mail, I found 16 detect it. And based on past performance, they 16 out what their results were, but I don't remember 17 detected -- you know, in their Changzhou sample where 17 18 they detected at 165 and I detected at 265 18 Q Well, did USP Labs make any suggestions 19 19 nanometers, it's very likely I would have detected to you regarding positions, arguments, information 20 it. And if the trends hold, I probably would have 20 that they would like to see in the report when it was 21 detected it somewhere around 400 or 450 nanograms per 21 published? 22 gram, but I didn't have that sample, so I didn't 22 A I don't recall off the top of my head.

Page 218 Page 220 1 Q What was the limit of detection on 1 Q All right, sir. Now, in that paragraph, 2 2 your -- the studies, the testing that you did of the it says that: "The results reported here provide 3 samples you were given? 3 evidence that 1,3-DMAA naturally occurs in geranium 4 4 A What are you asking again? plants in agreement with Li, but clearly in 5 Q You tested samples from USP Labs. 5 disagreement with other previously reported articles 6 6 by well-respected chemists and organizations." A Okay. 7 Q Did your analysis, the protocol you 7 Do you see that? 8 followed, have an LOD that was applicable to your 8 A I see that. 9 work? 9 Q Now, it goes on to say: "However, this may not be a question of right and wrong. In 10 10 A Yes. 11 O And what was that? 11 analytical chemistry, the critical review of data is A For Kunming, it was 20. For Analysis 12 important for explaining the differences on reported 12 13 Set 2, it was 20. For Analysis Set 3, it was 10 13 results. These data -- the differences can also 14 parts per billion. 10 nanograms per gram on 14 provide insight into why analysis of seemingly 15 Analysis 3. And then Analysis Set 1, looks like it's 15 identical plant species can result in very different 16 0.5 nanograms per gram for 1,3-DMAA. 16 outcomes." 17 THE WITNESS: Do you mind if I use the 17 Do you see that? 18 restroom real quick? 18 A I do. 19 MR. SCOTT: Sure. 19 Q Now, it goes on to say here that: "Khan 20 20 has published an extensive review showing that it is (Recess. 21 BY MR. SCOTT: 21 not uncommon for plants in different locations to 22 22 exhibit variations in their chemical compositions." Q Back on the record after a short break. Page 219 Page 221 Dr. Simone, I will remind you you're 1 1 Do you see that? still under oath. All right? 2 2 A Yes. 3 A Understood. 3 Q Now, is it your understanding, based on 4 Q And you understand that if you don't 4 the research that you've done, that plants can 5 understand my questions, you should tell me that. 5 demonstrate different chemical profiles from location 6 A Absolutely. 6 to location based on the type of chemical that's in 7 Q And if you need to take another break, 7 their profile? 8 8 that's in the cards too, all right? Let me back up. You look confused, so 9 9 let me try it again. 10 Now, you say here that fluctuating --10 Q If you would, look at Exhibit 4, your that: "Khan has published an extensive review 11 article. 11 12 A Okay. 12 showing it's not uncommon for plants in differing 13 Q Flip over to page 71. 13 locations to exhibit variations in their chemical 14 A All right. compositions." 14 1.5 Q If you would, on the left-hand column, 15 Do you see that? second paragraph down, read through that, and let me 16 16 A I see that. 17 know when you have, and I will have a couple of 17 Q What do you understand that to mean, that 18 18 they can show differences in their chemical questions. 19 19 compositions from different locations? A The one that starts "The results"? 20 20 A I mean -- it means exactly what it says Q Yes, sir. On page 71. 21 A (Perusing document.) 21 it means. 22 Okay. 22 Q Well, does that mean that they might

Washington, D.C. Page 222 Page 224 1 Q All right, sir. 1 make -- that a plant in one location might make 2 2 different chemicals than a plant in another location? A And others. 3 A I guess it's possible. But I'm not 3 Q And did you see any paper that indicated 4 4 necessarily an expert on that. that a plant, depending on its location, depending on 5 Q So that's something that you really don't 5 things like water intake and that type of thing, 6 6 know one way or the other? might make a different type of chemical from other 7 7 versions of it in another location, other versions of A I mean --8 8 the same plant? MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 9 You can answer. 9 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 10 THE WITNESS: I read the paper and he 10 You can answer. 11 talked about how plants at different locations 11 THE WITNESS: Not that I can recall. 12 exhibit variations in chemical compositions. I 12 BY MR. SCOTT: 13 relied on his expertise for that statement in his 13 Q Now, if you would look at the next 14 paper that he published, and -- I mean, that seems to 14 paragraph down, it says there: "Regional 15 be the -- I mean, I figured if he wrote it, it must 15 environmental variations could explain the presence 16 16 of 1,3-DMAA in the Changzhou S11, Changzhou 17 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 March 2012 and Changzhou May 2012 samples, and the 18 absence of 1,3-DMAA concentrations in Kunming and Q Well, when you read it, did you 18 19 understand he was saying that plants -- the same 19 Guiyang geranium samples reported here." 20 plant in different locations might make different 20 A Mm-hmm. 21 chemicals as opposed to making different 21 Q I will stop there for the moment. 22 22 Now, what were the differences in the concentrations of a common set of chemicals across Page 225 Page 223 1 the plants? 1 three Changzhou samples? 2 2 A (Perusing document.) MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 3 3 Just can you just restate the question? You can answer. 4 4 MR. SCOTT: Would you read it back, THE WITNESS: I mean, I'm not sure if 5 5 that statement suggests that one way or the other. please. 6 BY MR. SCOTT: 6 (Whereupon, the requested record was 7 Q You don't know the answer to that one way 7 8 THE WITNESS: They were collected at or the other? 8 9 9 A I would have to go like read the paper different times of the year. 10 BY MR. SCOTT: and see what he says. 10 11 11 Q All right, sir. And did you find -- were Q Well, your next statement here is: "For your results in testing for DMAA of those three 12 example, studies show that fluctuating geographical 12 13 samples different? dynamics, such as water stress and nutrient 13 14 14 availability in the soil, are associated with A Yes. 15 variations and cyanide concentration in the cassava 15 Q And how -- to what degree were they 16 plant." 16 different? 17 Do you see that? 17 A If we look at Analysis Set 2 and 3, we've 18 18 got Changzhou S11-2, a concentration of 254 nanograms A I see it. 19 19 per gram. So probably spring 2011 is my guess is Q And did that come from Dr. Khan's paper? 20 A No. It came from another paper we found. 20 when it was harvested. 21 Reference 19? 21 Well, let me not guess and tell you. 22 22 June 9th, 2011, and then Changzhou 3 was A Yeah. By a guy named Burns.

Page 226 Page 228 collected on May 18, 2012. 1 Did you do any scientific work to 1 2 2 determine whether or not there were any regional Q What was the concentration of that? 3 A Roughly 69 nanograms per gram. 3 effects on a geranium plant's ability to produce DMAA naturally? 4 4 Q And the third? 5 5 A We measured plants from three different A Well, the Changzhou 1, which was 6 6 collected on March 10th, 2012, came up as 213 regions and found variations in those results. 7 nanograms per gram, although the -- yeah. 7 Q All right, sir. And does that in and of Q Were you about to say something? 8 itself establish to a reasonable degree of scientific 8 9 A No. 9 certainty that there is a regional impact on a 10 10 geranium plant's ability to produce DMAA? O What was the error rate on that 11 particular sample? 11 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 12 A We only were able to analyze one sample 12 You can answer. 13 is what it looks like. Let me see if I can find it 13 THE WITNESS: I believe it is. 14 in the --14 BY MR. SCOTT: 15 Q Well, I've seen a reference to you losing 15 Q Okay. If you would, look at the exhibit. 16 one sample. Is this that circumstance? 16 Is this a series of e-mails between you and Erik 17 A Let me see. Yeah, I think it is. Let me 17 White at USP Labs? A That's what it looks to be. 18 see if I can find where I specifically said that. 18 19 Q Yeah. "There is no reported spike 19 Q All right, sir. At the bottom e-mail 20 analysis for Changzhou 1 due to a sample loss during 20 there, there's a reference from Paul Simone, an 21 analysis." 21 e-mail sent August 9, 2012, to Erik at USP Labs, 22 22 "Subject: A couple of quick questions." And we had no additional sample of it. Page 227 Page 229 1 So we reported it but were truthful about what 1 Do you see that? 2 2 happened to the spike. A Oh, yeah. 3 (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for 3 Q Now, was this the time frame when you 4 4 were writing your article, Exhibit 4? identification.) 5 BY MR. SCOTT: 5 A Probably, but I would actually have to 6 Q All right, sir. You have in front of you 6 look at the timestamps and all of that to give you a 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 7 definitive answer. 8 8 Exhibit 19 to your deposition. It's a two-page Q Well, the e-mail there says on the first 9 9 document bearing identification numbers line: "The draft of the paper is coming together 10 10 quite nicely, but I need to know the source of the UMPS-HT-005487 through 5488. 11 11 summer 2011 Intertek sample that ended up at the Now, first, let me ask you, did you do 12 any scientific research yourself to determine if 12 University of Memphis." 13 13 there was any regional variation in either whether or Do you see that? 14 A Then, yes, this is while I was writing not a geranium plant can produce DMAA or an effect on 14 15 the concentration levels that you might find in 15 the paper. 16 there, in a geranium plant? 16 Q It goes on to say in the next paragraph: 17 17 A Is that question related to this "Did it also come from the Changzhou region in China 18 document? 18 or was it grown at Intertek? I need to know because 19 19 the Intertek and Changzhou samples were the only two Q No, it's not. It's outside of that 20 document. We will get to the document in a minute. 20 that I analyzed that clearly showed 1,3-DMAA and 21 A Okay. So can you repeat the question? 21 1,4-DMAA concentrations as opposed to literally 22 Q Sure. 22 everybody else who has published but Li at Intertek."

Paul Sii	washing	gton, D.C	November 7, 2016
	Page 230		Page 232
1	Do you see that?	1	A (Perusing document.)
2	A I see that.	2	It looks to be a draft, yes.
3	Q And then it goes on to say: "So I'm	3	Q Did you prepare the draft or was
4	crafting a regional differences argument. This is	4	basically the pen handled by Ms. Fleming with you
5	why I need to know the origin."	5	editing it?
6	Do you see that?	6	A The what?
7	A I see that.	7	Q Is Ms. Fleming the one that did most of
8	Q And what do you mean there by "regional	8	the drafting with you editing her work?
9	differences argument"?	9	A I don't think that's I think it's
10	A I mean what I stated in the paper, the	10	she wrote sections, I wrote sections, I edited it.
11	these results can be explained by regional	11	Q All right. If you would, turn to the
12	differences of the plants' origins.	12	page in Exhibit 20 that is marked with the
13	Q But do you have scientific support that	13	identification number 2156.
14	that actually is the explanation as to why you found	14	A Okay.
15	differences in the plants?	15	Q And there is a comment box there. Do you
16	MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form.	16	see that?
17	BY MR. SCOTT:	17	A I see it.
18	Q That regional differences had an impact?	18	Q Do you know who wrote that?
19	A I had Khan's paper, which seemed pretty	19	A It looks like it was me.
20	credible.	20	Q And it says there: "Redo the section in
21	Q Did that deal with geraniums?	21	terms of variation in region rather than right/wrong.
22	A I don't recall if it did or not.	22	More likely to get through reviewers this way."
	Page 231		Page 233
1	Q How about DMAA, did Khan's paper that you	1	Do you see that?
2	were relying on deal with DMAA?	2	A I see it.
3	A I don't recall that it did, off the top	3	Q What did you mean by that?
4	of my head.	4	A Which part?
5	Q All right, sir. You can put that one	5	Q Well, "Redo the section in terms of
6	aside for the moment.	6	variations in region rather than right/wrong," what
7	Did anyone from USP Labs provide you any	7	did you mean by that?
8	suggestion that you might want to make a regional	8	A Well, let me read this, and then I can
9	differences argument regarding your findings relating	9	tell you.
10	to DMAA?	10	(Perusing document.)
11	A Not that I can recall.	11	I have no idea why that comment is there.
12	(Exhibit No. 20 was marked for	12	Nothing in that comment relates to regional
13	identification.)	13	variations.
14	BY MR. SCOTT:	14	Q Nothing in the comment or
15	Q All right, sir. You've been handed	15	A Nothing highlighted by that comment
16	what's been marked for identification purposes as	16	refers to regional variations.
17	Exhibit 20 to your deposition. It's a multi-page	17	Q Well, does that indicate perhaps you
18	document bearing identification numbers	18	wanted something in there about regional variations?
19	UMPS-HT-002154 through 2168.	19	A No. I mean, this was a this was a
20	And I will ask you if you can identify	20	draft, and, I mean, things come in and out of drafts.
21	this as a draft of what was eventually your published	21	Q Do you believe that talking in the
22	article, Exhibit 4?	22	context of possible regional variations would have an

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

Page 234

impact on your ability to get this published?

A Say that again.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q Sure. Well, there is a suggestion there, "Redo this section in terms of variation in region rather than right/wrong. More likely to get through reviewers this way." What reviewers are you referring to?
  - A Probably the peer reviewers of the paper.
- Q So did you believe that if you talked about your results in the context of possibly there being some regional variations in the geranium plants' ability to produce DMAA that that would help you get it past reviewers for publication?

A Let me step back and put it to you this way. Have you -- well, so in the peer review process, all right, you are trying to get your peers in the field to -- they are reviewing your work as well as criticizing it, right. And so then you are going to get those reviews back and you are going to try to address them.

So there's two ways to go about this. One, you go all willy-nilly and you put everything plants, namely Khan, I. A. Khan, I believe -- yeah,

Page 236

Page 237

1 2 I. A. Khan, who is an expert in that, and thus, give 3 my statement more credibility, rather than just 4 coming from some analytical chemist.

> Q Okay. Are you offering an opinion in this case regarding the regional variability of geranium plants as it relates to the production of DMAA?

A Based on -- (perusing document.) Based on the review of literature by -that I referenced, the composition of geranium plants and extracts varies widely based on metal ion variation in the soil, growing region and growing climate. The method of preparation can affect the apparent composition of the geranium oil extracts, three additional references. That's on paragraph 74. And thus, unless the samples and methods of preparation were comparable, the results of the various studies could differ.

Q Okay. We'll get to that one and we will talk about it.

If you would turn in your report, which

Page 235

you ever wanted to say in a paper, whether they are founded by -- they are backed up or not. Or you take a critical eye at your own work and you review that work as if you were a reviewer yourself.

And I looked at what had been written as if I was a reviewer, and I made some comment. I don't know why it's -- I don't know why it's highlighted in that section, because this was done in roughly the time frame of August 2012, so four years ago. So like why I highlighted a particular section, I couldn't tell you.

But I mean that highlight -- I mean that comment does get to what ultimately ended up in this paper that this isn't necessarily a case of right and wrong, this is a case of regional availability. We went and looked for a reference due to that. So that when we put it in the paper, we actually had something to reference. Instead of just me saying it, who was an analytical chemist with, you know, limited background in regional variability of plants, I got a reference that somebody who has a very extensive background in regional variability in

is Exhibit 3, to page 35.

A Okay.

Q Now, before we get into page 35, I want to ask you a question that doesn't have anything to do with it, so I don't want you to get confused here. Okay?

A All right.

Q Did you consider for your work using GC with flame ion detector, the work that you did testing for DMAA for USP Labs?

11 A I don't recall specifically considering 12 it

13 Q Would you consider that to be a useful technology to use in looking for DMAA? 14

A Yeah.

MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 BY MR. SCOTT:

> Q In paragraph 23, page 15 of your report. MS. WOOLSON: We're not going to page 35? MR. SCOTT: Nope. We're going to go to

15 now.

Washington, D.C. Page 238 Page 240 1 THE WITNESS: What page? 1 there is because while FID, flame ionization 2 2 BY MR. SCOTT: detection, is nearly universal, it's not what you 3 3 would call the most selective of detectors. It's Q Page 15? 4 4 MS. WOOLSON: 15. Paragraph 23. going to give a peak whenever you have reduced 5 5 BY MR. SCOTT: carbon. 6 6 Q Paragraph 23. MS techniques, mass spectroscopy, is nice 7 7 because it's universal and similar in universality to A Okay. 8 8 Q It says there that: "The trend in the FID, if not more so, and it's also more 9 detection over the last 30 years has been to replace 9 selective. So you can specify what you're looking chemically and specific detectors; e.g., flame 10 10 for rather than -- so if you look at GC/MS and you're 11 ionization detection for GC, or ultraviolet visible 11 using retention time and the mass-to-charge ratio 12 absorption and fluorescent detectors for HP/LC with 12 that is detected with flame ionization detection, 13 mass spectrometry MS techniques." 13 you're using solely the retention time as your 14 Do you see that? 14 identifier, and so you end up with basically two 15 A Mm-hmm. Yes. 15 chemistries for identification when you use GC/MS or 16 Q And it goes on to say: "For example, the 16 separation with an MS detector. 17 flame ionization detector is selective for reduced 17 Q Okay. When you say that the flame carbon in a chemical compound. This means that it 18 18 ionization detector is prone to interferences, what 19 detects chemicals like methane, propane, butane, 19 does that mean? 20 20 gasoline, benzene, 1,3-DMAA, et cetera." A It means that when you do your separation 21 Do you see that? 21 on your gas chromatography column, if there is -- if 22 22 two compounds coelute, then that means they elute at A I do. Page 239 Page 241 1 Q And it goes on and says: "Because it 1 the same time, emerge from the column at the same 2 responds to all forms of reduced carbon, it is merely time, then you're going to get essentially one peak. 3 a universal detector and, thus, prone to 3 You're not going to be able to necessarily tell the 4 interferences." 4 difference between those two if you simply use Do you see that? 5 5 external calibration, and one way you get around that 6 A I see that. 6 is actually use standard addition to provide -- to 7 Q What does that mean? 7 minimize interferences due to coelution. 8 8 A So if we -- you are asking what it means. Q Now, did you use frame ionization in your 9 9 So your original question was, is it useful? Yeah, work? 10 it's useful. But, I mean, it means exactly what it 10 A No. 11 11 say it means. The flame ionization detector responds Q Is that because of the interference 12 to reduced carbon, which means typically carbon with 12 problem? 13 13 hydrogen bonded to it, so in the case of propane, A No. 14 it's three carbons, I think eight hydrogens, and so 14 Q Why then? 15 it's going to produce a signal that is proportional 15 A Because the -- well, two reasons. One, 16 to the number of those reduced carbons. 16 at the time I don't believe I had the GC/FID to do 17 17 the analysis. That I could devote to the project. But for organic compounds, it's 18 essentially universal, and so if you have a lot of 18 And, two, the HPLC with tandem MS provides more 19 19 chemical species present in whatever you're sampling selectivity and better detection limits than the gas 20 that are also reduced carbon, the flame ionization 20 chromatography with flame ionization detection. 21 detector will produce a signal for those as well. 21 Q All right. So it's more accurate? 22 Now, the reason I kind of put that all in 22 A No, it's -- it has better detection

Washington, D.C. Page 242 Page 244 limits and better selectivity. 1 Q All right, sir. So we have here, you've 1 2 Q Okay. All right. So now back to 2 got -- in paragraph 64, it says: "If we consider 3 page 35. 3 only those reports that provide limits of detection 4 4 at or near the 1 -300 ppb range" --There is a heading there on page 35 of 5 your report, Exhibit 3, that says: "There are three 5 That's parts per billion? 6 6 reports of 1,3-DMAA being present in geranium oils or A Yes, parts per billion. 7 plants: Ping 1996; Li, et al., 2012; and Fleming, et 7 Q -- "which is the levels of 1,3-DMAA most al., 2012. There are six reports of 1,3-DMAA not 8 8 commonly reported, then it is two reports 9 being detected in geranium oils or plants: Lisi 9 demonstrating presence of 1,3-DMAA," Li and Fleming, 10 2011; DiLorenzo, et al., 2012; ElSohly, et al., 2012; 10 right? 11 Zhang, et al., 2012; Austin, et al., 2013; and 11 A Yes. 12 ElSohly, et al., 2015." 12 Q -- "and four demonstrating absence," 13 Do you see that? 13 ElSohly, 2012 and 2015; Zhang, 2012; Austin, 2013. 14 14 Do you see that? 15 Q And you do not include in this any of the 15 A I see that. Q Now, referring back to the six articles 16 surveys of geranium oil, the general surveys that 16 17 were done that did not show there was DMAA in them, 17 that you talked about there, it says: "While these correct? studies are approximately equal in terms of rigor," 18 18 19 A I did not. 19 what do you mean by that? 20 Q And why is that? 20 A They have provided the method detection 21 A Because if we look at only those reports 21 limits or limits of detection and other analytical 22 22 that provide detection, that provide limits of figures of merit to make a judgment on their report Page 243 Page 245 1 detection at or near the 1 to 300 ppb range where 1 of presence or absence. And that that judgment is 2 1,3-DMAA has been mostly commonly reported. Then we 2 applied equally. You know, it's two reports for and 3 have two reports demonstrating presence of 1,3-DMAA, 3 four reports against. 4 Li, 2012; Fleming, 2012; and four demonstrating the 4 Q So it goes on to say: "That While these 5 absence, ElSohly, 2012 and 2015; Zhang, 2012; and 5 studies are approximately equal in rigor, the 6 Austin, 2013. 6 importance of interlaboratory confirmations of the 7 Q Now, is it your view that the Ping study 7 presence of 1,3-DMAA in plants cannot be 8 is of equal scientific validity of the other studies 8 understated." 9 9 that are referenced in this paragraph? Do you see that? 10 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form, asked 10 A I do. 11 11 and answered. Q And what do you mean by that? 12 You can answer. 12 A It means that when we look at analysis, 13 THE WITNESS: Which paragraph? 13 it's having essentially two independent labs analyze 14 BY MR. SCOTT: 14 a sample and come up with a similar number is a good 15 Q Paragraph 63. 15 thing. That's an additional level of rigor for the 16 A I mean paragraph 64 says no. In terms of 16 presence or absence of that compound. 17 17 my -- I guess my viewpoint of if you are going to Q Okay. Well, in this case you had two 18 claim detection or absence, then you need to provide 18 labs taking the same sample and applying the same 19 the analytical figures of merit, and those parameters 19 protocol to test it, the one that was developed by 20 that I discussed, such as limit of detection, to 20 Intertek, correct? 21 provide a boundary condition on whether it is or is 21 A Correct. Roughly. 22 not there. 22 Q Would you really expect to find -- get

Washington, D.C. Page 246 Page 248 1 any different results than what you got from the 1 A I mean it was a two-lab interlaboratory 2 standpoint of you finding DMAA in the sample that you 2 study. That's about as small as you can get. 3 tested? 3 Q Particularly when you're dealing with one MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 4 4 sample. 5 5 You can answer. MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 6 6 THE WITNESS: I mean, the -- yeah, the You can answer. 7 personnel were different. The specifics of the 7 THE WITNESS: Just in terms of 8 analysis, like the instrumentation used, as far as I 8 laboratories. I mean, two laboratories is as small 9 know, were different. And not only did we -- yes, we 9 as you can get. One laboratory is not an 10 built upon Li's method, but then we added our own 10 interlaboratory study. additional standard addition on top of that really to 11 11 BY MR. SCOTT: 12 make the paper as bulletproof as we could. 12 Q Have you done interlaboratory studies 13 (Exhibit No. 21 was marked for 13 before where you were dealing with a test of one 14 identification.) 14 split sample as part of that study? 15 BY MR. SCOTT: 15 A Before this? 16 Q All right, sir. You've got in front of 16 Yeah. O 17 you what is marked for identification purposes as 17 No. A 18 Exhibit 21 to your deposition. It is a multi-page Q Since then? 18 19 document bearing identification numbers 19 A We have participated in an 20 UMPS-HT-005965 through 5969. interlaboratory study with three samples. 20 21 If you would look at that, and it appears 21 How many labs? 22 to be some e-mail traffic between you and Erik of USP 22 I believe it's three. Page 247 Page 249 1 Labs on or around April 19, 2013. 1 Q And had one of the labs already tested 2 2 A Do you want me to read the -the sample to see what was in it before you did this 3 3 Q Just familiarize yourself with that interlaboratory study? 4 that's what it is, and then I will point you to some 4 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 5 5 specifics and then with questions. You can answer. 6 A Okay. (Perusing document.) 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 Q All right, sir. If you would, look on 7 But I will say that apparently the 8 8 the first page, the e-mail that was written by you, Changzhou region is difficult to get samples from. 9 9 BY MR. SCOTT: where it says from Paul Simone, dated April 19th at 10 10 7:13 p.m. Q Pardon? 11 11 Do you see that? A Apparently the Changzhou region is 12 A I see that. 12 difficult to get samples from. I don't know why. 13 13 Q In that e-mail, third paragraph down, it Q Have you tried to get them and couldn't? says: "So my thoughts were that the Li paper and the 14 14 A No. But Professor ElSohly's group, his 15 Fleming paper ended up being a very small 15 multi-center study, did not. 16 interlaboratory study using a split sample of 16 Q Turning to page 37 of the report. 17 geranium, Changzhou sample, based on the Li, et al., 17 A My expert report? 18 method that was published first." 18 Q Yes, sir. 19 19 Do you see that? Okay. 20 20 Q It says there in paragraph 67 that: "The A I do. 21 Q What do you mean there by "a very small 21 FDA falsely states that detection of 1,3-DMAA 22 interlaboratory study"? 22 requires derivatization for analysis at the low

2.1

Page 250

concentrations reported." And then it goes on to say: "The FDA clearly does not understand the purpose of derivatization in analysis."

Did in fact you conduct a derivatization analysis as part of your study of the samples provided to you by USP Labs?

A I did both. I did both with and without derivatization. In the first study in the published geranium paper in the 2012 Analytical Chemistry Insights paper, we did direct detection of 1,3- and 1,4-DMAA.

In the second set of analyses that we did for the chiral analysis of the 1,3-DMAA, we used derivatization to convert the two pairs of enantiomers to four diastereomers to more effectively separate our stereoisomers.

And so in terms of detection of the 1,3-DMAA at the parts per billion level, you don't have to derivatize it. In terms of separating the stereoisomers, you have to do it one of two ways. You have to have either a chiral column, which we tried, and that chiral column was actually developed

Page 252

not as sensitive as tandem MS or really any other methods, such as like flame ionization detection. So like the fact that it didn't work didn't necessarily surprise me. It was a high risk/high reward proposal.

Q All right, sir. If you would, turn to page 38 of your report. And I will direct you to paragraph 69.

About halfway down that paragraph, it says that: "The FDA makes a point to note that the four studies not funded by USP Labs did not find 1,3-DMAA in geranium, but ignores the fact that those studies were funded by agencies such as the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, the Australian government through the anti-doping research program of the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, and U.S. doping agencies."

Do you see that?

A Mm-hmm. Yes.

Q What is the point you are trying to make

there?

A Well, if I recall that FDA letter

Page 251

by Armstrong's group, Daniel Armstrong, who published with Zhang. It turns out it didn't work. I don't really know why it didn't work. The chiral column

separation didn't work. And so then we turned to the chiral derivatizing agents of Fleck and Mosher's acid

chloride.

Q Was any of this work used as a basis for any of the opinions you are offering in this case?

A No, I did not refer to the -- to the Fleck or Mosher's acid chloride work.

Q All right. Have you done NMR analysis of geranium plants for DMAA analysis?

A We tried, yeah.

Q And does that mean you have not been able to successfully do that?

A Well, we -- we were able to develop an extraction method for NMR analysis of 1,3-DMAA in geranium, but the detection limits were not low enough to successfully detect it. The detection limits were somewhere between 100 and 1000 times too high for NMR.

And that's not uncommon for NMR. NMR is

Page 253

correctly, and others, they've previously noted that the studies funded by USP Labs were -- what is the word for it? -- I guess influenced because of their funding agency.

And what I'm pointing out there is that this is basically how academic research works. Most people don't do work and they don't start work unless it's -- and they -- they don't go through major efforts unless it's funded by somebody. You've got to pay for your time. I've got to pay for my time. I've got to pay for graduate student time. I've got to pay for supplies, materials, reagents. And the same is true of those other reports that did not fund.

And that's -- you know, that's the -- that's the landscape of academic research today. Not everybody gets funding from the alphabet agencies of the United States government. That's getting harder and harder to get. And so we turn to alternative sources for funding and to do research.

Q Was your ability to attract funding for research one of the factors that went into whether or

Washington, D.C. Page 254 Page 256 not you receive tenure? 1 Q Have you published any other articles in 1 open access periodicals where someone had to pay for 2 2 A Repeat the question. 3 Q Sure. Was your ability to attract 3 that to be published? 4 4 A Yes. funding to support research one of the factors that 5 was looked at in making a tenure decision regarding 5 Q And how many others have you published 6 6 that way? you? 7 A Yes. In fact, it's stated in all our job 7 A I published an article in a journal 8 called Beverages, and the publisher themselves paid 8 ads for the University of Memphis, that you are 9 expected to develop an externally funded research 9 for the fee by waiving the fee. 10 program, and part of that is getting funding from any 10 Q Have you had anybody else who, for 11 source. A dollar is a dollar, it all spends the 11 example, you were doing the research, they funded it, 12 same. And on top of that you're expected to publish 12 and then they paid for your publication, other than 13 and graduate students, and that's -- that's the life 13 14 as a professor. 14 A No, but it's not particularly uncommon to 15 Q In relation to your published study 15 have funded proposals have publication fees in them 16 pertaining to the DMAA work, was a payment made to 16 to pay for publication. 17 the publisher to publish that particular study? 17 Q Okay. And have you -- other than the one A Yes. Which is pretty normal for open in the Beverages magazine where the publisher waived 18 18 19 access articles. Somebody's got to pay those 19 the fee, have you paid to publish any other articles? 20 20 publication costs. A Not that I can recall. 21 Q When you say "open access" publishers, 21 Q Now, in the next page, page 40 of your 22 what are you talking about there? 22 report --Page 255 Page 257 1 A So Analytical Chemistry Insights is an 1 A Expert report? 2 open access publisher, which means that instead of 2 Q Yes, sir. 3 3 articles being behind a pay wall that requires a -- it says that: "The analytical 4 4 subscription or a per-article payment to gain access chemists conducting the research are there to review 5 5 to that article, it's freely available to the public. published work, report the findings of the research, 6 There are some journals that are solely 6 and try to determine why the differences arise. The 7 open access, and there are some journals that are a 7 presence of 1,3-DMAA in geranium is not right and 8 8 hybrid. And I -- I believe -- so a good example is wrong. There is credible evidence supporting the 9 9 one that I published in the past is -- Analytica presence of DMAA in geraniums. Other researchers 10 Chimica Acta is a highly respected international 10 came up with contradictory results. The real 11 11 applied analytical journal, and when you submit the question for FDA is should be why are they 12 paper, you've got a choice between submit as a closed 12 contradictory." 13 13 source, closed access, where you have to have a Do you see that? 14 subscription, or you can choose to pay the open 14 A I do. 15 access publication fee to make it available. 15 Q Now, did you do any additional work to 16 16 determine why the results that you got are Another good example, as best as I can 17 tell, is that Drug Testing and Analysis operates on 17 contradictory from everyone else who has published 18 that hybrid model where some are open access and some 18 except for Li and Ping? 19 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 20 20 Q Now, USP Labs paid to have your article, You can answer. 21 Exhibit 4 to your deposition, published? 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 A Yes. 22 BY MR. SCOTT:

Washington, D.C. Page 258 Page 260 1 Q Turn, if you would, in your expert report 1 And so at that point you've actually 2 2 to page 42. got -- as long as your acid solution is sufficiently 3 A Okay. 3 acidic, you are unlikely to have significant portions 4 4 of your 1,3-DMAA partition into hexane. That's Q And on page 42, in the second paragraph, 5 5 reducing your detection limits. it says: "This explanation does not answer the why, 6 6 why do the Li and Fleming, et al., reports differ Another good example is there is a point 7 from the rest of the published research regarding the 7 where we neutralize our acid extraction solution so 8 8 presence of 1,3-DMAA in geranium." that the pH is roughly 12 while the -- and when we 9 Do you see that? 9 talk about DMAA, it's an amine, and the pKa for that 10 10 amine is approximately nine and a half to 10. It's A I see that. 11 Q And then it goes through and based on the 11 -- I don't know if it's actually been measured, but 12 review of the literature, and there are several 12 that's roughly what it is. And so if the pH of your 13 articles there or authors there that are referenced. 13 extraction solution is nine and a half, then you're 14 beginning with Jain, J-A-I-N, in 2001, going down to 14 going to get about 50 percent, maybe a little bit 15 Khan in 2006. 15 more, of your DMAA out of that solution, because half 16 And then the text picks up and says: 16 of it is ionized and half of it is neutral. 17 "The composition of geranium plants and oil extracts 17 So in that case if you make sure you 18 varies widely depending on metal ion variation in the raise your pH to 12, then you essentially ensure that 18 19 19 soil, growing region and growing climate." all of your DMAA is sufficiently neutral to extract 20 20 Do you see that? most of that out. 21 A I see that. 21 BY MR. SCOTT: 22 22 Q What work did you do to study the soil, Q Did any of the studies that looked at Page 259 Page 261 1 growing region and growing climate of the samples of 1 DMAA in geranium plants and did not find it or 2 geranium that you tested for USP Labs where you found 2 reported not to find it handle the samples in a way 3 3 DMAA? that impacted the presence of DMAA in the samples 4 A Like us personally in the research lab, 4 when they were tested? 5 5 did we test those things? MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 6 Q Yes. 6 You can answer. 7 A I did not. 7 THE WITNESS: Well, possibly. I -- if I 8 8 Q Were you able to identify any method of -- if I recall correctly, I believe the ElSohly 9 9 preparation regarding the geranium plants that you work -- let me see if I can find the exact discussion 10 were testing that might affect the chemical profile 10 of it. I don't want to say something wrong here. 11 11 that you were able to find once you did the Based on the evidence in front of me that 12 testing? 12 was given to me by the -- and given to me as 13 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 13 exhibits -- well, what was the question again? I'm 14 14 You can answer. sorry. 15 THE WITNESS: I mean, when you are doing 15 MR. SCOTT: Please read it back. 16 the extraction and the analysis, there's a point 16 (Whereupon, the requested record was 17 where you do the same cleanup step. And so you've 17 18 got -- you're partitioning matrix elements. I don't 18 THE WITNESS: Based on the exhibits given 19 19 really know what they are other than matrix elements. to me and that I can recall reading and in my expert 20 20 report, I don't recall if there are or are not. Things that are not analyte. You're partitioning 21 that between hexane and the acidified extraction 21 BY MR. SCOTT: 22 solution of -- of hydrochloric acid. 22 Q What do you mean by the term "metal ion

Washington, D.C. Page 262 Page 264 1 variation in the soil"? And it's on page 42, 1 Q And that relates to what is actually 2 2 being sold in this sentence, DMAA in supplements? paragraph 74. 3 A Well, based on my review of the 3 A I thought it was the DMAA in the plants. 4 literature, one of those discussed metal ion 4 Q Well, your sentence says "DMAA in the 5 variations in the soil and how it impacted 5 supplements." 6 composition of the geranium plant. 6 A Oh, oh, oh, oh. Okay. 7 Q How does ion -- metal ion variation in 7 So based on -- so let me put it this way: 8 the soil impact production of DMAA by geranium 8 I guess the argument is that -- let's see. I think I 9 plants? 9 addressed it. Okay. 10 10 A I have no hard data to discuss that. So if the DMAA in the supplements is 11 Q You don't know? 11 naturally occurring, then the composition of the 12 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 12 stereoisomers in the plants and the supplements 13 You can answer. 13 should be similar. What -- that's -- yeah, it should THE WITNESS: I don't know. 14 14 be similar. 15 BY MR. SCOTT: 15 Q Do you know of any supplement seller who 16 Q All right, sir. If you would, still on 16 is selling products with DMAA in them that is using a 17 page 42, look down at paragraph 75. 17 naturally occurring DMAA as opposed to a synthetic 18 A Okay. 18 one in its products? 19 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 19 Q And it says there that: The stereoisomer 20 chemistry of 1,3-DMAA, as discussed above in 20 You can answer. 21 paragraphs 27 through 36, is at the core of the 21 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that 22 arguments concerning whether 1,3-DMAA in supplements 22 commercial DMAA is all synthetic, to the best of my Page 263 Page 265 is naturally occurring." 1 knowledge. I mean, it's -- and based on my review 2 Do you see that? of -- you know, it seems USP Labs have been 2 3 A I do. 3 synthetically produced. 4 What do you mean by that? 4 But the -- so when you get to the plant, 5 A So -- let me look at 27 to 36 just so I 5 the argument is, well, it's not there from ElSohly 6 can be sure what I'm talking about there. 6 and others. And I argue differently, that it is in 7 (Perusing document.) 7 fact there. I detected it in the plants that I 8 8 I am discussing how the -- can you repeat analyzed. And so now it becomes, well, okay, if 9 9 the question? the -- if the stuff, the DMAA in the plant should 10 Q Sure. 10 be -- should have an enantiomeric excess -- this is 11 11 Beginning on page 42, paragraph 75, you based on ElSohly's arguments -- that because it's 12 say that: "The stereoisomer chemistry of 1,3-DMAA, 12 a -- because it's biosynthetically produced within 1.3 as discussed above in paragraphs 27 through 36, is at 13 the plant itself, that it should have an enantiomeric 14 the core of the arguments concerning whether 1,3-DMAA 14 excess of one or -- an enantiomeric excess of at 15 in the supplements is naturally occurring." 15 least one chiral center. So 1,3-DMAA has two chiral 16 Do you see that? 16 centers. Those are four stereoisomers. 17 A I see that. 17 Now, what we have shown in the plant is 18 Q And what did you mean by that? 18 that we've got two diastereomer peaks which are -- if 19 A It's how the -- the ratios of the 19 you are looking at the supplement, those diastereomer 20 stereoisomers and diastereomers are at the core of 20 peaks are each composed of two additional 21 the arguments on people arguing for and against the 21 stereoisomer peaks, right. Because we can't separate 22 naturally occurring presence of 1,3-DMAA in geranium. 22 those pairs -- we can't separate the enantiomers

Washington, D.C. Page 266 Page 268 within that peak, the diastereomer peak without using MS. WOOLSON: So, beyond what we've 1 1 2 2 some kind of chiral derivatization technique. talked about all day long and all the sentences we 3 Now, in the plant there has been no 3 have talked about all day long? 4 published work that shows whether -- that shows the 4 MR. SCOTT: Wow, so we've got an extract 5 composition, the stereoisomer ratios of those four 5 of natural DMAA somewhere, we talked about that at 6 6 stereoisomers. some point? 7 BY MR. SCOTT: 7 MS. WOOLSON: I'm asking you --8 8 Q All right. Well, let me ask you to flip MR. SCOTT: I'm asking a question. 9 over to page 47 of your report, paragraph 86. 9 MS. WOOLSON: -- to clarify your 10 10 A Okav. question. We've been here for seven-and-a-half hours 11 Q It says there: "Given the state of 11 12 knowledge regarding the presence of 1,3-DMAA in 12 MR. SCOTT: I'm happy with it. Let's go. 13 geranium plants and the diastereomer ratios measured 13 THE WITNESS: Am I answering the 14 therein, the 1,3-DMAA found in the plant is 14 question? 15 equivalent to the 1,3-DMAA found in the supplement." 15 MS. WOOLSON: If you understand the 16 So that means that what you're seeing in 16 question, you can answer it. 17 the artificial -- from the standpoint of the 17 THE WITNESS: What is the question again? 18 stereoisomer and the diastereomer ratios in the BY MR. SCOTT: 18 19 plant, that based on your work that you're seeing are 19 Q Sure. 20 the same as those ratios for the -- understand --20 Have you found a standard of natural 21 what you understand to be artificial or synthetically 21 extract of DMAA anywhere? 22 produced DMAA in the supplements? 22 A A -- so I understand, somebody has taken Page 267 Page 269 1 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 1 geranium that has been proven to contain DMAA or 2 known to grow 1,3-DMAA and extracted it and provided 2 You can answer. 3 MR. SCOTT: Let me withdraw that one and 3 a reference sample? 4 4 Q Yes. try it again. 5 BY MR. SCOTT: 5 A To the best of my knowledge, no. 6 O There is a ratio, a diastereomer --6 Q All right, sir. Now, in paragraph 86 on 7 A Diastereomer. 7 page 47, you go on to say: "If at some point in the 8 8 Q -- diastereomer ratio that you see in the future the measurement of the ratios of the four 9 9 supplements which you know to be synthetic DMAA. 1,3-DMAA stereoisomers can be successfully done in 10 A As reported by Zhang and others, I think. 10 the plant material that shows the plant has a 11 Q And you don't have any reason to dispute 11 distinctly different stereoisomer ratio than the 12 supplements, then we may be able to say that the two 12 that? 13 13 are not equivalent." A No. 14 14 Q And you're seeing the same ratio in the What did you mean by that? 15 DMAA that you see in the plant? 15 A So -- it kind of goes back to the -- so 16 A Correct. Roughly. 16 we have -- for 1,3-DMAA we have four stereoisomers. 17 Q Now, have you been able to find any 17 Now, the state of knowledge thus far, as published, 18 reference sample of what is purported to be a 18 has only measured the two peaks that contain those 19 naturally occurring extract of DMAA? 19 four stereoisomers. And so within those two peaks, 20 A As in? 20 it -- what we don't know is are the pair of 21 MS. WOOLSON: That's the full question? 21 enantiomers equal or are they different. 22 MR. SCOTT: Yes. 22 So -- if we -- so our four stereoisomers

Page 270 Page 272 are RR, SS, SR, RS, two sets. So RR and SS are a 1 Zhang 2012; and De Lorenzo 2012 studies, both in 1 2 2 terms of analytical chemistry and the level of pair. But what we don't know is, is the ratios of RR 3 to SS equal or are they different? And there is no 3 authentication of geranium plant samples. 4 4 Do you see that? published study that shows whether they are equal or 5 they are different in the plant. What Zhang has 5 A I see that. 6 6 shown is that they -- they are apparently equal in Q And so it goes on to say that: "The 7 7 differences between the sets of reports have yet to the supplements. 8 be adequately explained. The best explanation is the 8 Q Okay. So the stereoisomer ratio, no one 9 knows whether they're equal or not in the plants 9 geranium plants composition varies based on a variety 10 based on the research that's been done to date? 10 of factors that were not addressed by the studies." 11 11 That's your opinion? 12 Q But in the synthetic, we know that they 12 A That's what I wrote. 13 are equal, the stereoisomer ratios in the 13 Q Okay. And that -- and you've testified 14 supplements, the synthetic version that is used in 14 that you have no opinion regarding whether or not 15 the supplements? 15 there is a biological pathway by which geranium 16 A So to be clear, Zhang, as far as I know, 16 plants can actually produce DMAA, correct? 17 only measured one supplement that was reported. And 17 A I don't really know much about biological I don't -- and I think there was that one CE paper 18 18 pathways, so yes. 19 that I think reported the supplements. I don't 19 Q Okay. And it goes on to say that on 90, 20 remember if they were equal or not. 20 that no one has been able to demonstrate that the 21 Q Okay. But then -- so we don't know what 21 1,3-DMAA in the plants is composed of only two of the 22 22 is in the plants based on any scientific evidence? four stereoisomers rather than all four stereoisomers Page 273 Page 271 1 A We know the diastereomer ratios are 1 present in the supplements, correct? 2 2 equal. A Correct. 3 Q Based on your work? 3 Q And at the moment, the only information 4 A Roughly equal. My work and -- did Li 4 or support for finding that the stereoisomers in the 5 5 measure it? plant are equivalent to those in the supplements is 6 (Perusing document.) 6 vour study. 7 It looks like Li could have measured it, 7 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 8 8 the 2012 Li paper, but it does not look -- I cannot You can answer. 9 9 tell if he reported it or not. So it looks like I'm BY MR. SCOTT: 10 the only one who has explicitly reported that 10 Q Correct? 11 11 diastereomer ratio in the plants. A Say that again. 12 Q All right, sir. Now, under the heading 12 Q Sure. Has anybody but you measured the on page 48, "Summary of Opinions," your first opinion 13 13 stereoisomers in the plant, the DMAA where -- in a is, in summary, it's your opinion that geranium 14 14 plant? 15 plants analyzed by both Li and Fleming contain 15 MS. WOOLSON: Objection to form. 16 concentrations of DMAA in the amounts that are 16 You can answer. 17 reported in your -- in your respective studies, 17 THE WITNESS: Not explicitly. 18 correct? 18 MR. SCOTT: Let me take a few minutes and 19 19 A Correct. confer, and see if we can't get you out of here, sir. 20 Q And then it goes on to say that these 20 THE WITNESS: I will use the restroom. 21 studies were done to the same level of rigor in your 21 MR. SCOTT: Sure, go ahead. 22 view as the ElSohly 2012, 2015; the Austin 2013; 22 (Recess.)

	Washington, D.C.						
	Page 274		Page 276				
1	MR. SCOTT: All right. We're back on the	1	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT				
2	record after what I believe to be our final break.	2	I hereby certify that I have read and examined the				
3	Dr. Simone, we're done for the day.	3	foregoing transcript, and the same is a true and				
4	I will note for the record that on the	4	accurate record of the testimony given by me.				
5	chance that we get additional opinions from you or	5	Any additions or corrections that I feel are				
6	supplemental opinions from you, we reserve the right	6	necessary, I will attach on a separate sheet of				
7	to reopen and continue the deposition.	7	paper to the original transcript.				
8	THE WITNESS: I understand.	8					
9	MS. WOOLSON: All right. Duly noted.	9	Signature of Deponent				
10	MR. SCOTT: All right. Thank you.	10	I hereby certify that the individual representing				
11	THE REPORTER: Sheila, would you like a	11	himself/herself to be the above-named individual,				
12	copy of the transcript?	12	appeared before me this day of,				
13	MS. WOOLSON: Yes, I would. I would like	13	2016, and executed the above certificate in my				
14	a draft e-mailed as soon as possible, and we will	14	presence.				
15	take the transcript regular time turnaround.	15					
16	(Whereupon, at 5:27 p.m. the	16					
17	deposition of PAUL SIMONE, Ph.D.	17	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR				
18	was concluded.)	18					
19		19					
20		20	County Name				
21		21					
22		22	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:				
	Page 275		Do no. 277				
			Page 277				
1	Notice Date: November 17, 2016	1	-				
1 2	Notice Date: November 17, 2016 Deposition Date: November 7, 2016	1 2	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC				
	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016		CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC				
2		2	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the				
2	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D.	2	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC				
2 3 4	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016  Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D.  Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	2 3 4	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify				
2 3 4 5	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016  Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D.  Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the				
2 3 4 5 6	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016  Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D.  Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in				
2 3 4 5 6	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016  Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D.  Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc.  Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016  Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D.  Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc.  Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D. Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016  Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D.  Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc.  Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016  Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D.  Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc.  Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the parties to the action in which this deposition was				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D. Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D. Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D. Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D. Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.  LESLIE ANNE TODD				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D. Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.  LESLIE ANNE TODD  Notary Public in and for the				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D. Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.  LESLIE ANNE TODD				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D. Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.  LESLIE ANNE TODD  Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D. Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.  LESLIE ANNE TODD  Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Deposition Date: November 7, 2016 Deponent: Paul Simone, Ph.D. Case Name: US v. Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Page:Line Now Reads Should Read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC  I, LESLIE ANNE TODD, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.  LESLIE ANNE TODD  Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia				

Ţ		wasnington, D.C.		
	255.15 10	22.0 12 10 22	236:14 259:10	250:12
<u>A</u>	255:15,18 256:2	32:8,13,19,22 33:2,3 75:16	affidavit 42:4	
abandoned		76:4 119:21	affiliation 73:2	analysis 4:15 5:4 5:12,13 6:4 7:6
146:2	accessed 56:4,6			,
ability 65:4	accommodate	122:9 144:13	agencies 252:13	7:11 19:9 30:8
211:9 228:3,10	10:8 114:13	177:1 209:13	252:17 253:17	34:13,13,14,16
234:1,12	account 213:12	209:13,17,20	agency 108:11	38:3,10,16,20
253:21 254:3	214:4	210:5,13	253:4	38:20 49:22
<b>able</b> 25:4 144:18	accounting	211:13,20	agent 23:12,22	51:13 53:13
146:15,20	72:13 107:19	241:6 246:11	agents 251:5	54:18 61:17
147:21 148:6	accuracy 110:4	additional	ago 11:10 79:22	65:3,16 66:22
154:6,16,22	183:11	129:19 130:9	165:8 235:10	67:4,5,17 69:4
155:9 156:5	accurate 13:8,18	137:8,15 138:9	<b>agree</b> 46:17	69:5,9,13 70:4
158:9 215:15	43:12 98:18	149:1 176:10	66:22 69:4	72:20 73:12,14
226:12 241:3	241:21 276:4	190:18 226:22	161:11 202:22	73:19 74:1,14
251:14,16	acid 251:5,10	236:16 245:15	211:21 214:16	75:5 77:18
259:8,11	259:22 260:2,7	246:11 257:15	<b>agreed</b> 121:22	90:21 94:1,11
267:17 269:12	acidic 260:3	265:20 274:5	212:1	94:15 95:12,16
272:20	acidified 259:21	additionally	agreement	97:5 99:11
abovenamed	acids 14:3,6 19:9	123:4	10:20 220:4	100:2,4 105:14
276:11	19:20 20:2,9	additions 32:17	<b>ahead</b> 54:15	105:14,15,16
absence 56:8	30:21 31:12	276:5	70:19 82:14	108:19,19
224:18 243:5	34:7,15 167:11	address 45:14	149:16 194:15	109:1,2,10,20
243:18 244:12	acknowledge	145:5 234:20	273:21	111:7,12,13,14
245:1,16	83:15	addressed 54:1	air 196:17	112:1,10,17
absolutely 219:6	acknowledge	264:9 272:10	al 208:21 209:16	121:14,18
absorbance	83:14	adequately	242:7,8,10,10	122:9,10
38:20	acknowledgm	272:8	242:11,11,12	129:11,13
absorbed 152:8	83:12	administered	247:17 258:6	133:13,19
absorbing	acquire 82:10	72:12	algebraic 32:10	134:15 135:15
152:13 162:20	acronym 26:3	administering	aliquot 32:2	135:22 138:6
164:12 165:1	act 57:1 116:8	2:16	210:17 211:2	141:5,17
absorption	150:22	administration	<b>allow</b> 24:7	142:16 143:6
238:12	acta 255:10	56:2 128:4	alphabet 253:17	144:21 145:1
academic 253:6	acting 69:21	admittedly	alternative	146:14 148:19
253:16	152:3	97:22	253:19	155:9 157:10
academy 88:8	<b>action</b> 1:5 143:7	<b>adopt</b> 149:15	<b>amend</b> 149:9	157:18 164:13
199:12	277:11,15	ads 254:8	america 1:5	169:19 170:8
acc 5:10 6:16	actively 21:6	adulterated	<b>amine</b> 65:17	175:13 181:13
190:17	actual 213:7	197:2	260:9,10	182:5 183:10
accept 91:19	added 209:18	advanced 38:14	amount 69:6	185:4 186:13
122:3	246:10	38:17 39:10	210:2	186:16 189:2,5
acceptable	adding 100:1	advised 35:19	amounts 32:8	203:12,16
146:15	addition 9:11	advisor 35:20,20	109:4 189:1	206:16,21
access 254:19,21	30:20,22 31:2	64:3	271:16	207:2,12,19
255:2,4,7,13	31:5,7,21 32:7	affect 177:2	analyses 66:21	210:5 214:14
, , , ,				<u> </u>

Г		w asimigton, D.C.		
214:14 216:7	184:9 193:14	127:17 130:4	antiginate 0:15	166:2,5
217:13 218:7	196:6,7 210:20	130:15,20	<b>anticipate</b> 9:15 70:10	approximately
218:12,13,15	229:20 265:8	130:13,20	antidoping	14:6 99:2,5
218:15 220:14	271:15	139:9,16 140:2	252:15	109:15 110:6
225:17 226:20	analyzing 35:12	140:4,7,12	anybody 23:15	111:17 162:13
226:21 241:17	95:5 135:7	141:22 143:11	48:16,19 85:11	165:16 169:18
245:12 246:8	209:17	145:12,21	85:14 101:1	211:21 212:20
249:22 250:3,5	anne 2:14 277:3	147:6,13 148:3	105:22 174:3	244:18 245:5
250:13 251:11	277:17	149:10 151:17	177:12 200:19	260:10
251:12,17	announcements	152:16 154:2	256:10 273:12	april 56:4 93:10
255:17 259:16	56:5	154:10,21	anyway 62:4	163:18,20
analyte 95:5,6	answer 9:5,8,11	156:1 161:5,16	113:15	247:1,9
113:7 259:20	9:20 10:1	163:9 167:4,6	apparent 177:2	area 14:8,16,19
analytes 95:1	14:12,22 15:13	168:3 173:15	236:15	15:1 16:12
analytic 182:21	15:20 16:5,17	178:13 183:22	apparently	18:5 33:10
analytica 255:9	17:9 18:14	186:7,18 187:6	159:21 249:7	157:21
analytical 5:10	19:3,18 24:10	187:17 193:22	249:11 270:6	arent 43:14,20
6:15,17 15:2	24:21 27:3	194:15 195:20	appear 169:8	169:21
26:5 29:19	29:13 30:15	196:4,19 197:8	appearance	argue 265:6
30:3,4 33:11	31:1 33:7 34:1	197:14 198:5	21:10	arguing 263:21
33:14,18 35:21	35:10,17 36:17	198:17 199:15	appeared	argument 230:4
38:7,14,17	37:2,9,11 38:1	200:4 201:11	276:12	230:9 231:9
39:1,10 47:16	39:17 40:1,7	202:2 203:5	appearing 47:19	264:8 265:5
49:6,10 53:1	42:21 43:22	216:13 217:5	appears 108:18	arguments
104:7 109:3,4	45:1,16 46:6	222:9 223:3,7	121:1 158:13	217:19 262:22
113:5 115:3	49:5,18 52:10	224:10 228:12	246:21 277:5	263:14,21
121:22 134:18	53:9,21 56:19	229:7 243:12	applicable 218:8	265:11
184:14 190:11	57:15 58:1	246:5 248:6	application	arising 208:22
190:17,18	59:7,20 63:2	249:5 257:20	204:15	armstrong
202:14 220:11	67:2 70:2 71:3	258:5 259:14	applied 204:20	251:1
235:19 236:4	73:7 75:12,20	261:6 262:13	245:2 255:11	armstrongs
243:19 244:21	76:2 77:7	264:20 267:2	applies 47:7	251:1
250:9 255:1,11	78:15 79:8	268:16 273:8	<b>apply</b> 30:7	army 252:13
257:3 272:2	80:17 81:12,20	273:16	applying 245:18	<b>article</b> 5:4 6:18
analyze 30:1,20	82:22 86:13	answered 33:22	appreciated	52:1,5 54:3,7,9
32:1,3 34:19	87:22 96:22	36:9 49:4	65:7	54:13,20,21
51:7,9,11 74:6	97:19 98:12,21	120:14 131:6	approach 62:21	55:2 62:10
143:7,14 158:3	99:13 101:7,10	140:1,11	69:8 136:17	75:8 80:12
196:9 204:20	101:14,18,22	151:16 154:20	approached	102:11 104:22
210:14 226:12	104:5 106:10	161:4 163:8	59:15 61:16	118:2 119:2
245:13	106:17 107:4	168:2 195:19	72:19 73:19	120:6 121:16
analyzed 99:1	107:15 111:2	196:3 197:7	80:21	122:7 137:6
115:2 124:12	114:21 124:19	202:1 243:11	appropriate	174:10 188:21
136:12 149:21	125:4,21 126:7	answering 9:16	10:7	219:11 229:4
151:2 154:13	126:17 127:5	268:13	approximated	231:22 255:5
			l	

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
255:20 256:7	assist 143:1	authenticating	backed 235:2	117:6,11,21
articles 40:12	assistant 4:11	87:3	background	251:7
220:5 244:16	12:9 13:1	authentication	202:10 235:20	<b>batch</b> 105:17
254:19 255:3	associate 11:17	149:6,10	235:22	bates 91:14
256:1,19	associated 29:5	184:15 272:3	base 129:9	batesstamped
258:13	29:8 89:13	authorize 153:3	based 28:18	91:7
articulated	97:15 98:3	authors 52:13	49:11 51:14	bayer 148:21
117:8	223:14	83:15 176:20	54:9 81:2	149:3 152:6
artifact 133:18	assume 9:9 21:9	193:1 258:13	93:12 94:12	bearing 63:9
134:14,16	43:1 117:16	availability	95:10 96:4,11	90:20 120:22
artificial 266:17	121:13 152:10	223:14 235:15	97:22 98:22	132:9 141:4
266:21	173:12 178:15	available 78:5	108:21 116:20	148:16 168:10
aside 93:14	181:17	78:12,20 96:13	117:10 121:13	180:7 190:7
140:19 158:14	assuming 99:7	150:16 255:5	124:6 128:13	192:18 227:9
171:6 231:6	214:13	255:15	128:20 129:4	231:18 246:19
asked 15:22	assured 100:14	average 110:14	138:18 146:18	bears 190:15
16:1,3 21:13	atlanta 1:3	aware 15:21	147:16 148:5	becker 2:5 3:15
33:21 44:18	attach 276:6	43:13 78:1	152:6,14	beginning
48:12 49:3	attached 4:6 5:2	79:3 80:9	153:21 154:5	258:14 263:11
74:2,3,11,14	6:2 7:2	126:14 172:17	154:11 176:10	behalf 3:3,13
80:22 120:13	attempt 179:4	174:7 177:21	176:22 179:7	believe 26:2
131:5 139:22	attempted 155:6	awareness 55:8	186:4,8,9	49:2 53:6,22
140:10 151:15	161:8	awareness 33.0	187:10,11	71:15 101:8
154:19 161:4	attitude 22:12	В	191:13 192:3	113:14 120:3,8
163:7 168:1	22:13 46:22	<b>b</b> 4:5 5:1 6:1 7:1	197:1 200:8,22	121:20 122:20
173:19 187:20	47:2 48:13	13:3	201:1,18 211:3	129:5,16
194:4,11,18,19	attorney 8:15	back 9:4 21:5	214:14 215:16	135:16 138:2,7
195:3,5,18	277:13	23:20 50:18	221:3,6 236:9	144:2 145:22
196:2 197:6	attorneyclient	54:7 56:12	236:10,12	146:3 147:1
201:22 243:10	44:22 46:3,12	64:7,10 68:5	247:17 258:11	162:3,7 163:18
asking 9:14	attorneys 47:8	90:5 93:16	261:11,18	169:3,5,7
22:21 31:4	attract 253:21	114:6 119:3,5	262:3 264:7	190:21 205:15
36:2,7 48:7	254:3	119:7 127:20	265:1,11	205:15 228:13
51:2 67:20	august 5:7 56:6	131:10,17	266:19 270:10	233:21 234:9
77:10 117:20	121:2 122:5	132:21 143:18	270:22 271:3	236:1 241:16
	156:17 228:21	145:5 160:3	270.22 271.3	248:22 255:8
118:1,7,10	235:9	165:7 171:11		
119:8 189:11	austin 184:13	178:10 182:3	<b>basically</b> 25:7 94:21 95:1	261:8 274:2 <b>believed</b> 94:19
194:8,12 202:6		188:10 203:22		
218:4 239:8	242:11 243:6	211:3 218:22	181:15 205:16	198:2
268:7,8	244:13 271:22	221:8 225:4	214:1,19 232:4	benzene 238:20
aspects 150:18	australian	234:14,19	240:14 253:6	benzyl 182:16
assessment	252:14	242:2 244:16	basing 117:1	best 11:11 23:5
162:8	authenticated	261:15 269:15	192:6	28:8 42:22
assignment 62:1	86:15,21 87:7	274:1	basis 49:9	50:22 51:5
65:13	199:10	∠/ <b>寸</b> .1	114:17 115:15	62:2,18 78:4
		l	I	ı

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
85:5 87:5	202:6,12,18	<b>bullet</b> 164:13	<b>carbons</b> 239:14	72:14
93:13 97:10	biosynthetically	bulletproof	239:16	<b>certify</b> 276:2,10
98:13 109:2	265:12	246:12	carcinogens	277:4
122:7 127:6	<b>bit</b> 83:9 260:14	burns 223:22	28:15	cetera 238:20
145:5 166:18	bleach 19:9,20	business 13:11	cards 219:8	<b>ch</b> 13:3
190:13 191:6	20:2,9 34:13	59:17,18	career 13:4	<b>chair</b> 64:4,6
211:17 255:16	34:14 167:12	<b>butane</b> 238:19	carolina 13:5	149:4
264:22 269:5	blindly 150:3	<b>buy</b> 69:8 77:14	cas 66:5	challenges 56:2
272:8	bloomer 63:20	135:16	case 11:4 16:20	challenging
better 21:2	64:11 65:1,7	byproducts	42:9 44:8	31:12
157:20 241:19	65:13 68:9	13:15,21 14:19	91:10 95:6	<b>chan</b> 193:1
241:22 242:1	71:14,17,20,22	29:9 30:2	113:19 140:14	chance 9:20
beverages 256:8	72:5		173:8,10	187:12 274:5
256:18	<b>bonded</b> 239:13	<u> </u>	174:19,22	changed 116:13
beyond 59:8	botanical 199:11	<b>c</b> 1:18 3:1 4:1	183:9 195:13	changes 108:21
89:11 129:2	<b>botany</b> 36:15,22	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	210:9 214:16	108:21
136:18 157:3	37:6,13,16	193:1	235:14,15	changzhou 88:6
177:12 268:1	<b>bottom</b> 112:17	cabinet 252:16	236:6 239:13	94:2,17 116:4
<b>bh</b> 13:3	156:21 164:10	calculate 211:3	245:17 251:8	136:18,22
<b>bias</b> 133:19	205:22 228:19	calibration 30:1	260:17 275:4	137:4,9,19,19
134:14,16,22	<b>bought</b> 82:14	31:2,5 77:18	cases 108:20	138:9,12
134:22 135:1	boundary	113:4 211:18	<b>cassava</b> 223:15	205:16 209:1
<b>big</b> 67:12 110:1	243:21	241:5	<b>caused</b> 129:14	209:10 212:2
billion 14:7	box 232:15	calibrations	causes 53:15	212:13,13,14
31:14,18,20	branch 3:7	29:22	166:21	213:6 214:5,5
102:12 103:4,6	break 10:5	call 112:16	caution 44:20	215:17 224:16
103:7,7,13,19	89:21 90:6,13	240:3	<b>ce</b> 270:18	224:16,17
104:8 108:6	114:1,7,12	called 153:10	cell 207:16	225:1,18,22
112:11,18	131:10,18	158:16 256:8	celsius 88:18	226:5,20
150:8,12	132:3 144:7	calls 46:3 78:13	center 3:16	229:17,19
158:11 218:14	171:7,11,18	candidate	265:15	247:17 249:8
244:5,6 250:18	218:22 219:7	170:19	centers 97:2	249:11
biochemistry	274:2	cant 17:10 44:10	265:16	characterize
201:14	bring 53:5	137:10 138:11	certain 45:21	74:10
biological 39:21	<b>broad</b> 68:7,11	167:5 181:3	80:22	charleston 13:5
40:5 81:9,17	203:20	215:2 265:21	certainly 114:3	check 75:16
101:19 120:11	<b>broader</b> 154:15	265:22 273:19	135:15,22	108:1,2 110:13
129:7 131:1,3	broadly 14:1	capable 46:15	164:18 172:19	112:3,13,14,20
272:15,17	33:15 109:4	capacities 10:19	188:15	chemical 6:12
biology 36:18,21	<b>brown</b> 11:3	capacity 28:2	certainty 116:16	33:16 34:3,5,6
37:15 101:12	201:7	47:12,20 48:17 <b>capillary</b> 182:16	129:17 137:10	34:18 65:22
114:18 149:4	browns 201:2,19	capillary 182:16	187:13 228:9	77:13 79:14,14
biosynthetic	202:17	239:2,12,12,20	certificate 276:1	80:7 102:17
200:15 201:3,8	<b>budget</b> 68:1	240:5	276:13 277:1	123:18 176:3,8
201:17,20	<b>built</b> 246:10	440.J	certification	180:9 186:1
	1	·	<u> </u>	1

201,21,202,7	105.22 106.22	22.12.20.21	-1:4-1:1	100.14 121.10
201:21 202:7	105:22 106:22	23:13 38:21	climatological 87:18	100:14 131:10
220:22 221:5,6	107:6 133:14	123:21 170:9		229:9 236:4
221:13,18	137:12,16 138:15 147:11	175:18 186:2	close 112:7,14	<b>command</b> 252:14
222:12 224:6		192:22 240:21	181:22	
238:18 239:19	193:14 196:7	241:20	closed 88:11	comment 232:15
259:10	196:10 205:8	<b>chromium</b> 34:15	255:12,13	233:11,12,14
chemically	209:1 214:10	chunk 110:1	closely 146:1	233:15 235:6
238:10	216:11 229:17	circumstance	closeness 182:10 closer 111:22	235:13
chemicals 14:9	chinese 88:7	226:16		commercial
17:1 18:5 21:7	178:21 199:12	circumstances	coach 118:16	56:10,16 128:2
27:9,15,21	chiral 23:12,22	89:9	coefficient	140:8 264:22
28:5,12,16	24:5,7,17 39:6	citadel 13:5 28:9	113:15	commercially
40:13,17 67:4	39:12 50:1,5	cite 172:9	coelute 240:22	150:1,16
67:16 68:3,11	119:21 126:22	city 31:19	coelution 241:7	155:13
103:21 104:17	127:3,13,15	civil 1:5 3:7	colleague 26:8	commission
104:18 222:2	129:18 130:10	46:15	64:3	276:22 277:21
222:21,22	145:3 161:9	claim 243:18	colleagues	common 222:22
238:19	250:13,21,22	claimants 1:15	157:20	commonly 243:2
chemist 29:19	251:3,5 265:15	claims 185:10	collected 87:11	244:8
35:21 182:21	265:15 266:2	187:14	88:5,11,19	communication
202:14 235:19	chloride 251:6	clarify 21:14	107:17 225:8	46:4
236:4	251:10	268:9	226:1,6	communicatio
chemistries	chlorination	class 31:20	collection 83:17	45:1 46:13
240:15	14:4	36:14 38:6	181:7	174:11
chemistry 4:11	<b>choice</b> 165:2	classes 38:14	college 36:19,22	companies
12:8,9 13:2 15:2 30:4,4	255:12	claude 3:4	37:4 <b>collision</b> 207:16	56:11,17
1 15.7 30.4 4		Leleaniin /59·1/	Collision //////P	
	choose 255:14	cleanup 259:17		<b>company</b> 59:16
33:11,14,19	<b>chose</b> 194:20	clear 9:1 22:18	colloquy 21:17	60:3 65:2
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4	<b>chose</b> 194:20 195:4	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22 184:14 220:11	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms 97:9 98:1	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9 270:16	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21 241:1 250:21	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared 123:19
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22 184:14 220:11 250:9 255:1	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms 97:9 98:1 169:20	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9 270:16 clearly 86:15	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21 241:1 250:21 250:22 251:3	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared 123:19 comparison
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22 184:14 220:11 250:9 255:1 262:20 263:12	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms 97:9 98:1 169:20 chromatograph	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9 270:16 clearly 86:15 139:18 220:4	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21 241:1 250:21 250:22 251:3 combined 88:13	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared 123:19 comparison 123:5
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22 184:14 220:11 250:9 255:1 262:20 263:12 272:2	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms 97:9 98:1 169:20 chromatograph 25:12,14	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9 270:16 clearly 86:15 139:18 220:4 229:20 250:2	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21 241:1 250:21 250:22 251:3 combined 88:13 come 24:18	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared 123:19 comparison 123:5 completely 9:22
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22 184:14 220:11 250:9 255:1 262:20 263:12 272:2 <b>chemists</b> 220:6	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms 97:9 98:1 169:20 chromatograph 25:12,14 179:15 180:14	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9 270:16 clearly 86:15 139:18 220:4 229:20 250:2 client 46:9,12	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21 241:1 250:21 250:22 251:3 combined 88:13 come 24:18 55:10 86:4,10	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared 123:19 comparison 123:5 completely 9:22 194:7,12,20
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22 184:14 220:11 250:9 255:1 262:20 263:12 272:2 chemists 220:6 257:4	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms 97:9 98:1 169:20 chromatograph 25:12,14 179:15 180:14 182:4	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9 270:16 clearly 86:15 139:18 220:4 229:20 250:2 client 46:9,12 155:12 158:10	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21 241:1 250:21 250:22 251:3 combined 88:13 come 24:18 55:10 86:4,10 142:8 201:3	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared 123:19 comparison 123:5 completely 9:22 194:7,12,20 complex 31:10
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22 184:14 220:11 250:9 255:1 262:20 263:12 272:2 chemists 220:6 257:4 chen 6:18	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms 97:9 98:1 169:20 chromatograph 25:12,14 179:15 180:14 182:4 chromatograp	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9 270:16 clearly 86:15 139:18 220:4 229:20 250:2 client 46:9,12 155:12 158:10 clients 47:8	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21 241:1 250:21 250:22 251:3 combined 88:13 come 24:18 55:10 86:4,10 142:8 201:3 223:19 229:17	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared 123:19 comparison 123:5 completely 9:22 194:7,12,20 complex 31:10 31:11 158:8
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22 184:14 220:11 250:9 255:1 262:20 263:12 272:2 chemists 220:6 257:4 chen 6:18 chimica 255:10	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms 97:9 98:1 169:20 chromatograph 25:12,14 179:15 180:14 182:4 chromatograp 169:8	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9 270:16 clearly 86:15 139:18 220:4 229:20 250:2 client 46:9,12 155:12 158:10 clients 47:8 climate 89:8	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21 241:1 250:21 250:22 251:3 combined 88:13 come 24:18 55:10 86:4,10 142:8 201:3 223:19 229:17 233:20 245:14	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared 123:19 comparison 123:5 completely 9:22 194:7,12,20 complex 31:10 31:11 158:8 181:6
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22 184:14 220:11 250:9 255:1 262:20 263:12 272:2 chemists 220:6 257:4 chen 6:18 chimica 255:10 china 82:20 84:7	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms 97:9 98:1 169:20 chromatograph 25:12,14 179:15 180:14 182:4 chromatograp 169:8 chromatograp	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9 270:16 clearly 86:15 139:18 220:4 229:20 250:2 client 46:9,12 155:12 158:10 clients 47:8 climate 89:8 177:1 236:14	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21 241:1 250:21 250:22 251:3 combined 88:13 come 24:18 55:10 86:4,10 142:8 201:3 223:19 229:17 233:20 245:14 comes 29:20	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared 123:19 comparison 123:5 completely 9:22 194:7,12,20 complex 31:10 31:11 158:8 181:6 complicated
33:11,14,19 35:6,8,15 36:4 37:20 38:7,13 38:18 39:1 47:17 49:6,10 52:21 91:1 92:18 93:2 109:5 121:22 184:14 220:11 250:9 255:1 262:20 263:12 272:2 chemists 220:6 257:4 chen 6:18 chimica 255:10	chose 194:20 195:4 chosen 71:7 chromadex 124:2 chromatogram 97:15 98:4 chromatograms 97:9 98:1 169:20 chromatograph 25:12,14 179:15 180:14 182:4 chromatograp 169:8	clear 9:1 22:18 38:15 46:8 48:9,12 57:6 102:22 136:13 138:11 143:15 144:15 186:20 187:19 189:1 195:9 214:9 270:16 clearly 86:15 139:18 220:4 229:20 250:2 client 46:9,12 155:12 158:10 clients 47:8 climate 89:8	colloquy 21:17 columbia 2:16 277:19 column 23:13 116:1 123:21 182:12,15,17 186:2 206:8 219:15 240:21 241:1 250:21 250:22 251:3 combined 88:13 come 24:18 55:10 86:4,10 142:8 201:3 223:19 229:17 233:20 245:14	60:3 65:2 67:10 68:9 77:13 comparable 236:18 compare 145:2 179:15 180:15 compared 123:19 comparison 123:5 completely 9:22 194:7,12,20 complex 31:10 31:11 158:8 181:6

T		washington, D.C.		
<b>comply</b> 13:13	210:15 211:1,2	243:21	considers 187:2	80:10 85:19
14:17	211:5 212:18	conditions 87:13	consist 73:14	95:3,17 152:2
component	213:9,13	87:17,18,18,19	consistent	152:12 233:22
177:12,20	223:15 225:18	89:3,6,7,12	186:14	234:10
components	226:2 227:15	109:9,20	consistently	continuation
175:19 176:13	concentrations	181:12,13	136:19	49:15 50:4,10
177:10,16,19	4:20 14:2,5	182:12,14	constant 126:4	continue 146:11
177:10,10,17	31:17 51:13	conduct 26:17	constituents	274:7
composed	74:20 76:18	38:7 61:17	6:12 143:9	continued 49:13
265:20 272:21	88:14 94:3	72:19 73:12,19	180:9	contract 24:1
composition	97:3 103:11	250:4	consumer 3:7	49:22 50:1,6
176:19,20	104:9 108:3	conducted	contact 58:14,21	50:13,15,19
177:3 236:11	104.9 108.3	150:15	contacted 44:8	59:9,13 60:2
236:15 258:17	160:17 165:16		59:12 84:16	62:14 68:1
262:6 264:11	165:17 177:22	conducting 257:4	contacts 59:12	
266:5 272:9	178:2 184:10	257:4 confer 273:19	contacts 39:12 contain 133:14	69:9,13,14,17 69:22 70:4,5
	193:15 205:7	confidential	136:21 150:2	70:17 71:1,8
compositions		148:18		,
220:22 221:14 221:19 222:12	208:22 209:18 209:19 211:8		151:2 155:14 184:10 185:3	72:11 139:2,6
		configuration		contracts 49:21
<b>compound</b> 46:2 80:7 164:12	211:19,21	186:4	193:14 269:1	67:11 129:12
	213:20 222:22	<b>confirm</b> 146:15	269:18 271:15	contractual 51:6
165:1 186:1	224:18 229:21	148:6 155:1	contained 42:9	contradictory
238:18 245:16	250:1 271:16	187:12	152:5	257:10,12,17
compounds	concepts 30:8	confirmation	containing	control 181:7
31:18 34:6,10	concern 67:8	4:15 97:5	150:22 152:2	controversy
176:5 239:17	concerned 152:1	122:8	contains 123:4	62:15
240:22	concerning 14:1	confirmations	contaminants	conversation
<b>computer</b> 181:9	55:4 155:3	245:6	18:6 28:15	8:22 71:6
181:16	262:22 263:14	confirmed 123:6	29:1,5	121:20
conc 157:5,6	concert 142:7	confirming 56:7	contaminate	conversations
concentration	conclude 114:17	confused 184:16	150:3 154:18	46:11 65:12
32:11 75:4	concluded	221:8 237:5	contaminated	70:15 152:6
77:11 102:6,7	274:18	conjunction	147:2 154:13	173:21 174:11
102:17 103:10	conclusion	29:22	161:13 196:16	174:17
104:3,20 108:2	24:19 193:17	consequences	196:22 197:2	convert 250:14
108:15,16	195:15 197:22	56:10,16	contamination	convey 91:15
110:14,15	200:1	<b>consider</b> 24:18	130:2 146:21	cooler 135:17
111:20 113:7,9	conclusions	34:20 102:16	148:1 152:14	140:16
157:4,7,10,13	53:14 100:2	178:6 237:8,13	152:21 154:7	coordinating
157:16 158:4	189:10,17	244:2	161:14	85:3
159:21 160:11	200:8	considered	<b>content</b> 150:17	copy 10:13
162:11,16,21	conclusively	95:12 110:7	contents 42:5	91:17 179:11
165:13 166:3	178:7	213:20	context 30:12	190:10 274:12
166:12,17	concrete 155:5,7	considering	33:19 36:4	core 262:21
167:2,19,21	condition	237:11	55:10 74:2	263:14,20
	<u>I</u>	!	I	I

		wasnington, D.C.		
4 21 - 20	21.17.22.4.12	—— <u> </u>	3. 2 4. 3 17.6	6.2.7.2.0.12
correct 21:20	21:17 22:4,12	D	dedicated 17:6	6:3 7:3 8:12
26:3 28:2	46:14 277:10	<b>d</b> 1:17,18 2:1 4:2	18:19	10:5,13,13,14
33:11,12 37:17	277:13	4:14 5:1 6:1	<b>defend</b> 21:18	10:18 11:8
39:2 41:13,14	counts 10:3	7:1 8:1,3 13:3	defendant 3:13	12:5 21:19
49:20 56:13	<b>county</b> 276:20	35:19 41:13,20	defendants 1:11	41:10 48:3,20
61:11 62:5,11	<b>couple</b> 7:4 67:1	52:15,16 53:3	defended 52:16	51:21 63:9
62:12 80:4	82:12 132:17	64:3 170:19	<b>define</b> 33:13,18	90:19 95:22
89:4,6 91:19	219:17 228:22	274:17 275:3	definitely 66:20	100:17 102:5
106:15 107:1	course 8:21 10:4	daniel 251:1	definition 20:20	120:21 132:8
108:20 118:3	36:8 39:8	daryl 3:21	20:21 103:3	141:3 148:15
124:17 145:10	76:21 173:20	<b>data</b> 70:11	definitions	156:15 159:4
145:13 151:12	189:12	106:11,14,20	103:1 214:2	168:10 170:6
153:11,12	courses 37:18	113:16 146:5	definitive 229:7	190:6 192:14
158:12 165:10	38:9,12 39:11	147:14 181:8,8	degree 115:3	192:17 204:12
165:15 166:6,7	39:11,14,20	181:14 220:11	116:16 129:4	227:8 231:17
166:15 172:16	40:4	220:13 262:10	129:17 143:1	246:18 255:21
173:11 175:5	coursework	date 159:8	187:13 225:15	274:7,17 275:2
177:17 178:18	36:3 37:12,16	163:17,20	228:8	277:4,6,8,11
178:19 183:20	38:19 39:3	270:10 275:1,2	degrees 36:5	depot 120:1,5
185:13 186:5	court 1:1 2:14	dated 5:7 63:20	delivered 84:17	derivatization
188:18,21,22	8:17 9:18	121:1 122:5	demonstrate	129:18 161:9
189:8 191:6,12	119:8	132:12 156:17	178:7 221:5	249:22 250:3,4
193:5 195:14	cover 45:21	247:9	272:20	250:8,14 266:2
199:3,21	covered 50:20	dates 88:19	demonstrating	derivatize 25:4
200:20 209:14	covers 202:10		243:3,4 244:9	250:19
212:4,8 215:11	crafting 230:4	day 18:22 109:15 111:12	244:12	derivatizing
217:3 242:18	credibility 236:3		deny 148:6	23:12,22 24:5
245:20,21	credible 183:10	111:13 268:2,3	155:1	24:18 251:5
267:16 270:11	230:20 257:8	274:3 276:12	department 3:6	<b>describe</b> 50:14
271:18,19	critical 220:11	dc 2:8 3:10	4:12 12:8,9	52:6 139:12
272:16 273:1,2	235:3	de 272:1	52:21 64:5,6	156:4 211:18
273:10	criticizing	deal 86:21	64:13,15 65:6	described 62:8
corrections	234:18	230:21 231:2	91:1 92:18	117:13 119:2
276:5	cumulative	dealing 68:12	93:2 149:4	117:13 117.2
correctly 76:17	170:18	248:3,13	252:16	146:19 181:14
103:2 158:1	current 204:19	dealings 197:1	depending	describes 181:15
		dealt 64:19 84:8	31:14 57:13	
182:5 207:1	currently 11:14	202:14		<b>description</b> 65:15 68:7
253:1 261:8	20:13,16,17,17	debate 118:5,8	131:11 207:5,7	
<b>cost</b> 66:16 69:7,8	20:20,22 37:21	decade 25:8	224:4,4 258:18	<b>detail</b> 160:6,7,12
70:9	37:22 40:15	december 63:20	<b>deponent</b> 275:3	187:8
costs 254:20	curve 113:4	decision 254:5	276:1,9	detailed 122:22
couldnt 148:9	<b>custom</b> 151:2	declaration 4:13	depose 100:15	123:22 135:17
155:6 158:5	cut 54:16	41:13 42:4,10	100:18,20	169:19
235:11 249:13	cyanide 223:15	42:14 43:14,20	deposition 1:17	details 66:22
counsel 8:6		48:6 175:8	2:1 4:7,8 5:3	detect 75:9
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

•		wasnington, D.C.		
100.15 211.0	determine 32:10	265.19.10	15.21.20.20	277.0
108:15 211:9 214:19 215:16	74:12,12 80:14	265:18,19 266:1,13,18	15:21 20:20 22:8 34:18	277:8 directly 17:18
216:1,2 251:19	81:6,16 98:4,5	267:6,7,8	48:8 67:14	29:14 71:21
detected 94:2	109:9 120:11	271:1,11	73:17 88:7	72:9 86:22
	133:17 134:8	diastereomers	96:13 103:8,12	105:21 126:13
215:3,17,18,18	136:14 143:8	116:3 123:17	103:14 109:19	138:15 174:4
215:19,21 240:12 242:9	150:14 143.8	123:17 124:14	115:13 123:18	
265:7	179:20 182:5	123.17 124.14 124:22 125:19	126:3 127:14	disagreement 134:3 136:3
detection 14:10	187:1 203:15	124.22 123.19	194:6,9,12,17	220:5
74:22 75:1,3	209:19 211:4,7	263:20	194:0,9,12,17	disclosure 46:3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	211:14,15,19	didnt 43:5 57:20	194.20 193.4	
76:12,14,19 94:3 97:6 99:4	211:14,13,19	85:20 96:19	204:21 210:4	<b>discovery</b> 96:1 117:4 142:11
99:7,8 108:9	227:12 228:2	125:10 130:18	214:8 216:3	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		131:1,2 140:8		discrepancies 217:2
108:12,13,22 109:5,7,10,14	257:6,16 determined	145:18 160:16	220:15,21 221:5,19 222:2	discuss 262:10
110:5,16 112:1	93:22 97:4	161:6 167:7	222:11,20,20	discussed 39:11
110:3,16 112:1	104:20 110:12	184:4 185:12	222:11,20,20	98:14 157:18
129:19 157:17	112:20 113:4	189:2 194:9	225:9,13,16	201:3 213:19
157:19,22	115:4 136:5	195:4 204:6	228:5 246:1,7	243:20 262:4
183:11 196:12	209:8	209:10 211:7	246:9 269:11	262:20 263:13
203:12 206:6	determining	212:21 213:3	269:21 270:3,5	discusses 57:3
206:19,19	34:11 115:10	215:12,13,22	differential	discussing 70:21
209:11 210:1	134:19 136:17	215:12,13,22	207:9	121:21 263:8
214:15,18	202:19 203:1	251:2,3,4	differently	discussion 55:3
215:14 218:1	develop 23:9	252:3,3	265:6	55:9 261:9
238:9,11 240:2	34:19 142:16	die 166:21	differing 221:12	discussions
240:12 241:19	251:16 254:9	died 162:10	differs 126:15	175:12
241:20,22	developed 24:6	diet 151:1 152:4	difficult 9:18	disinfection
242:22 243:1	25:3 28:18	dietary 55:16	69:5 134:20	13:15,21 14:4
243:18,20	51:12,14	56:10,17 57:1	145:1 249:8,12	14:19 29:9
244:3,20,21	153:21 245:19	57:3 65:2	dilorenzo	30:2
249:21 250:10	250:22	116:7 124:3	184:13 242:10	display 217:1
250:17 251:18	developing	differ 236:19	<b>dilution</b> 211:3	dispute 267:11
251:19 252:2	13:12 14:16	258:6	dimethylamyl	distinctly 269:11
260:5	29:20 30:6	difference	5:5 6:20 90:21	distinguishable
detector 182:14	143:2 155:8	125:19 213:8	192:21	108:17
237:9 238:17	development	213:13 241:4	direct 26:7,9	distinguished
239:3,11,21	28:14 67:11	differences	28:1,11 58:21	75:5
240:16,18	deviation 110:11	213:22 220:12	115:22 174:11	distribute
detectors 238:10	110:12,13,17	220:13 221:18	250:10 252:7	148:19
238:12 240:3	112:3	224:22 230:4,9	directed 17:17	district 1:1,2
detects 238:19	devote 241:17	230:12,15,18	19:5 20:1	2:15 277:19
determination	diastereomer	231:9 257:6	51:12 115:10	divided 110:14
33:16 34:2	123:5,8 124:1	272:7	133:6 163:5	division 1:3 3:7
66:3 108:11	124:7 125:12	different 15:18	direction 45:22	dmaa 1:10 5:5
			ļ	

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
7:10 22:22	180:16 184:18	51:1,22 52:3	40:18 48:10	159:12 162:3
23:4 25:18,20	185:3,10,15	60:19 61:7	50:3,9 55:10	163:11 164:3
27:5,10,16,20	186:14,15	63:16 90:17,17	57:10 58:5,13	168:4 169:14
29:11 40:18	187:2,3,14,15	90:19 91:3	58:20 59:3	172:18 174:1
53:15 56:2,16	188:1 191:9,12	102:4 105:6	60:6,12 67:11	178:4,22 180:1
57:20 58:7	191:20 193:11	120:22 128:8	71:14,20 76:22	184:1 185:11
62:15 75:10	193:18 195:16	132:6,9,18	84:9 100:4	188:1,4,5
76:10,22 77:14	196:11 197:22	139:12 141:2,4	135:10 146:11	189:19 190:21
77:22 78:3,8	198:2,12,14	141:7,8,11,16	162:10 163:5	197:15,19
78:11,20 79:5	199:6,19 200:2	141:20 142:3,6	174:8 186:16	198:19 203:18
79:13 80:4,7	201:5,21	142:12 148:16	188:20 189:13	217:16,22
80:14 81:1,6	202:20 203:1	149:8 151:19	189:16 190:20	219:4 222:5
81:16 90:21	203:16 204:2,3	152:2 159:10	191:4 194:5,14	223:7 230:22
92:19 93:2	205:7 209:22	159:11,16	203:11 207:2	231:3 232:9
96:3 97:17	211:8,13,15	163:13 164:3	210:12 211:6	235:7,7 237:5
98:6,19 101:11	212:2,6 214:4	168:10 170:7	217:1 256:11	237:11 241:16
101:20 102:3,5	216:8 225:12	170:14,15,17	259:15	249:12 250:18
102:10 115:11	227:14 228:3	171:3 176:16	dollar 254:11,11	251:2 253:7,7
115:15 117:8	228:10 231:1,2	180:7,17	<b>dont</b> 9:3,12	253:8 259:18
117:15 120:12	231:10 234:12	186:19 187:18	12:15 18:15	260:11 261:10
127:10 128:15	236:8 237:10	190:5,7 192:18	20:19 21:11,15	261:20 262:11
128:20,22	237:14 242:17	199:7 204:5	22:13,16 23:20	262:14 267:11
129:6,14,21	246:2 251:12	205:5 208:6,18	35:3 43:9,10	269:20 270:2
130:11 131:4	254:16 257:9	219:21 225:2	43:10 44:4,17	270:18,19,21
134:9 136:6	259:3 260:9,15	227:9,18,20,20	47:5 48:18	272:17
137:2,4,5,9,22	260:19 261:1,3	231:18 232:1	51:2 53:22	<b>doping</b> 252:17
140:9 143:20	262:8 264:2,3	233:10 236:9	54:16 58:9	doublecheck
144:13 145:9	264:4,10,16,17	246:19 247:6	60:12 69:16	208:5 211:14
145:18 146:20	264:22 265:9	263:7 271:6	71:15 72:2	dr 8:10 10:18,22
146:21 147:22	266:22 267:9	documentation	78:5,7,10,22	13:1,10 21:10
150:22 151:1,4	267:15,19	60:9 95:22	79:1,2,20	52:16 65:1,13
151:10 152:3,3	268:5,21 269:1	96:4,12,13,15	80:18 85:11,13	71:17,20,22
152:5,9,13	271:16 272:16	documented	86:14,17 87:2	72:5 83:15,22
153:17,20	273:13	162:6	89:21 91:8,21	84:8,16 85:3,8
154:7,8,17	dmaas 200:15	documents 11:4	98:7 101:8	85:20 86:4,9
155:1 157:7	201:9 206:2	11:6 12:22	105:3 106:5	86:18 87:4
158:19 160:4	doctor 118:20	100:6,8,11	107:8,8,16	90:7 96:3,16
161:1,13	171:12	173:17	117:18 120:8	96:16 100:17
162:12,20	doctorate 38:15	doesnt 91:7	127:18 129:1	100:20 114:8
164:12 165:1,3	<b>document</b> 5:18	237:4	131:9,22	131:19 138:16
165:4,5,12,14	6:4,11,14 7:6	doing 20:13,17	132:22 135:6	167:9 201:2,7
166:20 167:19	12:6,12 41:8	21:7,12 22:7	138:17 140:18	201:19 202:17
169:13 172:3	41:11,16 42:4	23:4,17 24:5	143:16 146:5	219:1 223:19
177:11,20	42:19 43:5	24:17 25:17	147:17,18	274:3
178:7 179:16	44:3 50:21	27:6 39:1	156:4 157:22	<b>draft</b> 229:9
	1	ı	1	ı

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
231:21 232:2,3	education 57:1	201:8	entail 23:8 38:18	essentially 32:13
233:20 274:14	116:8	<b>elute</b> 186:16	entered 60:2	82:12 134:1,4
drafting 232:8	effect 122:21	240:22	<b>entire</b> 162:21	135:3 146:2
<b>drafts</b> 233:20	134:10 227:14	<b>eluted</b> 186:14	entitled 5:4 6:4	156:5 162:8
drinking 13:13	effectively	188:1	6:11,14 7:6	239:18 241:2
13:14,21 14:3	250:15	<b>elutes</b> 185:15,19	environmental	245:13 260:18
14:17,18 15:3	effects 31:9,22	<b>eluting</b> 187:2,4	34:3 108:10	establish 228:8
15:7 16:13	32:12 94:1,11	email 4:22 5:9	109:20 224:15	estimate 42:22
17:1,7 18:5,5,6	95:1 133:18	7:4,10 63:4,6	<b>epstein</b> 2:5 3:15	109:6 110:3,10
18:19 19:14	134:9 144:21	63:19 64:20	equal 20:3	111:11
26:18,22 27:4	228:3	65:1 70:8,16	162:13 243:8	estimates 144:2
28:16 29:1,5,8	<b>effort</b> 21:4 100:1	73:9 99:1	244:18 245:5	144:16
30:1,5,7 31:11	142:4	132:11,20,22	269:21 270:3,4	et 208:21 209:16
31:14 34:8	efforts 138:18	133:5 151:21	270:6,9,13,20	238:20 242:7,7
167:11	253:9	217:15 228:19	271:2,4	242:10,10,11
drs 64:9 97:16	eight 239:14	228:21 229:8	equally 245:2	242:11,12
<b>drug</b> 56:1	<b>either</b> 27:13	246:22 247:8	equation 32:10	247:17 258:6
121:14,18	28:11 32:8	247:13	113:1,3	event 162:21
128:3 255:17	53:15 65:4,16	emailed 151:20	equipment 68:3	eventually 87:8
due 94:21 145:7	68:8 85:12,14	151:20 274:14	141:18 182:11	231:21
226:20 235:16	87:4 159:14	emails 59:8	equivalence	everybody 92:2
241:7	169:13 182:1	98:15 99:15	151:4	140:4 229:22
duly 8:4 46:22	183:9 203:2	132:15 228:16	equivalent 108:5	253:17
274:9 277:6	207:5 217:14	emerge 241:1	125:1 165:13	evidence 46:5
duplicate 179:4	227:13 250:21	emmert 26:8	166:13,20	56:3 75:17
179:9 182:8	electric 182:18	63:21,21 64:2	266:15 269:13	76:18 97:8
duplication	electron 182:18	64:9	273:5	118:22 129:4,5
179:22	182:19	employed 11:14	erik 58:15 70:8	160:21 196:20
dynamics	electrospray	174:12,18,19	85:5 86:11	196:22 220:3
223:13	94:20	277:10,13	121:21 132:11	257:8 261:11
	elements 198:14	employee 58:22	132:15,21	270:22
<u>E</u>	259:18,19	277:13	133:6,7 151:21	exact 261:9
e 3:1,1 4:1,5 5:1	<b>eli</b> 80:3	enantiomeric	228:16,21	exactly 221:20
5:1 6:1,1 7:1,1	eliminate 146:20	265:10,13,14	246:22	239:10
8:1,1 27:22	147:21 154:7	enantiomers	error 103:20,22	examination 4:2
238:10	154:17	123:15,16,19	104:1,6 105:2	8:6 181:9,17
earlier 173:20	<b>elsohly</b> 96:3,16	250:15 265:22	110:20 111:7	examinations
184:16 213:19	97:16 133:17	269:21	111:11,15,18	170:18
earned 13:3	184:12 242:10	ended 145:3	111:21 112:2,2	examined 8:5
earthmate 169:6	242:12 243:5	229:11 235:13	112:15 134:20	276:2
easy 8:16 edited 170:22	244:13 261:8	247:15	226:10	<b>example</b> 54:20
232:10	265:5 271:22	engaged 28:6	errors 104:8,10	111:14 112:9
	elsohlys 95:21	english 179:1	104:15,16	210:6 223:12
editing 232:5,8 editor 121:14	249:14 265:11	ensure 196:15	111:10 134:18	238:16 255:8
<b>cultor</b> 121.14	elucidate 200:14	260:18	<b>esquire</b> 3:4,5,14	255:16 256:11
			l	ı

260:6	158:21 159:3	172:10.21	211:4 251:17	217:12 246:8
excellent 113:21	163:17 164:5	173:10,21 174:2,19 175:9	259:16,21	269:17 270:16
excess 265:10,14	168:5,9 170:1	189:13,16	260:7,13	fashion 29:11
265:14	170:6 171:20	195:13 222:4	extracts 176:21	195:16
excited 25:7	170.0 171.20	236:2 249:17	177:3,11	
executed 276:13	174:9,22 175:3	257:1 258:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	fast 67:12,13,14
exercise 64:13	174.9,22 173.3	261:19	236:12,15 258:17	<b>fda</b> 53:7 56:2,3 68:20 249:21
75:15 80:12	180:7 181:11		eye 235:3	250:2 252:10
81:6 165:9	188:11,12,21	expertise 34:21 35:8,11,21	eye 255.5	252:22 257:11
211:14	190:1,6,15	47:16 48:22	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	federal 100:8
exhibit 4:8,9,13	190.1,0,13	49:2 53:5	facilitated 84:17	fee 255:15 256:9
4:15,22 5:4,7,9	200:11 204:12	222:13	84:19	256:9,19
5:12,13,16,17	219:10 220:22	experts 47:8	fact 10:19 48:1,2	feel 205:3 276:5
5:18 6:4,11,14	221:13 222:12	experts 47.8 expires 276:22	48:17,20 87:9	fees 256:15
6:16,18 7:4,6	227:3,8 228:15	277:21	97:8 109:17	fellow 52:20
7:10 10:12,15	229:4 231:12	explain 9:5 31:4	116:17 136:6	fertilizer 5:12
12:1,5 28:14	231:17,22	120:6 224:15	143:5,19	5:19 141:5
40:20 41:3,6	231:17,22	explained	145:16 155:1	142:16 143:6
41:10 42:10	242:5 246:13	230:11 272:8	157:9 158:4	144:3 146:8,21
43:15,20 49:2	246:18 255:21	explaining	179:21 187:14	147:15,18,21
,	exhibits 4:7 5:3	220:12	188:19 198:12	150:1 151:3,4
60:15,20 61:10	6:3 7:3 261:13	explanation	209:7,12 250:4	152:5,14 154:7
62:9,10 63:8,9	261:18	167:18 230:14	252:3,12 254:7	154:13,17
, ,	existence 80:7	258:5 272:8	265:7	155:2,4,14
72:18 75:8	81:1	explicitly 271:10	factfinding	156:22 157:17
	exists 79:9	273:17	135:2	158:3,16 160:5
83:10,11 90:2	123:13	exposed 164:12	factor 110:5,16	161:13 164:13
*	exit 186:3	165:1,4,5	112:5,11,12,18	165:6 168:13
· /	exiting 186:1	express 88:17	159:22 211:3	168:22 169:2,4
	expect 66:14	extensive 220:20	216:5	169:6 196:17
105:1 115:18	125:19 127:12	221:11 235:22	<b>factors</b> 108:22	197:12
115:20 117:7	162:19 185:16	extent 84:4	109:18 253:22	fertilizers 89:16
118:2 119:2,19	245:22	98:19 187:11	254:4 272:10	141:17 143:7
	expected 254:9	external 211:18	facts 46:4	143:14 144:13
127:20 128:6,7	254:12	241:5	<b>faith</b> 178:16	145:9,17
	expert 10:20	externally 254:9	<b>falsely</b> 249:21	146:19 147:3
132:7 133:3,10	11:2 44:8,19	extract 25:3	familiar 26:1	147:11 149:21
133:10 135:14	46:10 47:13,15	55:16 116:7	83:7 158:16	150:15,21
137:6,13	47:21 48:1,3,6	128:1 169:4	172:6 185:18	151:1 152:2
139:13 140:20	53:6,7,13,19	175:20 176:5	familiarize	153:16,20
141:3,12,14	54:1 99:18	260:19 267:19	132:13 247:3	154:6 157:8
142:6 148:10	114:15,22	268:4,21	far 58:17 66:13	161:1 162:2
148:15 153:7	117:17 143:17	extracted 269:2	66:15 78:1	169:9,13 197:4
153:10,14	143:22 161:7	extraction 94:13	191:16 193:6	197:17
156:10,15,16	161:18 173:7	158:7 182:22	214:21 217:11	<b>fhlf111</b> 156:17
, , <u> </u>				

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
<b>fhlff131</b> 5:18	10:12 12:7	fluctuating	107:14 111:1	<b>found</b> 18:1
168:13	24:11 41:12	221:10 223:12	114:20 120:13	74:17 76:8
<b>fhlff135</b> 159:5	43:16 50:13,19	fluorescent	124:18 125:3	81:7 94:15
<b>fid</b> 240:1,8	52:15 59:21	238:12	125:20 126:6	96:3 97:3
241:16	64:10 65:21	focus 83:9	126:16 127:4	102:7,11
field 26:20 88:9	66:13 69:2	<b>folks</b> 91:16	127:16 130:3	115:11 124:3,9
234:17	90:21 111:14	106:21 142:16	130:14,19	124:14 125:13
fifth 3:8	116:1,1 122:8	143:5	131:5 136:7	126:3,11
figure 201:14,16	123:5 132:11	<b>follow</b> 179:9	138:21 139:8	128:15 136:20
205:17	139:5 148:18	followed 218:8	139:15,22	137:5 144:13
figured 222:15	156:16 159:4	follows 8:5	140:10 143:10	146:13 150:2
<b>figures</b> 243:19	168:14 170:7	<b>food</b> 5:14 56:1	145:11,19	155:14 157:7
244:22	173:4,9 180:9	128:3 148:20	147:5,12 148:2	158:10 160:1
file 60:9	190:16 192:19	151:1 152:4	151:7,15	160:11,22
<b>final</b> 189:6,10	227:11 229:8	footprint 24:8	152:15,22	162:2,14,16
274:2	247:8,18 250:8	foregoing 276:3	154:1,9,19	165:13 166:3,5
finally 25:9	271:13	277:4,6	155:22 161:3	166:13,21
116:3	<b>fit</b> 21:19	forgotten 204:10	161:15 163:1,7	167:20 178:7
financially	fits 113:16	form 14:11,21	167:3 168:1	184:18 185:10
277:14	five 28:21 29:3	17:8 18:13	173:14 183:21	187:14 193:19
<b>find</b> 57:20 58:7	34:8 79:22	24:9,20 27:2	186:6,17 187:5	196:11 198:2
58:8 59:16	93:19	29:11,12 30:14	187:16 188:3	198:14 199:5
62:22 126:19	<b>flame</b> 237:9	33:6,21 35:9	193:21 195:16	199:19 200:6,7
135:6,6,10	238:10,17	35:16 36:16	195:18 196:2	203:2 204:3
143:20 209:10	239:11,20	37:1,8 39:16	196:18,21	205:8 212:1,15
212:6,19,21	240:1,12,17	39:22 40:6	197:6 198:4,16	212:22 213:3
215:12,13	241:20 252:2	42:20 45:15	199:14 200:3	217:15 223:20
225:11 226:13	fleck 251:5,10	46:2 49:3,17	201:10,22	228:6 230:14
226:18 227:15	fleming 4:20 5:4	50:16 52:9	203:4 212:3	259:2 266:14
245:22 252:11	6:10 7:9 52:14	53:8,15 56:18	216:12 217:4	266:15 268:20
259:11 261:1,2	52:16 91:1	57:14,22 59:6	222:8 223:2	founded 235:2
261:9 267:17	92:8 95:20	59:19 63:1	224:9 228:11	<b>four</b> 23:10 95:19
finding 56:16	142:7 143:1,6	70:1 71:2 72:1	230:16 237:16	120:14 123:14
80:6 116:20	163:3 164:18	73:6 75:11,19	243:10 246:4	123:14 126:22
128:20 135:1,1	167:9,9 170:11	76:1 77:6	248:5 249:4	127:7 184:18
214:4,6 216:8	184:9 208:21	78:13 79:7	257:19 259:13	235:9 243:4
246:2 273:4	209:16 213:10	80:16 81:11,19	261:5 262:12	244:12 245:3
findings 57:13	232:4,7 242:7	82:21 86:12	264:19 267:1	250:15 252:11
172:20 209:6	243:4 244:9	87:21 89:18	273:7,15	265:16 266:5
231:9 257:5	247:15 258:6	91:13 96:21	<b>formal</b> 36:14	269:8,16,19,22
fine 36:12	271:15	97:18 98:11,20	formally 35:19	272:22,22
194:13,17	flip 174:21	99:12 100:5	forms 239:2	frame 15:10
finish 9:17,20	175:7 219:13	101:6,13,20,21	forth 132:21	18:3 20:15
145:6	266:8	102:19 104:4	153:14	23:21 67:9
first 8:4,18	<b>flowing</b> 105:10	106:9,16 107:2	<b>forward</b> 172:19	69:4 70:9
	1	I	I	ı

	washington, D.C.				
185:15 186:4	181:6	generation	166:19 167:1	gestalt 95:17	
186:13,15	fused 182:16	173:7	167:18 170:8	getting 36:4	
187:3 229:3	future 269:8	gentleman 86:20	172:3 175:20	85:3 253:18	
235:9 241:8		geographic	176:5,12,19,21	254:10	
frank 136:11	G	87:18	177:3,11,16,19	give 9:20 17:10	
free 205:3	<b>g</b> 4:19 8:1 150:2	geographical	180:10 184:8	17:13 37:6	
freely 255:5	150:7 155:15	223:12	184:15 188:16	44:8 100:3,9	
freshman 36:19	238:10	georgia 1:2	191:8,13,15,19	138:19 143:3	
36:21 37:15	gain 255:4	geranium 4:16	192:21 193:11	149:1 167:6	
<b>front</b> 12:4 41:1,8	<b>gamble</b> 135:15	5:6,14 6:5,12	193:18 195:17	229:6 236:2	
51:6 63:7	135:22 136:9	6:20 7:8 19:8	197:22 198:11	240:4	
120:19 141:1	139:14,20	19:19 20:2,10	199:9 200:15	given 87:6,12	
146:5 148:13	<b>garden</b> 199:12	22:20,22 23:11	202:19 203:3	90:17 109:1,8	
156:13 159:2	gary 26:8 63:21	30:9 34:16,18	204:16,17,20	109:9 149:12	
168:8 170:4	64:2	47:18 49:7	204:21 206:2	173:17 179:1	
180:5 190:4	gas 23:13 25:12	51:7,9,14 55:5	209:9 220:3	179:20 186:3	
192:13,16	175:18 240:21	55:16 56:9,17	224:19 227:14	191:3 218:3	
227:6 246:16	241:19	57:11,20 59:4	227:16 228:3	261:12,12,18	
261:11	gasoline 238:20	61:18 65:18	228:10 234:11	266:11 276:4	
fulfilling 116:7	gateway 3:16	66:5,5,14,15	236:7,11,15	277:9	
<b>full</b> 8:8 66:12	gather 106:22	72:20 73:20	242:6,9,16	<b>glad</b> 9:4	
267:21	107:12	74:6,7,17 77:1	247:17 250:9	glassware 67:4	
function 101:12	gathered 107:11	80:15 81:6,7,8	251:12,18	67:16 68:3,11	
114:18 129:7	gathering 85:10	81:18 82:15	252:12 257:7	<b>go</b> 9:4 16:8 26:9	
functions 40:5	106:1	83:4,17 88:8	258:8,17 259:2	26:16 54:15	
81:9,18	gc 65:16 175:18	90:22 101:11	259:9 261:1	70:18 77:13	
<b>fund</b> 153:15	176:3 181:13	101:18 106:22	262:6,8 263:22	82:9 103:10	
253:14	237:8 238:11	107:12 114:17	266:13 269:1	109:18 129:14	
<b>funded</b> 52:21	240:10,15	115:16 116:4	271:14 272:3,9	149:16 153:6	
54:14,17	241:16	117:9,14	272:15	157:3 160:3	
167:12 252:11	general 31:17	118:21 120:1,2	geraniums	171:3,3 182:3	
252:13 253:2,9	37:20 38:13	120:5,7,9,12	20:14,18 21:7	188:10 194:15	
254:9 256:11	57:18 67:12	122:9,11,22	21:8 22:7	201:13 223:9	
256:15	69:15 103:14 154:17 176:18	123:7 128:1,17	27:22 30:13	234:21,22	
<b>funding</b> 23:19	177:10,15,18	128:22 129:5	49:11 52:7	237:21 253:8	
24:2 49:14	202:5 242:16	129:15 130:11	55:10 80:22	268:12 269:7	
253:4,17,20,21	generally 36:1	131:3 133:16	86:9 106:1	273:21	
254:4,10	99:5 127:1,11	134:4,6,9	116:17 128:16	goal 135:5	
further 37:12	172:7 186:3	135:4 136:6	133:15 134:10	goes 13:10 26:2	
76:20 95:9	generate 100:1	138:10 139:5	135:9 137:19	26:13 42:15	
97:4,11 129:10	113:10,17	142:18 148:1	146:22 147:1,4	55:13 56:7	
129:13 136:16 161:19 200:14	210:21	148:20 149:20	147:10,16	64:9,22 66:2	
	generated	150:11 154:8	154:12,18	66:11 67:15	
277:12	156:19	161:21 164:1	199:5 200:2	127:21 134:13	
furthermore	150.17	165:9,10,14	230:21 257:9	135:13 150:14	
L	1	1	·	•	

150:21 175:17       159:22 212:20       264:8       harvest 88:7       hereto         176:1 220:9,19       213:1,11       guiyang 88:6       216:3,9       hes 36:9         229:16 230:3       214:11,12       94:4,17 209:2       harvested       64:4 8         238:16 239:1       215:1,10,22       209:11,12       225:20       100:5	
176:1 220:9,19       213:1,11       guiyang 88:6       216:3,9       hes 36:9         229:16 230:3       214:11,12       94:4,17 209:2       harvested       64:48	
229:16 230:3 214:11,12 94:4,17 209:2 <b>harvested</b> 64:4 8	
	5 119:8
245:4 250:1 218:14,16 213:4 214:6 <b>hash</b> 134:4 182:1	
	259:21
271:20 272:6   graph 158:14   guizhou 215:6,7   havent 12:18   260:4	
272:19   graphical 32:12   guy 84:6 223:22   37:12 100:12   hexava	
going 9:8,16   graphs 156:16   —   195:6 200:18   34:15	
10.11 21.10,16   graveoicus 33.3   mazy 203.20   mgn 4.	
21.20 12.13	104:10
13.10 11.20   200.5	6 110:18
00.1,0,7 07.11 <b>Sicut</b> 177.1	5 150:16
09.20 100.5	9 170:9
111.22 112.4   greater 113.20   neaded 3.10   213.2	22 251:21
112:15 113:18   green 2:5 3:15   halfway 133:11   141:5 156:17   252:4	*
110.5 120.10   gligiak 5.21   137.5 100.15   higher	
1 / 18	3 103:10
	111:20
	20 158:3
	204:4
	ht 235:12
237:20,21   grow 89:17   hand 90:16   headings 105:10   highlig	
	5 235:8
241:2,3 243:17   197:12 269:2   handed 51:19   140:16   235:1	
258:14 260:14   growing 87:13   231:15   health 53:15   highlig	_
<b>good</b> 10:9 30:3,4 87:17 89:6,7 <b>handle</b> 261:2 57:1 116:8 209:7	
57:19 109:15   89:12 147:18   handled 72:14   hear 184:4   highly	
112:8 143:3	
167:6 178:16 197:5 236:13 <b>handling</b> 29:21 62:14 65:21 217:8	
245:14 255:8   236:13 258:19   happen 27:6   68:15 84:11,13   hiring	
255:16 260:6   258:19 259:1,1   43:10   195:6   <b>hitech</b>	
	22 174:3,4
	5 275:4
	7:14 184:5
18 18 18	7 203:21
91:9 <b>guess</b> 18:15 <b>happy</b> 268:12 <b>held</b> 2:1 215:2	
grab 171:20   20:19 29:19   hard 9:20 17:10   help 13:13 14:17   home 1	20:1,5
grad 92:9 37:10 44:2 109:6 136:14 65:6 102:10 honest	
/ 262.10	<b>ly</b> 37:11
	),17 47:22
0.50.40	8 143:16
53:1 142:5 211:17 222:3 253:19 helping 92:11 163:1	
253:11 254:13   225:19,21   harlow 3:5   herbs 88:8   hoping	
gram 150:9 243:17 253:3 68:21 herby 7:8 hourly	54:21

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2010
hours 268:10	170:2,5 176:4	91:18 92:13	161:18 167:7	72:19 220:14
houston 31:19	180:3,6,8	114:22 118:4,5	217:1 242:15	insights 250:10
<b>hp</b> 238:12	185:8 190:2,5	118:7,10,15	included 94:7	255:1
<b>hplc</b> 113:7	190:7,15	125:10 126:18	including 98:10	insofar 44:21
241:18	192:11,18,20	127:10,10	117:16 175:19	institution 71:9
hplcms 5:6	227:4,7,9	133:1 141:21	184:19	instruction
90:22	231:13,16,18	143:3 146:4	increase 112:4	85:21
<b>ht</b> 180:8	232:13 240:15	151:21 153:8	increased 158:7	instructions
ht06140 192:19	246:14,17,19	155:15 160:20	increasing 32:5	138:20
<b>human</b> 151:1	identified 102:5	174:6 175:4	independent	instrument
152:4	104:2 130:10	177:21 178:8	15:9,16 61:18	30:21 109:8,22
<b>humans</b> 53:16	145:17	180:19 184:4,5	72:21,22	111:18 144:22
<b>hybrid</b> 255:8,18	identifier 240:14	184:16 186:20	133:12 245:13	157:14
hydrochloric	identifies 185:15	189:11 191:12	indicate 106:14	instrumental
259:22	identify 24:7	191:18 192:15	233:17	38:3,10,15
hydrogen	74:8 131:1,2	194:8 195:8	indicated 65:3	instrumentation
239:13	150:15 153:20	196:7 198:18	70:18 224:3	25:8,11 38:6
hydrogens	156:18 172:3	198:18 201:4	indicates 116:6	145:7 246:8
239:14	175:19 176:13	202:6,8,9	127:22	instruments
	177:11,19	203:18 204:10	indicating	26:16
1	179:16 180:15	207:1 214:11	147:14	intake 224:5
idea 67:13,13	185:10 231:20	214:22 216:20	indication 210:1	intensity 206:1
72:2 117:5	259:8	222:3 223:4	indirectly 29:16	interaction
162:22 201:7	identifying	230:3 253:5	individual 47:20	186:10
202:5 233:11	203:14	261:13 263:6	88:15 210:12	intercept 211:1
ideally 113:17	ignores 252:12	268:7,8,12	276:10,11	interest 65:4
identical 122:11	ilk 155:21	271:9	influenced	128:2 140:9
124:4,15	ill 23:21 27:20	<b>image</b> 181:8,17	253:3	interested 66:20
220:15	<b>im</b> 8:14 9:2,8,14	<b>impact</b> 57:12	information	70:12 277:15
identification	9:16 10:11	228:9 230:18	4:10 9:2,3	interference
6:19 10:16	11:17 15:21	234:1 262:8	12:16 13:8	22:1,3 241:11
12:2 40:16,21	19:15 21:16,18	impacted 216:9	55:20 86:2,7	interferences
41:2,9 51:17	21:20 22:18	261:3 262:5	86:10,17 87:2	31:9 32:15
51:20 60:16	23:10 26:3	implemented	87:6,12 88:20	239:4 240:18
63:8,10 85:9	27:18 36:2	188:19	89:3,12,15	241:7
90:3,18 103:20	37:11 41:6	importance	94:7 105:1	interlab 139:12
104:17 120:17	43:6,7,16	245:6	107:22 108:8	interlaboratory
120:20,22	44:20 47:3,14	important 56:9	179:7 183:16	133:12 135:15
131:15 132:7	47:15,22 48:7	128:2 149:22	187:10 206:12	135:22 136:2,5
132:10 140:21	48:19 51:2	155:13 203:1	217:19 273:3	245:6 247:16
141:2,4 148:11	54:15 61:2	220:12	initial 61:22	247:22 248:1
148:14,16	62:9 63:3	importantly	72:8,16 93:21	248:10,12,20
156:11,14	64:18 73:15	208:21 209:5	176:4	249:3
158:22 159:3	74:12 78:1	include 87:17	injector 182:13	intern 3:21
168:6,9,11,11	79:9 80:9	105:1 117:3	insight 61:16	internal 107:19
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

•		washington, D.C.		
intownational	ionization 04:20	256:7	102:0 106:5 6	known 55:14
international	ionization 94:20		103:9 106:5,6	
255:10	182:18 238:11	journals 255:6,7	107:5,8,8,16	77:11 209:18
internet 60:11	238:17 239:11	jr 4:13 8:10 13:1	114:12 127:18	210:15 269:2
intertek 5:9 6:16	239:20 240:1	41:13,20	132:1,4,14,16	knows 270:9
51:15 74:5,18	240:12,18	judgment	135:2 136:11	kompatnick
75:7 81:2	241:8,20 252:2	244:22 245:1	140:3 141:20	11:3
82:16 83:3	ionized 260:16	july 132:12	146:3,7 147:3	kunming 88:6
95:20 105:19	ions 203:14,14	june 225:22	147:18 155:3	94:4,17 209:2
105:21 107:7	203:18,19	justice 3:6	156:4 164:3,21	209:11,12
122:16 174:12	206:21 207:4	juxtapose	165:18 167:5	210:6 212:2
174:13,18	207:22 208:10	166:22	168:4 169:14	213:4 214:5,6
190:12,17,19	208:11		170:14 171:15	218:12 224:18
190:22 191:7	isnt 47:9 235:14	<u>K</u>	171:19 179:14	т
193:5,9 195:12	issue 100:16	khan 11:3 95:21	184:1 185:11	L
196:14 197:18	<b>issued</b> 80:4,8	96:3 97:16	185:14 188:1	152:14 90:22
197:21 198:10	issues 17:7	100:20 220:19	193:6 194:4,8	108:1,7 170:11
198:10 199:2	ive 17:16 19:4	221:11 236:1,1	197:15,19	lab 15:9 16:9,22
200:19 201:7	21:1,21 22:21	236:2 258:15	200:18,21	17:15,18 18:2
212:6 229:11	24:2 35:11	<b>khans</b> 96:16	202:7 204:2	18:11,18 19:4
229:18,19,22	43:11 48:1	100:17 223:19	205:11 208:16	19:12 20:6
245:20	49:13 67:10	230:19 231:1	210:16 213:19	21:7,12 22:7
interteks 192:1	92:6 117:3	<b>kill</b> 167:21	214:1 215:17	22:20 23:4
192:1 196:16	135:4 159:12	killed 161:20	216:2,4 217:12	26:12,13 69:9
introduction	174:6 182:20	kind 69:15 70:5	219:17 222:6	69:13,14,17,18
54:6 55:1	200:5,7 226:15	103:3,22 109:6	223:7 229:10	69:22 70:5,17
investigate	253:10,11,11	146:4,14 164:8	229:18 230:5	71:1,8 72:8
59:16 60:3		210:10 239:22	232:18 235:7,7	74:5 104:11,15
164:11,22	J	266:2 269:15	235:19 245:2	104:20 109:21
investigating	<b>j</b> 5:10 63:20	knew 73:5 95:11	246:9 249:12	122:18 156:19
204:16	192:22	know 10:6 12:15	251:3 253:15	159:12 160:8
involved 16:22	jain 258:14,14	24:3 31:13	259:19 260:11	160:14 168:17
17:1 27:13,21	james 3:5	34:12 35:3,5	262:11,14	196:16 259:4
28:1,11 52:1	jared 1:14	37:10 41:16	264:15 265:2	labeled 55:16
133:19 134:15	jersey 3:17	43:3,10 44:4	267:9 269:20	169:21
144:16 163:3	<b>jim</b> 138:16	47:7 48:18	270:2,12,16,21	laboratories
195:1	jin 83:7,16,16,22	51:2 58:17,18	271:1 272:17	51:15 134:2
involvement	84:8,16 85:3,8	59:1 61:4	knowing 46:16	135:5,6,9
85:8 170:21	85:20 86:4,10	63:12 65:5	68:5 182:9	213:22 248:8,8
ion 25:13 176:22	86:18 87:4	66:21 67:21	knowledge 78:4	laboratory 26:5
203:12 206:6,7	199:9	68:22 71:13	85:5 87:5	53:2 61:19
206:19,19,22	job 1:21 15:17	72:2,4 74:21	97:11 98:14	72:21 73:1,2
207:18 236:12	16:8 254:7	78:5,22 79:2	122:7 127:7	122:10 133:18
237:9 258:18	joined 18:3	80:3 85:2,16	166:18 200:22	134:14,16
261:22 262:4,7	journal 121:22	86:14 90:11,14	265:1 266:12	248:9
262:7	145:4 255:11	91:8 98:7	269:5,17	labs 6:16 23:20
202.1	1.0.1.200.11	71.0 70.1	409.3,17	
			•	•

		wasnington, D.C.		
40 11 40 12 14	221 7 227 10	245 15 250 10	215 14 241 10	221 12 10
40:11 49:12,14	231:7 237:10	245:15 250:18	215:14 241:19	221:13,19
49:16 50:5,10	245:13,18	271:21 272:2	242:1,22 244:3	222:11,20
50:11,14,20	247:1 248:21	levels 102:12	244:21,21	lod 218:8
52:6 54:10,12	249:1 250:6	104:3 160:11	251:18,20	long 99:19
55:11 57:11,13	252:11 253:2	162:1,11	260:5	131:11 182:20
57:19 58:6,7	255:20 256:13	165:13 166:3,5	line 41:20	191:18 260:2
58:14,17,21,22	259:2 265:2	166:12 167:2	104:12 112:10	268:2,3
59:3,15 60:3	lack 21:2 56:3	167:19 204:2	112:16,17	longer 26:18
61:17 62:1,14	157:20	212:18 213:13	113:3,10,16,17	69:7 167:12
71:7,14,18,21	landscape	213:20,21	113:18 130:2	longest 26:19
72:4,7,19	253:16	227:15 244:7	140:8 229:9	look 12:20 54:3
73:19 74:2	landscaper 37:3	<b>li</b> 6:18,18 95:20	275:5	60:19 63:6,11
75:7 76:9,22	37:7	184:9 188:16	linear 113:1,4	63:11,18 69:2
79:18 80:11,12	language 164:16	189:16 192:22	lines 64:14	78:6 83:11
80:21,22 81:16	late 142:14	193:1,4 200:11	93:20	94:15 98:14
82:6 84:9,18	law 47:7	204:3,11 207:1	liquid 4:18 6:7	112:16 132:13
84:20,22 85:2	lawyer 44:11	207:13 213:10	6:21 170:9	133:9 134:6,21
86:5,11 87:4	47:22 48:20	214:4,18 215:7	192:21	157:15 160:3
87:14 98:9	173:12	217:3,15 220:4	lis 217:9 246:10	160:10,16,18
104:21 105:18	lawyers 174:4,6	229:22 242:7	lisi 242:9	168:15 169:17
105:20 106:6	<b>lc</b> 65:16 66:6	243:4 244:9	<b>listed</b> 52:14	169:18,20
106:15,21	238:12	247:14,17	148:21 188:8	178:5 183:2
107:8 115:17	<b>lcms</b> 7:8	257:18 258:6	liter 108:4,4,5	190:9 204:11
116:21 117:7	leading 59:12	271:4,7,8,15	109:16 111:17	205:3,17,21
117:10,14,19	<b>learn</b> 69:6 71:17	library 179:16	111:21 157:13	207:6,8 209:15
119:1,13,18	learned 30:6	180:15 181:18	literally 95:7	219:10 221:8
122:10 128:14	lefthand 219:15	181:20 182:10	229:21	224:13 225:17
129:11,14	lesco 158:17	life 254:13	literature 55:4	228:15 229:6
132:11,15,21	159:5,7,19	<b>lilly</b> 80:3	79:13,17 95:11	240:10 242:21
133:6 139:2,5	168:19	<b>limit</b> 74:22 75:1	175:13 176:11	245:12 246:21
139:14,21	leslie 1:22 2:14	75:3 76:12,14	236:10 258:12	247:7 262:17
144:1 146:9	277:3,17	76:19 94:4	262:4	263:5 271:8
149:1,13	<b>letter</b> 5:7 121:1	99:4,8,9 108:9	litho 25:14	looked 12:19
151:14 153:3	121:6,9,13	108:12,14,22	little 8:16 83:9	57:7 60:10
153:11,17	122:5 252:22	109:5,7,10,14	102:9 131:11	146:1 160:14
155:12 165:15	level 38:14,15,16	110:5,17 112:1	154:15 167:16	171:2 177:14
166:6 167:13	39:11 97:4	112:8,12,14,19	186:9 260:14	186:22 189:12
167:20 172:15	102:6 103:6	157:17,19,22	live 165:10	189:16 200:5
188:20 190:17	108:14 144:15	209:11 214:16	locally 82:13,15	202:17 217:11
190:20 191:2,4	148:7 160:7	214:18 218:1	locate 81:1	217:12 235:5
191:16 194:5	162:16 166:17	243:20	location 88:12	235:16 254:5
198:1,13 199:4	167:21 184:12	limited 34:7	88:12 221:5,6	260:22
216:22 217:8	184:14 185:6	118:2 235:20	222:1,2 224:4	looking 27:21
217:18 218:5	185:15 210:16	limits 97:6 99:7	224:7	28:11 43:21
228:17,21	210:18,19,20	183:11 196:12	locations 220:21	58:10 67:3

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
83:10 103:18	253:8	182:10	101:15,17	240:20,22
126:2 127:1	majority 18:4	matches 97:20	102:22 107:16	245:12 255:2
143:21,22	making 47:4,4	material 57:11	108:1 110:2,2	266:16
146:19 152:17	195:8 222:21	57:21 95:3	110:6,19,20	meant 20:16
154:6 165:3	254:5	126:15 137:22	123:12 125:18	164:21 200:21
184:5 189:16	mamml 26:3,4	139:5 269:10	126:21 133:22	201:8
207:8 237:14	26:22 27:8	materials 39:5,8	134:15,17	measure 102:6
240:9 265:19	93:21	59:4 67:5,17	135:21 136:1	113:16 124:7
looks 12:18	manuscript	67:22 68:11	136:10,10	150:10 209:22
97:10 133:1	123:1,4 176:2	69:8 117:3	139:17,17	210:1 271:5
141:16 168:16	maps 205:17	171:8 253:12	140:3 141:10	measured
193:12 218:15	march 224:17	materiel 252:14	141:21 142:2	110:13 111:17
226:13 228:18	226:6	matrices 33:17	153:4,5 159:11	124:1 125:12
232:2,19 271:7	marked 10:12	34:3,19	163:11 165:4	125:14 126:9
271:9	10:15 12:1,5	matrix 31:9,12	171:1 176:8	126:12,13
loose 17:13	40:20 41:2,9	32:11 65:18	181:12 182:6	127:7 157:13
loosely 33:15	51:16,20 60:15	93:22 94:11	189:21 191:10	196:5 228:5
lorenzo 272:1	63:7 90:2,18	95:1,2,4,7	191:11 200:5	260:11 266:13
losing 226:15	120:16,20	144:21 259:18	201:2,6,12	269:18 270:17
loss 226:20	131:14 132:7	259:19	202:3,5 203:19	271:7 273:12
<b>lot</b> 69:14 239:18	140:20 141:2	matter 18:11	209:5,21 216:3	measurement
low 31:18 94:18	148:10,14	39:4 114:16	216:14,14	207:17 208:11
97:4 165:20	156:10,14	152:11 154:17	217:6,11	269:8
249:22 251:18	158:21 159:3	174:3 175:9	221:17,20,22	measures
lower 104:10	163:17 168:5,9	<b>mdl</b> 75:18 108:7	222:7,14,15	207:14
111:22 112:22	170:1,5 180:2	108:20 112:5	223:4 230:8,10	measuring
204:4	180:6 190:1,5	112:11,18	233:3,7,19,20	103:11
lowered 94:22	192:10,14,17	mdls 211:16	235:12,12	mechanism
lowest 75:4	227:3,7 231:12	mean 11:9 12:18	239:7,10	131:2,3
108:16	231:16 232:12	13:22 17:21	240:19 243:16	medical 252:14
lunch 131:10,13	246:13,17	19:8 21:1,9	244:19 245:11	medium 27:10
131:18	marketed 57:4	33:13 34:5	246:6 247:21	27:16,22 28:5
	marketing 56:2	35:2,19,20	248:1,8 251:14	28:12 40:13,17
<u>M</u>	mass 4:19 6:8,22	37:12 43:1,9	259:15 261:22	memory 143:16
m 1:20 13:3 93:2	25:12 38:19	44:2 51:10	263:4,18 265:1	memphis 4:9
193:1 247:10	94:21 113:6	53:19 54:17	269:14	11:15 12:8,16
274:16	158:3,7 170:10	68:10 70:3	meaning 30:22	13:2,13 16:21
magazine	175:18 181:8	72:22 73:8	means 9:1 31:3	17:5 18:4,22
256:18	181:16 192:22	74:1 75:2	35:4,5 43:7	27:17 28:7
magnetic 6:9	206:17,21	76:13 77:2,3	87:7 112:11	31:16,17 37:19
170:10	238:13 240:6	79:16,17,22	123:13 130:13	38:9 52:22
magnitude	masstocharge	82:9 85:13	134:1 201:4	64:4,17,18
123:9	206:20 207:14	86:14 89:7	221:20,21	82:13,15 83:18
mail 88:18	240:11	92:13 94:10	238:18 239:8	84:7 88:14
<b>major</b> 66:7	match 181:22	95:15 96:11	239:10,11,12	91:2,12,16,22
				I

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
107:6,7 141:19	micrograms	molecule 186:5	150:9 159:22	66:21 67:2
142:9 149:5	108:4,4,5	186:11	212:20,22	69:18 90:13
168:12 170:19	109:16 111:17	moment 93:15	213:11 214:11	114:12 132:3
229:12 254:8	111:20 157:13	158:15 184:5	215:1,8,9,10	171:18 219:7
mention 125:10	214:11	224:21 231:6	215:21 218:14	229:10,18
143:17	mil 99:2	273:3	218:16 225:18	230:5 243:18
mentioned	million 103:5,8	monday 1:19	226:3,7	needed 67:5,17
134:17 160:15	103:9,11	money 68:10	nanometers	68:10 200:14
189:22 196:8	mind 45:12	monitoring 26:5	214:21 215:1	needing 201:3
216:4	218:17	26:17,19 53:2	215:19	needs 69:16
merely 239:2	miniaturization	97:21 206:16	natural 56:8	167:10 201:13
merit 243:19	53:2	moshers 251:5	101:12,19	negative 211:1
244:22	minimize 31:22	251:10	114:18 116:6	neither 154:22
metal 176:22	241:7	moved 167:8,13	128:1 129:6,15	183:17 277:9
236:12 258:18	minimizes 32:14	moving 201:6	130:1 268:5,20	nervous 146:4
261:22 262:4,7	minimizing	ms1 207:13	naturally 57:5	neutral 260:16
methane 238:19	32:11	multicenter 97:2	78:2,6,11,19	260:19
method 23:9	<b>minimum</b> 76:11	249:15	79:4,4 80:14	neutralize 260:7
25:3 32:18	76:14 108:14	multilab 97:16	81:8,17 115:6	never 43:11
51:12,14 66:3	minister 252:16	multipage 41:10	115:16,21	62:14 144:17
66:7,12 68:6	minus 88:18	51:21 63:9	116:18 117:9	146:15 148:6,8
69:6 74:5,21	213:11 214:18	90:19 132:9	117:15 118:21	new 3:17 13:12
75:1,2 76:4	minute 54:7	148:15 156:15	120:7 128:17	29:20 69:6
99:6,8 108:9	60:19 227:20	170:6 180:7	128:21 130:12	96:12
108:11,13,22	<b>minutes</b> 273:18	190:7 192:18	152:20 167:1	newark 3:17
109:5,7,9,14	mislead 118:14	231:17 246:18	167:18 191:8	news 56:3
110:4,5,16	misleading	multiple 32:4,5	191:13,20	newsroom 56:5
112:1,7,12,19	21:20	88:9 97:21	193:11,18	nexus 13:11
144:20 148:9	missed 43:16	104:20 134:2,6	195:16 198:12	ng 4:19 150:2,7
155:8 157:17	mission 135:2	176:12,20	200:2 203:2	155:15
157:19,21	mississippi	206:16	220:3 228:4	nice 240:6
177:2 189:2,5	64:16 133:17	mz 206:6	263:1,15,22	nicely 229:10
190:11 204:16	misstated		264:11,17	nine 34:7 260:10
204:20 214:15	184:20	N	267:19	260:13
214:17 215:14	misstates 46:4	<b>n</b> 3:1 4:1,1 5:1,1	nda 66:13 67:2	nitrogen 150:16
236:14 244:20	107:3 145:20	6:1,1 7:1,1 8:1	near 243:1	nmr 7:11 50:1,5
246:10 247:18	mistakes 178:18	nail 156:5	244:4	251:11,17,21
251:17 259:8	mix 151:2	name 8:9 56:22	<b>nearly</b> 240:2	251:22,22
methods 6:15,17	<b>mmhmm</b> 65:9	68:13 83:7	necessarily	nods 9:12 45:8
30:1,7 39:13	208:3 224:20	86:16 275:4	222:4 235:14	61:12 63:15
97:5 134:18	238:15 252:19	276:20	241:3 252:3	162:18
176:13 190:17	mobile 26:4,12	named 68:15	necessary 17:22	noise 75:5
190:19 236:17	26:13,15 53:1	169:10 223:22	18:2 276:6	108:17
252:2	model 255:18	names 168:19	need 10:5 21:15	nonchiral 23:12
mha 55:14	modeling 26:5	nanograms 99:2	22:1 65:3,16	123:20
	1	1	1	

T		wasnington, D.C.		
nope 237:21		140:10 143:10	264:17 267:19	125:10,16
nope 237.21 normal 110:7	0	145:11,19	occurs 115:16	128:8,8,9
254:18	o 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1	147:5,12 148:2	220:3	132:5,19 133:4
normally 125:18	8:1	151:7,15	october 42:1	138:8 140:19
127:13	oath 2:16 8:19	151:7,13	oddly 74:13	145:8 149:12
northern 1:2	90:8 114:9	154:1,9,19	126:19 203:19	155:16 158:14
nos 190:1	131:20 171:13	155:22 161:3	offer 43:14,19	159:9 160:16
notary 2:15	219:2	161:15 163:1,7	115:20	163:22 164:6
276:17 277:1	<b>object</b> 21:16,16	167:3 168:1	offered 191:11	171:22 173:9
277:18	40:6	173:14 183:21	offering 42:9	173:19 175:10
note 10:11,17	objection 14:11	186:6,17 187:5	114:16,22	176:14 181:19
91:6 252:10	14:21 17:8	187:16 188:3	236:5 251:8	183:4,8 188:14
274:4	18:13 24:9,20	193:21 194:16	office 72:13	192:5 195:6
notebooks 160:8	27:2 29:12	195:1,2,7,18	officer 277:3	196:14 198:21
160:14	30:14 33:6,21	196:2,18 197:6	offices 2:2	190:14 198:21
noted 47:1 253:1	35:9,16 36:16	190.2,18 197.0	officiated 2:16	201:6 203:10
274:9	37:1,8 39:16	198:16 199:14	oh 26:4 45:10	204:1,10,11,14
notice 2:14 4:8	39:22 42:20	200:3 201:10	46:1,1,17	205:5 208:14
10:13 100:3,10	45:15 46:2,20	201:22 203:4	114:3 118:18	208:19 213:8
275:1	46:22 47:4	212:3 216:12	157:11 159:9	216:18 218:6
<b>november</b> 1:19	49:3,17 50:16	217:4 222:8	229:2 264:6,6	219:12,22
275:1,2 277:22	52:9 53:8	223:2 224:9	264:6,6	227:21 228:15
nuclear 6:8	56:18 57:14,22	228:11 230:16	oil 6:13 65:18	232:14 236:5
170:10	59:6,19 63:1	237:16 243:10	66:5,14 175:20	236:20 237:2,6
number 17:11	70:1 71:2 73:6	246:4 248:5	176:5,21 177:3	238:7 240:17
17:13 55:21,22	75:11,19 76:1 77:6 78:13	249:4 257:19	177:11,16,19	242:2 245:17
88:10 108:22	79:7 80:16	259:13 261:5	180:10 182:22	247:6 249:19
112:10 158:6,9	81:11,19 82:21	262:12 264:19	236:15 242:16	256:17 258:3
172:2 212:14	86:12 87:21	267:1 273:7,15	258:17	262:18 264:6,9
232:13 239:16	89:18 96:21	obtain 77:1	oils 193:12,13	265:8 266:10
245:14	97:18 98:11,20	obtained 77:22	196:7,8 204:17	270:8,21
numbers 63:10	99:12 100:5	115:11	204:21 242:6,9	272:13,19
91:8,14 105:10	101:6,13,21	obviously 47:5	okay 9:9 10:2,4	older 25:8
120:22 132:10	101:0,13,21	118:16 215:14	16:4 19:18	once 100:19
141:4 148:16	106:9,16 107:2	occasionally	24:16 31:7	166:8 259:11
157:3,15,16	107:14 111:1	17:19,20	41:18 44:7	<b>onepage</b> 120:21
168:11 180:8	114:20 118:5	occur 11:20	45:20 48:22	ones 30:18
190:7,15	120:13 124:18	138:5	54:5 61:8	136:20 137:5
192:18 206:7	125:3,20 126:6	occurred 94:19	63:17 64:19	205:14 208:2
227:9 231:18	126:16 127:4	occurring 57:5	82:2 83:13	ongoing 21:2
246:19	127:16 130:3	78:11 <del>7</del> 9:4	85:7 86:20	25:21 40:15
nutraceutical	130:14,19	115:6,21 117:9	89:22 90:11,12	<b>online</b> 33:1,3
65:2	131:5 136:7	120:7 128:22	90:15 92:22	open 70:10
nutrient 223:13	138:21 139:8	134:3 263:1,15	93:18 104:14	254:18,21
nw 2:6 3:8	139:15,22	263:22 264:11	114:14 124:13	255:2,7,14,18
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2010
256:2	150:2 155:14	206:1 208:12	247:15 250:9	128:22 129:6
operate 69:17	156:22 157:8	208:16 219:13	250:10 255:12	129:11 136:9
operates 255:17	158:11	219:20 232:12	270:18 271:8	138:19 139:2
operating	outcome 62:9	237:1,3,19,20	276:7	143:8 155:7
213:19	73:5 98:18	238:1,3 242:3	papers 147:17	165:3 170:18
operations	277:15	242:4 247:8	183:18 185:7	173:17 176:11
181:6	outcomes 93:22	249:16 252:7	paragraph	177:5 191:14
opinion 47:6	98:9 220:16	256:21,21	60:21 61:2,4,9	195:12 207:19
53:18 96:9,11	outlier 95:12,15	258:2,4 262:1	62:7 72:18	233:4 248:14
100:10 114:16	outlined 94:14	262:17 263:11	93:19 95:10	250:5 254:10
115:21 116:11	115:5 137:13	266:9 269:7	116:1 122:6	participated
116:13 117:8	outside 142:19	271:13 275:5	133:11 135:14	248:19
117:21 118:20	227:19	pages 90:20	149:8,19	particular 23:15
128:10,20	oven 182:13	170:7	175:11 177:8	25:21 33:10
129:2 184:8	overlapped	paid 50:10 71:21	183:3,7 188:13	92:12,21 98:18
191:11,19	125:17	71:22 72:5,9	208:15,20	108:15 115:10
192:2 198:11	overseeing	106:4,12,15,21	209:15 219:16	173:8 202:7
236:5 271:13	83:17	107:8,9,12,18	220:1 224:14	226:11 235:10
271:14 272:11		255:20 256:8	229:16 236:16	254:17
272:14	P	256:12,19	237:19 238:4,6	particularly
opinions 42:8,14	<b>p</b> 3:1,1 8:1	pair 269:20	243:9,13,15,16	8:17 248:3
42:18 43:3,13	247:10 274:16	270:2	244:2 247:13	256:14
43:19 101:4	pack 26:15	pairs 123:15,16	249:20 252:8,9	parties 10:20
184:7 251:8	package 52:22	250:14 265:22	258:4 262:2,17	277:11,14
271:13 274:5,6	page 4:2,7 5:3	paper 5:10,11	263:11 266:9	partition 260:4
opposed 70:17	6:3 7:3 12:7	80:1 87:15,16	269:6	partitioning
70:22 71:8	41:12,16 51:22	94:14 95:20,20	paragraphs	259:18,20
127:14 152:21	60:22 61:1,2	95:21 115:5	262:21 263:13	parts 14:6 31:18
174:4 193:19	61:10 63:19	117:11,13	parameters	31:20 102:11
222:21 229:21	69:2 72:18	119:20 120:4	183:11 243:19	103:18 104:8
264:17	83:11 90:21	121:17 123:22	pardon 249:10	133:15 150:7
order 84:16	93:17 105:7	124:1 158:20	parens 66:4	150:12 158:11
134:4 138:19	115:22 132:11	169:20 179:11	parentheses	218:14 244:5,6
organic 239:17	133:3,5,7,10	179:15,17,20	88:10,11	250:18
organizations	135:14 148:18	185:14 188:7	part 15:3,4,17	partsperbillion
220:6	149:8,18	189:3,6,10,17	16:8 24:12	213:21
origin 230:5	155:15 156:16	192:3,6,8	31:14 52:22	pass 66:12
original 178:21	159:4 164:4	195:12 205:19	66:7 79:18	patent 30:19
211:7 216:22	170:7 175:9	222:10,14	80:12 81:5,8	32:16,21 80:4
239:9 276:7	177:7 180:9	223:9,19,20	81:17 84:21	80:8
originally 88:9	183:5,6,7	224:3 229:9,15	91:8,11 97:1	patented 32:18
origins 230:12	184:7 188:13	230:10,19	103:4,4,6,7,7,8	33:1
osmocote 143:17	190:16 192:19	231:1 234:8	103:9,11,12	patents 28:17,22
143:19,20	200:10,13	235:1,14,17	108:6 112:10	29:3,10 30:10
144:5,6,13	204:13 205:22	246:12 247:14	112:18 115:9	30:18
177,5.0.1.7		240.12 247.14	114.10 113.9	30.10

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2010
pathway 120:12	107:9,11 134:6	25:18 27:9,15	picks 258:16	177:3 200:15
200:15 201:4,8	178:18 216:6	28:4,15 39:9	ping 95:21 172:7	201:4 202:19
201:17,20	253:7 263:21	39:13,15 40:11	172:9,15,20	210:12,16
202:6,13	peoples 147:17	40:12,16,18	173:5,9 175:13	214:12,14
272:15	perarticle 255:4	96:16 172:3	175:17 177:12	220:15 222:1,2
pathways	percent 17:14	190:11 254:16	177:19 178:2,6	222:20 223:16
202:18 272:18	18:9,10,18	perusing 52:3	178:21 179:5,8	224:4,8 227:14
patricia 52:17	19:12 20:4,8	61:7 63:16	179:11,14,15	227:16 262:6
<b>paul</b> 1:17 2:1	20:12 94:12,16	128:8 132:18	180:11,14	265:4,9,13,17
4:2,10,13 5:8	94:19 104:11	141:8 159:16	183:15,19	266:3,14,19
8:3,10 12:8	104:16 110:2,6	164:3 176:16	184:19,19,22	267:15 269:10
13:1,1 41:13	110:7,11,15,17	180:17 186:19	185:4,9,14	269:10 270:5
41:20 47:20,21	110:18 111:15	187:18 199:7	186:14,22	272:3 273:5,13
132:12 148:21	111:19 112:2	204:5 205:5	187:11 188:1,7	273:14
228:20 247:9	112:21 182:16	208:6,18	204:4,8,9	planting 149:20
274:17 275:3	214:1 260:14	219:21 225:2	242:7 243:7	<b>plants</b> 4:17 5:6
pay 54:12,20	percentage 15:8	232:1 233:10	257:18	5:15 6:6 23:11
69:7 105:22	17:6 18:8 20:7	236:9 247:6	pka 260:9	26:18 30:5,9
106:7 253:10	110:8 143:4	263:7 271:6	<b>place</b> 31:19	34:18 35:12
253:10,11,12	183:12	<b>ph</b> 1:17 2:1 4:2	66:13 67:22	47:18 49:7,11
254:19 255:3	perfect 113:18	4:14 8:3 13:3	68:2 69:15,16	51:7,10,14
255:14 256:2	perfectly 46:14	35:19 41:13,20	plaintiff 1:6 3:3	55:5 56:9,17
256:16	performance	52:15,16 53:3	8:6	74:6,7 81:18
payment 254:16	4:17 6:6 170:9	64:3 170:19	plan 42:18 43:9	83:4 87:3,13
255:4	215:16	260:8,12,18	44:2	88:8,9,12,15
payments 72:14	performed	274:17 275:3	planned 164:7	89:13,17 90:22
pc 2:5 3:15	109:21 172:2	pharmaceutic	planning 42:9	115:2,3,7
peak 97:12,17	181:9	1:13 275:4	43:6,8	116:4 117:9
240:4 241:2	period 157:5,6	pharmacology	plant 27:9,16,21	118:21 122:9
266:1,1	167:8	39:15	28:5,12 31:15	124:8 125:7,13
peaks 123:19	periodicals	pharmakon 77.20	35:5,8,15 36:3	125:18 126:2,8
126:22 127:3,7	121:15 122:2	77:20	36:8 39:21	126:14 127:12
127:15 265:18	256:2	phase 186:10	40:5,13,17	128:1,17 129:6
265:20,21	person 84:18,20	philosophy	66:6,15 77:11	129:15,19
269:18,19	personal 47:12	109:4	80:15 81:7,8	133:16 134:4,6
peer 119:21	48:16	phonetic 215:6	95:3 101:11,19	135:4 136:6,12
234:8,15	personally 22:21	phosphorous	102:13 114:18	136:15 138:10
peerreviewed	23:4 27:14	150:17	115:16 117:15	142:18 147:19
175:13	259:4	phrased 105:4	120:1,2,9,12	148:1,20 149:6
peers 234:16	personnel 84:22	physical 123:18	122:12 123:7,8	149:11,20
pelargonium	246:7	phytochemistry	125:10 126:11	150:3,18
55:5 87:9	pertain 40:5	34:21 35:1,2,4	126:15 127:1,8	152:13,18
206:3	pertaining 17:7	39:4,7	128:22 129:20	160:1 161:12
pen 232:4	20:8,18 21:7	picked 70:22	131:3 152:7,8	161:20,21
people 16:10	22:7 23:4 24:5	165:19	162:17,19	162:9,14,16
	<u>'</u>		1	1

		washington, D.C.		
164:1,11,22	129:3 146:12	182:18	155:4 159:14	204:6 213:18
165:4,10,10,14	164:13 180:19		159:19 160:4	220:5 253:1
, , ,	217:14 247:4	potentially 127:22 150:3	169:12 224:15	price 67:1 69:18
166:4,11,19				
167:1,18 170:8	252:10,20	150:22 152:3	243:3 244:9	70:4
172:4 176:19	259:16 260:1,6	154:8 181:1	245:1,7,16	primarily 74:16
176:21 177:11	268:6 269:7	potted 88:8	257:7,9 258:8	prime 252:16
184:9,15	pointing 253:5	poured 162:9,17	261:3 263:22	prior 11:8 59:3
188:16 191:8	polysiloxane	166:4,8	266:12 276:14	59:11 62:13
191:13,19	182:16	pouring 164:1	present 3:20	80:7 88:13
193:11,12,13	poor 165:2	167:20	34:8,11,12,12	109:2,10 133:7
193:18 195:17	poorly 97:22	<b>ppb</b> 243:1 244:4	94:1,2,11	149:19
196:6,10,15,21	98:4	practice 82:12	95:19 123:6	privileged 44:22
197:4,11,17,22	port 182:13	82:16	135:4 136:18	46:4
198:11 199:8,9	portion 54:6	practices 109:3	176:5 208:22	probability
203:3 204:16	55:1 120:7	precise 125:11	209:8,9 211:5	161:12 164:11
204:20 220:4	portions 260:3	precision 110:10	211:15 239:19	164:22
220:21 221:4	position 11:16	183:12	242:6 273:1	probably 12:21
221:12 222:11	58:18 69:21	precursor 206:6	presentation	44:12 60:5,10
222:19 223:1	96:2 116:15	preliminarily	93:12 163:14	91:4 92:7
228:3,5,10	117:14 128:10	145:17	163:15,16	125:22 138:17
230:12,15	128:15 193:11	preliminary	164:2,19	142:4,8,14
234:12 235:20	positions 217:19	94:13 97:8	presented 94:11	143:4 164:17
236:1,7,11	positive 144:17	144:1,16 145:9	96:14 99:14	165:2 170:15
242:7,9 245:7	possibility	145:13 146:14	124:11 151:13	170:22 173:19
251:12 258:17	103:19 129:3	148:7 153:19	155:7	189:21 215:20
259:9 261:1	possible 24:3	155:5,20 156:3	presently 16:15	225:19 229:5
262:9 264:3,12	76:15 128:21	preparation	press 56:5	234:8
265:7 266:13	136:1,4,10	29:21 54:20	pressing 167:10	<b>problem</b> 241:12
270:9,22	146:21 179:8	86:3,9 88:21	presumably	problems 53:16
271:11,15	182:3 222:3	170:21 177:2	157:11,12	145:7
272:9,16,21	233:22 274:14	236:14,18	169:1	procedure 46:15
platform 130:10	possibly 128:16	259:9	presuming	74:18 75:7
plausible 142:18	129:15 158:20	prepare 11:1	201:4	82:16 211:19
please 8:9 48:13	234:10 261:7	54:12,21 85:21	pretty 48:12	211:20
90:14 111:4	postdoc 35:20	92:11,16 232:3	68:7,11 92:13	procedures
119:5 171:15	postdoctoral	prepared 42:13	97:3 127:2	75:16
225:5 261:15	52:20	121:6,9 141:20	136:13 144:21	proceeded 93:21
plot 113:8,8	posted 12:20	142:7,13 174:9	203:19 230:19	process 81:2
210:22,22	potassium	175:8,8	254:18	117:4 187:2,4
plural 45:16	150:17	preparing 52:2	prevail 135:18	188:2 234:16
plus 213:11	potential 56:16	173:20	140:16	processes
214:18	57:12 65:13	presence 47:17	previous 149:9	101:20
point 32:8 45:7	105:2 110:21	55:4,9 56:8	previous 149.9	<b>produce</b> 116:17
71:18 90:14	111:7,9 121:16	74:8 120:9	83:5 134:17	118:21 167:1
92:17 128:19	147:22 154:18	122:21 146:8	141:7,9 157:18	167:19 191:9
94.1/140.19	147.44 134.10	144.41 140.0	141.7,7137.10	107.17 171.7
L	=	=	-	-

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
191:20 193:11	profiles 221:5	218:7 245:19	40:12 52:2	168:9 170:5
193:18 197:22	program 252:15	protocols 85:9	54:9 62:10	180:6 190:6
198:12 227:14	254:10	188:20	80:1 87:15,16	227:7 231:16
228:3,10	project 23:18	proven 269:1	95:18 96:17,18	246:17
234:12 239:15	27:5,10,16,20	provide 61:16	102:4 115:5	pursuant 2:14
239:21 272:16	28:4 32:14	68:8,9 72:18	117:11,13	pursue 76:20
produced 11:4	69:20 84:9	109:11 150:17	119:22 120:5	pursued 24:2
14:3 78:2,8,20	167:9 241:17	176:3 215:2	148:8 156:7	put 42:14 43:5
79:5 80:14	projects 18:19	220:2,14 231:7	171:21 172:1	45:13 62:3
81:8,17 91:14	25:20 27:9,15	241:6 242:22	172:10 174:10	91:4 93:5
91:15 92:6	28:10 40:16	242:22 243:18	192:4 205:19	101:1 143:6
95:22 100:6	prompted	243:21 244:3	217:21 220:20	148:22 162:8
159:12 168:12	142:15	provided 52:7	221:11 222:14	162:15 165:12
191:13 195:17	<b>prone</b> 239:3	58:6 59:5 76:9	229:22 231:21	172:19 185:5
200:2 203:2	240:18	87:14 96:5	234:1 247:18	202:11 231:5
265:3,12	pronounce	117:4 173:6,16	250:8 251:1	234:14,22
266:22	86:16	179:8 180:12	254:15 255:9	235:17 239:22
produces 101:11	propane 238:19	182:11,15,21	255:21 256:1,3	264:7
101:20 114:18	239:13	182:22 190:11	256:5,7 257:5	puts 12:16
117:15	proper 47:9	190:19 191:5	257:17 258:7	putting 21:3
producing	properties	191:15 198:1	266:4 269:17	69:20
128:17 129:6	123:18	244:20 250:6	270:4	0
product 56:9	proportional	269:2	publisher	
57:5 116:6	239:15	<b>provides</b> 111:11	254:17 255:2	<b>quad</b> 25:14 207:11
117:9 129:15	proposal 144:1	241:18	256:8,18	
156:18 167:1	146:9,10	providing 122:8	publishers	quadrupoles 207:11
207:15	148:22 149:12	public 2:15	254:21	qualify 170:18
<b>production</b> 91:9	153:5,6,10,14	255:5 276:17	<b>pull</b> 179:14	qualifying 206:2
91:9,12,17	153:17,22	277:1,18	purchase 68:10	207:18
92:1 206:7	155:8,11	publication 70.11.121.16	purchased 150:1	qualitative
236:7 262:8	158:11 252:5	70:11 121:16 146:16 175:12	155:13 purport 205:7	162:8 185:7
products 56:3	proposals	1 146'16 1/5'1/	I NIITNATT /US:/	102.0 103.7
140.0 160.10	,			analitatively
140:9 168:19	256:15	189:22 217:15	purported 185:3	qualitatively
207:15 264:16	256:15 proposing	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20	purported 185:3 267:18	182:1
207:15 264:16 264:18	256:15 proposing 152:12	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16	182:1 quantification
207:15 264:16 264:18 <b>professor</b> 4:11	256:15 proposing 152:12 prospectus	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12 256:15,16	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16 172:21 176:15	182:1 quantification 6:19 103:20
207:15 264:16 264:18 <b>professor</b> 4:11 5:8 11:17 12:9	256:15 proposing 152:12 prospectus 170:15	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12 256:15,16 publications	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16 172:21 176:15 176:17 177:15	182:1 quantification 6:19 103:20 104:17 192:20
207:15 264:16 264:18 <b>professor</b> 4:11 5:8 11:17 12:9 13:2,4 15:5	256:15 <b>proposing</b> 152:12 <b>prospectus</b> 170:15 <b>protection</b> 3:7	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12 256:15,16 <b>publications</b> 183:10	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16 172:21 176:15 176:17 177:15 185:1 217:10	182:1 quantification 6:19 103:20 104:17 192:20 207:17
207:15 264:16 264:18 <b>professor</b> 4:11 5:8 11:17 12:9 13:2,4 15:5 64:12 95:21	256:15 proposing 152:12 prospectus 170:15 protection 3:7 108:10	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12 256:15,16 publications 183:10 publish 73:5	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16 172:21 176:15 176:17 177:15 185:1 217:10 250:3	182:1 quantification 6:19 103:20 104:17 192:20 207:17 quantify 122:11
207:15 264:16 264:18 <b>professor</b> 4:11 5:8 11:17 12:9 13:2,4 15:5 64:12 95:21 121:2,12	256:15 proposing 152:12 prospectus 170:15 protection 3:7 108:10 protocol 24:6	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12 256:15,16 publications 183:10 publish 73:5 96:20 144:18	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16 172:21 176:15 176:17 177:15 185:1 217:10 250:3 purposes 41:2,9	182:1 quantification 6:19 103:20 104:17 192:20 207:17 quantify 122:11 quantitation
207:15 264:16 264:18 <b>professor</b> 4:11 5:8 11:17 12:9 13:2,4 15:5 64:12 95:21 121:2,12 199:10 249:14	256:15 proposing 152:12 prospectus 170:15 protection 3:7 108:10 protocol 24:6 31:2 81:3	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12 256:15,16 publications 183:10 publish 73:5 96:20 144:18 155:6 157:21	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16 172:21 176:15 176:17 177:15 185:1 217:10 250:3 purposes 41:2,9 51:20 63:8	182:1 quantification 6:19 103:20 104:17 192:20 207:17 quantify 122:11 quantitation 66:4 99:9
207:15 264:16 264:18 <b>professor</b> 4:11 5:8 11:17 12:9 13:2,4 15:5 64:12 95:21 121:2,12 199:10 249:14 254:14	256:15 proposing 152:12 prospectus 170:15 protection 3:7 108:10 protocol 24:6 31:2 81:3 94:14 104:7	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12 256:15,16 publications 183:10 publish 73:5 96:20 144:18 155:6 157:21 161:8 192:6	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16 172:21 176:15 176:17 177:15 185:1 217:10 250:3 purposes 41:2,9 51:20 63:8 90:18 91:20	182:1 quantification 6:19 103:20 104:17 192:20 207:17 quantify 122:11 quantitation 66:4 99:9 quantitative
207:15 264:16 264:18 professor 4:11 5:8 11:17 12:9 13:2,4 15:5 64:12 95:21 121:2,12 199:10 249:14 254:14 profile 126:22	256:15 proposing 152:12 prospectus 170:15 protection 3:7 108:10 protocol 24:6 31:2 81:3 94:14 104:7 108:11 142:16	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12 256:15,16 publications 183:10 publish 73:5 96:20 144:18 155:6 157:21 161:8 192:6 254:12,17	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16 172:21 176:15 176:17 177:15 185:1 217:10 250:3 purposes 41:2,9 51:20 63:8 90:18 91:20 120:20 132:7	182:1 quantification 6:19 103:20 104:17 192:20 207:17 quantify 122:11 quantitation 66:4 99:9 quantitative 181:6 185:7
207:15 264:16 264:18 professor 4:11 5:8 11:17 12:9 13:2,4 15:5 64:12 95:21 121:2,12 199:10 249:14 254:14 profile 126:22 127:2,13 221:7	256:15 proposing 152:12 prospectus 170:15 protection 3:7 108:10 protocol 24:6 31:2 81:3 94:14 104:7 108:11 142:16 143:2,6 153:21	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12 256:15,16 publications 183:10 publish 73:5 96:20 144:18 155:6 157:21 161:8 192:6 254:12,17 256:19	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16 172:21 176:15 176:17 177:15 185:1 217:10 250:3 purposes 41:2,9 51:20 63:8 90:18 91:20 120:20 132:7 141:3 148:14	182:1 quantification 6:19 103:20 104:17 192:20 207:17 quantify 122:11 quantitation 66:4 99:9 quantitative
207:15 264:16 264:18 professor 4:11 5:8 11:17 12:9 13:2,4 15:5 64:12 95:21 121:2,12 199:10 249:14 254:14 profile 126:22	256:15 proposing 152:12 prospectus 170:15 protection 3:7 108:10 protocol 24:6 31:2 81:3 94:14 104:7 108:11 142:16	189:22 217:15 234:13 254:20 255:15 256:12 256:15,16 publications 183:10 publish 73:5 96:20 144:18 155:6 157:21 161:8 192:6 254:12,17	purported 185:3 267:18 purpose 77:16 172:21 176:15 176:17 177:15 185:1 217:10 250:3 purposes 41:2,9 51:20 63:8 90:18 91:20 120:20 132:7	182:1 quantification 6:19 103:20 104:17 192:20 207:17 quantify 122:11 quantitation 66:4 99:9 quantitative 181:6 185:7 quantitatively

•		wasnington, D.C.		
an andidia 1.0	10.7 22.2 4	tos 105.2	210.10 257.10	224.11.220.22
quantities 1:8	10:7 22:2,4	rates 105:2	218:18 257:10 realize 47:12	224:11 230:22
quantity 176:4	<b>questions</b> 7:5 9:14 45:16	111:7 112:2,2		231:3,11 237:11 252:22
question 4:22		112:15	really 29:20	
8:22 9:1,4,8,16	54:8 61:5	ratio 99:4,8,9	118:18 141:21	256:20 261:8
9:21,22 14:14	63:13 67:1	123:8 126:3,3	144:17 167:5	261:19,20
15:11,19,22	90:10 114:11	126:5,15 127:2	191:18 198:18	receive 137:2,8 137:18 254:1
16:5 18:16	118:6 132:1,17	206:20 207:14	215:2 222:5	
21:13,19 22:8	171:15 219:5	240:11 267:6,8	245:22 246:11	received 68:19
22:19 24:12,14	219:18 228:22	267:14 269:11	251:3 252:1	85:7 88:8
36:10 37:11	247:5	270:8 271:11	259:19 272:17	105:20 137:15
43:17 44:21	quick 7:5 218:18	ratios 116:3	reason 10:6	190:21 197:11
45:2,4 46:3,7	228:22	123:6 124:2,4	142:18 146:10	199:8
48:5,8,15 51:3	quite 12:19	124:7,9,13,15	147:1 148:8,8	receiving 64:20
58:2 67:12	229:10	124:22 125:1	154:12 167:7	70:16 137:11
71:4 73:15	R	125:13 127:14	215:2 239:22	recess 90:1
74:13 76:7	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{r} \cdot 3:1 \cdot 8:1 \cdot 113:12}$	263:19 266:5	267:11	114:4 131:13
78:17 101:11		266:13,18,20	reasonable 20:5	144:10 171:9
101:18 102:8	113:19 <b>r2</b> 113:12	269:8 270:2,13	70:9 116:16	218:20 273:22
105:3 106:18	raise 260:18	271:1	129:4,17	recognize 12:12
111:3 118:8,11	raise 200.18 raised 100:16	reach 135:8	187:13 228:8	132:20 141:6
119:3 126:19		reached 100:2	reasons 21:3	141:11,14
129:1 130:6	ramifications 128:2	189:10 196:16	241:15	recollection
137:3 147:9	ran 24:2 217:13	reaction 97:21	rebuttals 11:4	62:19 83:21
153:9 154:15	217:13	206:16	recall 11:12	84:5 99:1
154:16 159:17	ranaivo 4:21 7:9	read 61:3 88:2	12:14 19:11	189:19
167:15,17	52:17,18	119:5,7,11	23:5 25:22	record 8:9 10:12
177:13 178:9	randall 148:21	143:16 164:8	27:12 28:8,13	10:18 26:19
180:18,20	randam 148.21 random 134:19	172:13 173:3	44:13,18 51:5	48:11 90:5
182:7 186:20	134:20	178:10,12	58:9,12 59:1	91:7 102:22
187:20,22		179:17 180:22	60:6 62:2	114:6 119:10
191:17 194:2,6	randy 152:6 range 14:6	195:12 199:2	64:21 65:14	131:17 143:19
194:9,13,17,19	94:18 104:10	201:2 203:7	70:20,21 71:10	171:11 178:11
194:20 195:3,5	109:19 110:6	208:15 219:16	76:17 78:7,10	180:21 195:9
198:7,19 202:9	111:18 113:19	222:10,18	79:20 80:6	218:22 225:6
202:10,12		223:9 225:4,7	81:22 84:2,14	261:16 274:2,4
203:19 210:3	165:19 184:11	233:8 247:2	86:17 92:11	276:4 277:8
220:10 225:3	243:1 244:4	261:15,17	93:7,13 103:2	records 143:21
227:17,21	ranged 124:9	275:5 276:2	119:16 137:11	160:21
237:4 239:9	ranges 109:14 109:17 165:18	reading 147:16	137:21 138:11	recoveries 94:16
254:2 257:11		177:5 201:18	142:12 144:12	183:12
261:13 263:9	ranging 88:10 rate 54:21	261:19	146:9 153:18	recovery 94:13
267:21 268:8		reads 275:5	158:1 165:19	110:2,6
268:10,14,16	110:20 111:15	reagent 151:3	172:18 173:4	redo 232:20
268:17	111:18,21 226:10	reagents 253:12	174:15 190:13	233:5 234:4
questioning	220.10	real 158:4	191:6 217:22	reduced 238:17
	<u> </u>	l	I	ı

		washington, D.C.		
239:2,12,16,20	53:5,14 55:9	34:10	177:15 222:13	report 7:10 45:7
240:4 277:7	65:13 67:8	regulation 57:8	rely 161:7,8	45:12,13,17,18
reducing 260:5	70:16 71:7	regulations	173:2 176:14	45:21 49:1
refer 172:9	79:13 85:3	13:14 14:1,18	176:17 191:7	53:6 54:1
251:9	86:3,8 87:7,12	53:7 128:3	191:22 192:1	60:19 62:3,8
reference 13:20	87:17,19 88:21	regulatory 56:9	195:15 197:21	72:17 73:11,18
55:21,22 56:12	89:3,12,15	relate 19:14,14	199:22	74:15,20 75:1
62:7 77:1,3,9	93:1 105:1	29:4,10 110:8	relying 100:4,6	93:17 94:8
77:21 78:3,9	106:11 120:5	111:6,9	100:8 117:12	96:5,7,8,10
78:12,21 79:5	130:10 135:10	related 18:11,19	118:22 119:12	99:18 100:1,3
80:6 173:1	160:22 200:1	22:20 27:4,5,6	119:17 172:21	101:5 102:3,11
179:16 180:15	200:19 217:19	29:5,7 39:5	183:19 184:18	101.5 102.5,11
181:18,19	231:9 236:6	47:16 48:5	184:19,22	117:17 122:8
182:9 185:9	254:5 258:7	92:18 141:16	193:10 198:11	123:5 145:3
209:6 223:21	259:9 266:12	161:19 167:11	231:2	146:7 157:22
226:15 228:20	272:14	173:8 227:17	remember 23:20	161:7,19 167:7
235:16,18,21		277:10		173:7 174:21
1 1	regardless 156:8		44:10,16,17 60:12 132:22	
267:18 269:3	regards 65:7	relates 20:8,9 47:17 57:4	138:17 140:18	175:1,2,7
referenced 80:1	region 177:1			176:11,15
137:6 176:12	209:1,10 215:4	113:5 139:21	143:16 163:6	177:5 178:2,6
176:20 216:5	229:17 232:21	201:21 233:12	163:11 178:4	178:21 181:11
236:11 243:9	233:6 234:4	236:7 264:1	178:22 217:12	183:3,11,16
258:13	236:13 249:8	relating 19:22	217:16 270:20	185:1,2 188:11
references	249:11 258:19	20:1,14 25:20	remind 90:7	191:21 193:14
236:16	259:1	26:22 29:1	114:8 131:19	198:10 199:2
referencing	regional 122:21	36:15 39:21	171:12 219:1	199:20 200:1,6
20:15	133:18 134:14	50:5 79:14	reopen 274:7	200:7,8 201:2
referred 11:7	224:14 227:13	92:19 160:4	repaired 25:9	201:19 202:18
172:7	228:2,9 230:4	161:8 231:9	repeat 14:13	208:13,16
referring 32:17	230:8,11,18	relation 33:4	15:14 16:3	214:17,17
56:12 69:12	231:8 233:12	48:22 53:4,12	24:11 27:18	216:5,17,19
76:3 81:21	233:16,18,22	54:19 62:6	45:3 58:2 71:4	217:20 236:22
122:15 125:7	234:11 235:15	72:16 75:6	73:15 78:16	237:19 242:5
137:1 186:1	235:20,22	79:12 104:22	119:3 130:5	244:22 249:16
234:7 244:16	236:6	107:21 114:15	147:9 159:16	249:17 252:7
refers 28:14	regions 87:10	179:14 254:15	177:13 178:9	256:22 257:1,5
103:3 233:16	88:6 133:14	relative 110:11	182:6 185:17	258:1 261:20
reflect 76:10	193:13 196:6	110:17 112:3	197:9 198:6	266:9
157:6 159:13	196:11 205:18	176:4 206:1	211:10 227:21	reported 1:22
159:18	209:2 212:7	277:12	254:2 263:8	75:8 88:16
reflects 157:9	216:10 228:6	relatively 31:10	repeatedly	104:19 105:17
refresh 83:21	regression 113:2	94:18	166:11	115:17 117:7
regarding 12:17	113:4	release 56:4	rephrase 9:4	128:14 177:22
16:21 22:1	regular 274:15	79:17	21:21	178:14,16
32:17 49:10	regulated 34:9	relied 100:11	replace 238:9	181:10,12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		l

•		washington, D.C.		
100.21 204.4	261:16	197.20 104.1		120:10 10
188:21 204:4 208:21 213:10	require 44:21	187:20 194:1 194:11,19	reviewer 235:4 235:6	120:10,19 125:6 127:20
214:19 220:2,5	require 44.21 required 68:6	194.11,19	reviewers	128:13 131:9
220:12 224:19	_	restroom 114:2		131:17,20
226:19 227:1	requirement 116:7	144:8 218:18	232:22 234:6,6	′
243:2 244:8		273:20	234:8,13	132:1 133:2,9 135:8 138:4
250:1 261:2	requires 249:22 255:3	result 57:19	reviewing 234:17	140:9 141:1
267:10 270:17	research 4:22	127:21 220:15		140.9 141.1
270:19 270:17	13:11 15:9,16	resulted 174:9	reviews 145:5,6 234:19	144:12 148:13
270.19 271.9	17:16 19:13,16		reward 252:4	144.12 146.13
reportedly 97:3	20:8 23:17	results 74:15,16 93:21 145:9,16	richard 63:20	160:2 161:11
reportedly 97.3	26:7,9 27:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	64:11 66:19	168:18 169:22
8:17 9:19	28:18 40:16	155:3,5,7,20 178:16 179:4	rick 65:7 68:8	170:4,20 171:6
119:9 274:11	61:16 67:11			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
reporting	72:13 79:13	179:10,21 181:10 182:9	<b>right</b> 8:8,11,14 9:6 10:8,9,10	171:13 172:4,5 172:14 173:4
109:11 187:1	146:12 164:8	204:21 217:2,3	11:5,13,22	172.14 173.4 177:9,16 180:5
214:10	201:14 221:4	217:16 219:19	12:4,15 14:8	183:2 188:10
reports 11:2,6	227:12 252:14	220:2,13	15:7,12 18:1	189:4 190:4,14
95:19 143:17	252:15 253:6	225:12 228:6	20:13 21:4	191:3,16
143:22 175:18	253:16,20,22	230:11 234:10	23:14 24:4	191.3,10
186:14 204:3	254:4,9 256:11	236:18 246:1	25:16 26:2,21	197:20 199:6
242:6,8,21	257:4,5 258:7	257:10,16	27:8 30:10	199:20 200:10
243:3 244:3,8	259:4 270:10	retained 44:7,14	36:11 38:2,17	200:19 203:21
245:2,3 253:13	researchers	173:10	41:1,6,15,17	205:18,21
258:6 272:7	85:15 257:9	retention 97:20	42:7,16 44:4	206:8,18,22
represent 15:8	reserve 274:6	169:18 216:22	44:13 45:7	208:12 210:11
18:20 108:8	resolve 97:12	240:11,13	47:13 49:9	213:2,12 219:2
113:2	resonance 6:9	reverse 9:18	50:2,8 51:19	219:8,14 220:1
representation	170:11	reversed 145:16	52:5 53:4,12	220:10 224:1
91:19	respect 128:3	review 11:6	54:19 59:2	225:11 227:6
representing	respected	95:10 119:21	60:18 61:6,9	228:7,19 231:5
48:16 276:10	255:10	176:10 187:11	63:14,18 64:22	231:15 232:11
represents	respective	187:12 189:3	67:15 68:12	232:21 233:6
206:12	271:17	217:9 220:11	70:7 72:4	234:5,16,18
reproduced	respond 195:9	220:20 221:11	76:21 81:3	235:14 237:7
97:22 98:4	responds 239:2	234:15 235:3	82:17 87:16	241:21 242:2
151:3	239:11	236:10 257:4	89:20 90:5,8	244:1,10
reproducible	response 70:7	258:12 262:3	90:16 92:4,15	246:16 247:7
144:20 158:6	109:22 113:6	265:1	93:7,14 96:2,6	251:11 252:6
reproducibly	194:21	reviewed 11:2	99:22 105:8	257:7 262:16
148:9	rest 100:13	97:9 143:18	107:21 108:13	265:21 266:8
request 195:10	258:7	172:20,22	108:18 111:21	269:6 271:12
requested	restate 102:8	183:18 185:2	112:5 113:1,12	274:1,6,9,10
119:10 178:11	111:3 153:9	187:7 188:15	113:14,22	rigor 115:3
180:21 225:6	185:17 186:20	189:2,4	114:6 115:9	144:15 148:7
	_			

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
104.12.105.6	1 02 17 04 15	115151601	02.20.116.2	10.2 ( 17.21
184:12 185:6	83:17 94:15	115:17 116:21	93:20 116:3	19:2,6,17,21
244:18 245:5	95:5,8,19 97:9	124:14 128:16	122:6,20	21:11,15,22
245:15 271:21	99:2,5 108:20	128:21 133:13	133:12 148:20	22:5,13,16
risk 252:4	108:20 111:14	135:7 136:18	156:21 164:10	23:1 24:13
risks 53:16 role 27:14 149:5	122:10,12 156:22 159:15	136:22 137:1,4	179:16 181:5	25:1 27:7 29:15 30:17
root 152:8,14	150.22 159.15	137:8,11 147:10 150:11	188:1 199:4,8 200:13 204:19	33:9 34:4
162:20	160:17 166:16	154:8 160:22	206:6,18	35:13,22 36:9
roughly 18:9	185:9 205:16	165:18 166:6	208:21 209:16	36:13,20 37:5
213:1 226:3	209:17,18,21	169:9 191:5,15	220:2 221:20	37:14,22 38:4
235:9 245:21	210:6,12,14,17	193:19 197:1	223:10 224:14	39:19 40:3,9
260:8,12	210:0,12,14,17	198:1,3,13,15	229:8 232:20	40:22 41:5
267:16 271:4	211:12,16	199:5,18 204:3	238:8 232:20	43:2 45:5,19
routinely 103:6	212:13,16,17	205:8,11	242:5 243:16	46:8,11,17,19
rr 270:1,1,2	213:2,7,16	203.8,11	244:2,17 247:9	47:2,10 48:7
rs 270:1	213.2,7,10	209:13 210:13	247:14 249:20	48:11,14 49:8
rsd 110:8,20	215:2,5,15,17	210:21 211:5	252:10 257:3	49:19 50:17
111:6 112:21	215:22 217:13	210:21 211:3	258:5,16	51:18 52:12
rule 142:17,17	226:11,12,16	213:4 214:6,8	262:19 264:4	53:11,20 54:2
rules 8:15 46:15	226:20,22	216:8,11 217:9	266:11	57:9,17 58:3
100:8	229:11 245:14	218:3,5 224:17	scale 213:21	59:10 60:1,17
run 74:5 109:8	245:18 246:2	224:19 225:1	scan 181:18	63:5 70:6 71:5
113:11 169:17	247:16,17	225:13 229:19	182:12,17	73:10 75:14
running 51:22	248:4,14 249:2	236:17 248:20	scanned 160:8	76:5 77:8
90:20	267:18 269:3	249:8,12 250:5	scheduled 151:4	78:18 79:11
	sampled 87:14	259:1 261:2,3	school 37:4	80:19 81:14
S	samples 31:10	272:3	science 64:13	82:1 83:2
<b>s</b> 3:1 4:1,5,13 5:1	32:4 52:7 58:6	sampling 239:19	122:1	86:19 88:3
6:1 7:1 8:1	61:18 66:13,14	sat 45:7,11	sciences 199:12	89:20 90:4
13:1,3,3 41:13	66:15 72:9,17	save 209:16	scientific 101:17	91:11,21 92:3
41:20 47:20,21	72:20 73:20	saw 96:15 99:20	114:17 116:16	97:13 98:2,16
192:22 252:13	74:18 75:6	124:16 125:1,2	118:22 129:5	99:10,16 100:7
252:17	76:9 77:1,1	164:19 173:9	129:17 187:13	100:15,18,22
<b>s11</b> 212:14	80:22 81:2	202:20,21	216:7 227:12	101:9,16 102:2
213:16 224:16	82:5,15 83:4	saying 32:18,21	228:1,8 230:13	102:20 104:13
<b>s112</b> 225:18	84:6,15 85:4,7	46:9 115:15	243:8 270:22	106:13,19
safety 56:3	85:10,17,20,22	129:16 202:15	scope 50:20,21	107:10,20
sake 10:1	86:3,9 87:8,10	203:17 222:19	51:1,3,6 59:17	111:5 114:3,5
sample 29:21,21	87:20 88:5,17	235:18	61:22	115:8 117:18
31:8 32:1,2,9	88:22 94:2,4	says 12:7,22	scott 3:4 4:3 8:7	117:22 118:4,9
32:11 65:3,18	94:17 105:17	41:12 55:2	10:11,17,21	118:12,15,18
66:16,21 67:1	105:18 106:1	61:15 62:13	12:3 14:15	118:19 119:5,7
67:3 70:4 77:9	106:12,22	65:16 66:19	15:6,12,15,21	119:14 120:18
77:21 78:3,12	107:5,12,17	69:3 70:8	16:7,16,19	124:21 125:5
78:21 79:6	109:10 115:11	83:14 88:1,5	17:12 18:17	126:1,10,20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

		wasnington, D.C.		
127.0 10 120.7	227.21 229.2 5	101.4 5 100.10		24.7.25.5
127:9,19 130:7	237:21 238:2,5	121:4,5 122:13	seeing 69:20	24:7 25:5
130:17,22	243:14 246:15	123:2,10	173:5 203:15	123:20 176:3
131:9,16 136:8	248:11 249:9	127:13,15	266:16,19	250:16 265:21
139:3,10,19	257:22 260:21	128:5 133:20	267:14	265:22 276:6
140:6,15,22	261:15,21	133:21 134:6	seeking 9:2	separating
143:13 144:9	262:15 266:7	135:19 146:20	seemingly	250:19
144:11 145:14	267:3,5,22	150:5,19 151:6	220:14	separation 50:1
146:17 147:7	268:4,8,12,18	155:17 156:22	seen 12:13 91:3	50:6 119:21
147:20 148:4	273:9,18,21	157:4,11,12,12	92:5,7 133:18	240:16,20
148:12 151:9	274:1,10	157:12 158:3	134:9 141:7,9	251:4
151:18 152:19	screen 153:16	159:6,7,9	159:10 168:15	separations 39:6
153:2 154:4,14	screening	164:14,15	168:16 172:15	39:12,13
155:10 156:12	146:14 150:14	165:3 168:15	173:3 178:20	september 11:21
156:22 157:8	search 79:18	168:20 170:12	189:6 193:7	170:11
159:1 161:10	searching 28:5	175:15,21	226:15	series 113:10
161:22 163:2	30:12	176:6 183:1,13	select 85:21	132:15 207:11
163:12,16,21	season 216:4,10	188:4,5,7	selection 86:3,8	228:16
167:14 168:7	seasons 88:7	189:17 193:2,3	88:21	service 82:20
169:4 170:3	89:10,11	199:7 200:16	selective 103:16	services 72:13
171:7,10	second 139:2	200:17 204:6	103:18 238:17	82:11
173:18 174:14	219:16 250:12	204:17 205:1,2	240:3,9	set 26:17 70:18
174:16 175:2,4	258:4	205:9,10 206:4	selectivity	72:2 93:14
175:6 178:10	secondly 8:21	206:5,9,10	241:19 242:1	105:14,14,15
178:17 180:4	section 232:20	209:3,22 210:9	sell 140:9	108:19,19
180:20 181:2	233:5 234:4	211:7 217:20	seller 264:15	111:14 112:10
184:3 186:12	235:8,10	220:7,8,17	<b>selling</b> 264:16	112:17 135:7
186:21 187:9	sections 232:10	221:1,15,16	seminar 92:17	140:19 153:6
187:21 188:6	232:10	223:10,17,18	93:1,8	153:13 158:14
190:3 192:12	see 12:10 13:6	224:3 226:13	send 83:3 93:16	171:6 207:13
194:3,8,16,22	13:16 21:19	226:17,18	106:22	218:13,13,15
195:6,11,22	41:12 55:6,18	229:1,13 230:1	sense 103:14	222:22 225:17
196:13 197:3	56:21 58:6	230:2,6,7	sensitive 103:15	250:12
197:10,16	61:20 62:16	232:16,17	103:18 252:1	sets 105:16
198:8,20	63:22 65:8,19	233:1,2 238:14	sent 82:9,11,19	115:2 270:1
199:17 200:9	66:9,17 67:6	238:21 239:5,6	84:6,15 86:4	272:7
201:15 202:4	67:18 69:10	242:13 244:14	88:17 153:11	setting 59:9,12
203:9 212:5	70:13 73:21	244:15 245:9	153:17,22	85:9
213:17 214:3	74:8 75:16	247:11,12,19	198:13 228:21	seven 110:12
216:16,20,21	76:16 77:20	249:2 252:18	sentence 93:20	sevenandahalf
217:7 218:19	81:1 83:19	257:13 258:9	116:2,2 128:7	268:10
218:21 222:17	94:5 95:13	258:10,20,21	133:12 155:17	shakes 9:12
223:6 224:12	104:10,14	261:9 263:2,16	181:5 208:20	shape 29:11
225:4,10 227:5	105:12 109:13	263:17 264:8	264:2,4	196:21
228:14 230:17	112:8,18,21	267:8,15 272:4	sentences 268:2	share 101:5
231:14 237:18	113:13 116:9	272:5 273:19	separate 23:10	shared 49:1
251.11257.10	113.13 110.7	2/2.5 2/5.17	Separate 25.10	5111110117.1

sheet 158:20         270:4         simpler 137:4         246:16 247:7         54:15 61:           169:20 190:19         shut 25:21         simply 24:15         249:18 252:6         62:9 64:1           276:6         shy 9:3         104:9 109:6         257:2 262:16         73:15 74:           sheila 3:14         side 100:10         149:6 160:14         269:6 271:12         153:9 175           274:11         sigmaaldrich         241:4         273:19         178:8 184           shift 171:8         124:2         single 30:21         sitting 43:12,18         191:18 19           ship 107:13         signal 94:19,22         32:8 103:6         six 28:21 29:4         204:10 21	8 12 5:4 4:4 92:15 4:11
169:20 190:19         shut 25:21         simply 24:15         249:18 252:6         62:9 64:1           276:6         shy 9:3         104:9 109:6         257:2 262:16         73:15 74:           sheila 3:14         side 100:10         149:6 160:14         269:6 271:12         153:9 175           274:11         sigmaaldrich         241:4         273:19         178:8 184           shift 171:8         124:2         single 30:21         sitting 43:12,18         191:18 19	8 12 5:4 4:4 92:15 4:11
276:6         shy 9:3         104:9 109:6         257:2 262:16         73:15 74:           sheila 3:14         side 100:10         149:6 160:14         269:6 271:12         153:9 175           274:11         sigmaaldrich         241:4         273:19         178:8 184           shift 171:8         124:2         single 30:21         sitting 43:12,18         191:18 19	12 5:4 4:4 92:15 4:11
sheila 3:14         side 100:10         149:6 160:14         269:6 271:12         153:9 175           274:11         sigmaaldrich         241:4         273:19         178:8 184           shift 171:8         124:2         single 30:21         sitting 43:12,18         191:18 19	5:4 4:4 92:15 4:11
274:11   sigmaaldrich   241:4   273:19   178:8 184	1:4 92:15 14:11
shift 171:8   124:2   single 30:21   sitting 43:12,18   191:18 19	92:15  4:11
January 110	4:11
Shin   10 / 13	
1	
shipment 83:18         97:21 113:5,9         sir 8:9,11 10:10         131:6 242:8         214:22 21	.6:20
88:13 106:12   210:22 239:15   11:5,13,22   244:16   261:14	
139:2,5 239:21 14:8 15:7 19:7 size 186:4 sounds 10:9	
shipments 137:1   signaltonoise   22:6,10 23:2   slide 91:14   20:5 201:	
137:15,18 99:4,7,9 24:4 25:16 92:12,21 93:1 source 94:2	
138:9,9   signature 41:19   26:21 27:8   93:8,12   146:21 14	
shipped 85:22         276:9         30:10 38:17         slightly 73:16         150:22 15	2:3
107:6,9,17   signed 41:22   41:1,15 42:7   small 15:3,4   154:8,18	
138:15,18,20   42:3 121:7,10   48:15 51:19   65:17 247:15   201:19 22	
139:1   significant 55:2   53:12 59:2   247:21 248:2,8   254:11 25	
shipping 82:11   144:20 260:3   60:18 61:9   soil 87:19 89:3   sourced 82	
82:19 106:1   significantly   63:18 64:22   89:16 161:13   sources 150	
<b>shoot</b> 131:10   158:8   70:7 76:21   164:13 165:5   204:21 25	3:20
short 89:21 90:6   signs 211:8   90:16 92:4   176:22 196:17   south 13:5	
114:7 171:7,11   212:1   93:7,14 96:6   223:14 236:13   speak 136:	16
218:22   silica 182:16   99:22 113:12   258:19,22   137:10	
shotgun 136:17   similar 116:5   113:22 120:19   262:1,5,8   specializati	
show 9:13 82:3   123:9 124:10   127:20 128:13   soils 149:20   14:9 33:2	0
92:12,21 93:1   125:15 176:13   133:2 135:8   <b>sold</b> 264:2   34:21	
93:8,12 136:12   207:19 240:7   138:4 141:1   <b>solely</b> 240:13   <b>specialty</b> 14	
136:12 169:12   245:14 264:13   142:15 144:12   255:6   15:2 29:2	0
203:7 221:18   264:14   148:13 149:7   <b>solidify</b> 146:11   33:11,19	
223:12 242:17   simone 1:17 2:1   156:13 160:2   solution 259:22   species 33:1	16
<b>showed</b> 98:19   4:2,7,10,13,21   161:11 168:18   260:2,7,13,15   34:3,5,18	
119:22 137:2,9   5:3,8 6:3 7:3,9   169:22 170:4   <b>solutions</b> 34:13   122:22 12	23:6
137:22 145:9 8:3,10 10:18 170:20 171:6 34:14 151:5,10	
145:16 229:20   10:22 12:8   173:4 177:9   <b>somebody</b> 35:19   220:15 23	
showing 136:19   13:1,1,10   180:5 183:2   45:20 46:12   specific 54:	
159:13 211:12   41:13,20 47:20   188:10 190:4   99:1 103:5   143:15 16	
220:20 221:12   47:21 64:10   190:14 192:13   142:19 201:13   189:19 21	
<b>shown</b> 98:5,9   90:7 114:8   195:12 197:20   235:21 253:9   216:10 23	
133:14 187:3   131:19 132:12   200:10 205:21   268:22   specifically	
204:22 265:17   148:21 219:1   206:18,22   <b>somebodys</b>   39:6,12 4	
270:6 228:20 247:9 208:12 213:12 254:19 57:16 60:	-
shows 80:11   274:3,17 275:3   219:20 220:1   soon 274:14   60:14 68:	
97:17 151:22   simones 21:10   224:1 225:11   sorry 19:15   79:21 92:	13
159:19 193:17   <b>simple</b> 49:22   227:6 228:7,19   23:11 27:18   102:10 15	
266:4,4 269:10   50:14 198:22   231:5,15 244:1   41:6 43:16   160:18 19	96:8

1-800-FOR-DEPO

•		washington, D.C.		
226:18 237:11	156:16 160:19	49:16 50:4,11	269:9,16,19,22	193:16 200:11
specifics 71:16	160:21	73:4	272:22,22	200:14,19
82:8 181:14,15	spring 169:2	starting 168:19	272:22,22	203:11,16
205:20 246:7	225:19	starting 108.19 starts 133:6	steven 8:10	204:8,9,11
247:5	squared 113:12	149:19 175:11	steven 8.10 stop 224:21	216:19,20
specified 70:3	squareds 113:20	219:19	stop 224.21 stopped 24:4	243:7 247:16
specify 240:9	squareus 113.20 sr 270:1	startup 52:22	49:14	247:22 248:2
spectrometer	si 270.1 ss 270:1,1,3	state 8:8 43:17	storage 181:8,14	248:10,14,20
25:13 94:21	stalled 21:2	155:12 184:8	store 181:16	249:3,15 250:5
113:6 206:21	standard 6:14	266:11 269:17	stored 88:18	250:8 254:15
		stated 73:8 97:6	street 2:6 3:8	254:17 258:22
spectrometry	6:16 30:19,22	99:3 135:4	street 2.0 3.8 stress 223:13	270:4 273:6
4:19 6:8,22 170:10 175:19	31:2,4,7,21	155:3 184:10	stricter 13:14	stuff 23:22
192:22 238:13	32:3,7,8,12,17	185:11 188:9	14:18	45:18 145:4
	32:19,22 33:1			
spectroscopy	33:3 76:4 77:4	203:8 204:6	strike 115:13 203:10	156:9 265:9
38:20 206:17	77:17 99:6	207:13 230:10		subject 10:20
240:6	108:1,2 110:11	254:7 statement 115:6	string 7:4,10 structures 39:21	18:11 39:4
<b>spectrum</b> 181:7	110:12,13,17			45:1 46:6
181:8,8,17	112:3,3,13,14	117:2 184:6	student 52:15	179:21 228:22
speculation	112:20 113:8	222:13 223:5	69:8 142:5	submit 121:17
78:14	122:9 123:20	223:11 236:3	253:11	121:21 122:2
speed 182:12	124:13 125:2	states 1:1,5 3:6	students 92:9	255:11,12
speeds 182:17	127:1,14	56:1 108:10	254:13	submitted 96:5
spell 83:8	146:16 169:17	183:9 249:21	studies 26:17,19	119:20 121:16
spends 254:11	182:19 189:2,5	253:18	79:14 135:17	145:4 171:4
spike 32:2,5,9	190:10,17,18	stationary	172:2 184:11	subpoena 68:19
161:20 209:21	209:13,17,20	186:10	184:18 191:14	subscription
210:15,17,19	210:5,12	stem 175:12	218:2 223:12	255:4,14
210:20 211:13	211:13,20	stenotype 277:7	236:19 243:8	subsequently
226:19 227:2	241:6 246:11	step 149:22	244:18 245:5	24:2
spiked 164:1	268:20	155:13 234:14	248:12 252:11	substances
166:20 210:2	standards 32:6	259:17	252:13 253:2	180:16
211:2	110:13 113:10	steps 59:16	260:22 271:17	substantially
spikedin 210:22	116:5 123:7,9	80:13	271:21 272:1	112:22
spiking 162:1	124:2,5,8,10	stereoisomer	272:10	successful 24:19
split 212:16,17	124:11,16	262:19 263:12	study 6:11 96:19	successfully
213:7 247:16 248:14	125:8,14	265:21 266:5	97:2 115:9	24:6 25:4,5
	standpoint 126:21 246:2	266:18 269:11	135:11 136:2,5	52:16 251:15
splits 213:5		270:8,13	139:12 163:3	251:19 269:9
spoken 174:6	266:17	stereoisomers 23:10 25:5	171:21 172:1,7	successively
sponsored 23:14	start 9:16 41:7		172:10,10,13	32:5
spot 10:7	182:11,14	123:14,15	172:15,21	sufficient 115:2
<b>spreadsheet</b> 5:16,17 159:4	183:1 253:7 started 13:4	250:16,20 263:20 264:12	173:5 174:10	158:10 162:13
· ·			179:8 180:9,11	sufficiently
spreadsheets	18:22 23:19,22	265:16 266:6	181:11 186:22	187:1 260:2,19
L	•	•	•	

•		wasnington, D.C.		
a	72.12 100.12	22222222 176.12	210.7.225.2	to should aise
suggest 70:8 76:18 106:20	72:13 100:12	surveys 176:12	219:7 235:2 273:18 274:15	technologies 13:12 14:17
	191:8 192:2,7	176:15,18	taken 8:12 27:8	28:22 29:4
suggestion 231:8	193:10,17	177:10,15,18		
234:3	197:21 200:1	242:16,16	37:12,16 152:7	38:22
suggestions	230:13 254:4	suspect 31:8	210:11 268:22	technology
217:18	273:4	154:12	277:4,12	28:15,17 29:8
suggests 223:5	supporting	swearing 42:4	takes 69:6	237:14
suite 2:7 3:9	257:8	swore 62:4	131:12 201:4	tell 9:3 43:18
sum 88:20 89:2	supposed 45:13	sworn 8:4 277:6	talk 9:19 42:15	51:3 58:7,10
summarized	100:9	sy 68:15,22	42:19 109:3	109:6 129:20
124:1	suppression	synthetic 77:22	146:5 172:1	130:11,18
summary 184:7	94:20	116:5 123:7,9	236:21 260:9	149:15 153:13
271:13,14	sure 8:14 14:16	124:10,17	talked 165:8	158:10 179:17
summer 23:5,6	15:16 27:20	125:2 203:2	174:3 200:18	181:3,19,22
50:3,9 229:11	29:3 42:12	264:17,22	202:18 222:11	190:10 219:5
supervise 16:9	43:7 48:7 58:4	267:9 270:12	234:9 244:17	225:21 233:9
supervised	60:2 63:3 71:6	270:14	268:2,3,5	235:11 241:3
17:16 19:13,16	73:16 76:8	synthetically	talking 16:14	255:17 271:9
supervising	80:20 86:7	265:3 266:21	18:21 77:10	temperature
22:20 25:18	88:4 91:18	<b>system 25:13</b>	95:3 104:16,19	109:21 181:7
28:11	92:14 102:9	152:9,14	109:2 112:9	182:13
supervisory	105:5 106:20	162:20	117:5 122:6	ten 88:10
27:14 28:1	111:6 113:13	systematic	127:10,10	tendency 9:15
supplement	124:22 130:8	134:19,20	134:10 139:13	tenure 254:1,5
56:11,17 57:1	141:21 144:9	T	140:17 146:4	tenured 11:17
65:2 116:8	147:10 151:21		151:10 160:20	11:18
264:15 265:19	159:18 177:14	t 4:1,1,5 5:1,1	161:17 164:1	tenuretrack
266:15 270:17	179:12 180:19	6:1,1 7:1,1	203:13 213:15	13:4
supplemental	182:8 185:18	table 53:5 105:6	215:4 233:21	term 21:2 35:3
100:1 274:6	186:22 192:9	105:9 107:22	254:22 263:6	36:1 75:2
supplements	194:4 195:8	109:13 204:22	<b>tandem</b> 4:18 6:7	102:21 113:14
55:17 57:3	196:8 197:11	205:3,6,22	6:21 25:15	113:14 157:20
124:3,4,12	198:9,18 202:9	tag 69:18	94:21 113:6,7	185:18 207:1
262:22 263:15	202:9 205:17	take 10:5 21:17	170:10 192:22	261:22
264:2,5,10,12	211:11 218:19	32:1,1,3,4 36:3	206:16 207:10	terms 34:16
266:22 267:9	223:4 227:22	59:16 63:11	241:18 252:1	143:15 184:14
269:12 270:7	234:3 254:3	74:5 80:13	taught 38:9,12	232:21 233:5
270:14,15,19	260:17 263:6	89:21 90:13	38:13,18,19	234:4 243:16
273:1,5	263:10 268:19	91:22 114:1,12	39:3,5	244:18 248:7
supplied 105:19	273:12,21	132:3 134:9,21	teach 37:18 38:2	250:17,19
197:18	surprise 252:4	144:7 162:21	39:8	272:2
supplies 253:12	surrounding	168:14 171:7	technique 266:2	test 74:7 76:16
supply 66:6	62:15	180:14 182:4	techniques	80:22 87:14
183:15	survey 5:13	188:15 190:9	30:11 38:22	98:17 158:19
support 53:14	148:19 176:3,9	205:3 210:14	238:13 240:6	159:13,14,18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
166:21 167:20	218:2 225:12	272:11,12	267:10 270:18	240:11,13
217:9 245:19	237:10 255:17	thereof 88:15	270:19	241:1,2,16
248:13 259:5	259:10,12	theres 93:20	third 122:6	253:10,10,11
tested 75:7 76:8	tests 150:11	122:21 181:5	133:10 149:8	274:15
82:5 83:5 87:8	213:14	183:17 190:14	149:19 226:4	timerelease
88:22 89:13	text 258:16	228:20 234:21	247:13	150:1 155:14
107:1 116:21	textbook 103:3	259:16	thms 31:13	times 103:10
124:15 135:9	214:1	thevis 5:8 121:2	thought 184:17	110:15 120:14
137:21 146:22	thank 65:7	121:2,12	191:12,14	131:6 169:19
147:2,8 193:19	83:15 274:10	theyre 31:19	264:3	195:19 196:3
196:15 197:5	thats 15:18 16:4	34:11,12 126:9	thoughts 5:9	225:9 251:20
198:1,13 199:5	16:9,22 26:4	127:2 214:10	247:14	timestamps
199:19 205:12	26:21 27:22	270:9	thousand 103:9	229:6
212:16 218:5	36:11,11 37:17	<b>theyve</b> 253:1	three 11:10 41:4	timetable 67:3
246:3 249:1	46:19 47:6	thing 9:17 61:4	88:6,7 184:21	<b>timing</b> 138:6
259:2 261:4	48:10 52:1	112:9 136:14	193:13,13	tissue 66:6
testified 8:5	57:6,6 61:10	139:14 156:4	195:19 196:3,6	today 8:22 10:5
272:13	62:3,5,18 70:4	224:5 245:15	196:10,10	11:1 16:15
testify 11:1 43:4	78:4,5 94:7	things 30:6	207:5,7,11	17:5 18:4 19:1
44:2,5,22	96:6,9 108:2	69:15 80:1	210:20 225:1	21:10 43:12,18
47:12,15	110:1,3 115:5	181:16 216:10	225:12 228:5	91:20 116:16
testifying 160:2	116:20 117:11	224:5 233:20	236:16 239:14	160:3 253:16
testimony 44:8	119:1 133:5	259:5,20	242:5 248:20	todd 1:22 2:14
49:10 53:6	134:21 144:18	think 21:11,19	248:22	277:3,17
107:3 115:1	158:4 163:16	22:19 31:10	threepage 12:6	<b>told</b> 47:19 48:1,2
145:20 184:17	164:5 166:20	47:5 48:11	tie 205:18	171:3
276:4 277:5,9	170:17 172:16	56:21,22 57:2	time 11:11 15:10	top 44:6 57:6
<b>testing</b> 27:9,15	173:11 175:3	57:19 58:9	17:5 18:3	61:15 105:10
33:4 40:12	179:7 181:13	64:13 68:20,20	19:22 20:15	159:8 164:7
52:7 57:10,20	183:1 185:22	70:5,5 78:11	21:6 22:6 23:3	178:4 200:13
58:5 59:4 62:6	189:8 191:6	78:19 79:1	23:21 25:6,17	217:22 231:3
62:8 65:5 68:4	194:6,13,13	82:12 85:11,13	64:7,12 65:21	246:11 254:12
70:19 72:8,17	200:7 201:12	92:15,22 97:4	67:9,10 69:4,6	<b>topic</b> 53:18
76:22 77:4,5	202:9,10 212:4	97:17 99:20	69:19 70:9	<b>topics</b> 45:21
77:22 80:10	215:6 219:8	100:13 103:2,4	71:15 72:14	total 88:20 89:2
106:2 115:16	221:6 222:5	107:11 113:15	95:11 96:12	toxicology 5:11
119:1,12,17,19	228:18 232:9	117:18 118:13	97:21 109:8,19	trace 102:16,21
121:14,18	236:16 244:5	120:6 137:20	116:11 119:4	103:2,2 198:14
122:15 137:9	245:15 247:4	143:17 149:14	128:11 135:16	213:20
137:12 138:5	248:2 251:22	159:12 182:21	162:15 166:10	traditionally
160:3 161:1	253:15,15,16	187:3 202:8,8	167:8,8 169:18	104:8
165:14 166:6	253:18 254:13	207:15 209:7	185:15 186:3	traffic 246:22
166:14 188:16	254:13 260:4	216:4 217:14	186:13,15	training 35:8,15
188:20 189:5	260:12 264:13	226:17 232:9,9	187:3 209:16	35:18,20 36:2
191:15 197:12	267:21 270:10	239:14 264:8	229:3 235:9	36:3,14,22
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	ı

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
37:6 39:14,20	118:13,16	103:19 104:1	uncommon	<b>united</b> 1:1,5 3:6
40:4	125:11 142:17	130:1 186:16	104:12 109:17	56:1 108:10
transcript 4:6	143:3 172:3	221:6 224:5,6	220:21 221:12	253:18
5:2 6:2 7:2	211:6 234:16	typed 41:19	251:22 256:14	units 157:11
9:13 274:12,15	252:20	types 14:9	undergrad	universal 239:3
276:3,7	turn 41:15 60:21	typewriting	38:16	239:18 240:2,7
transitions	82:2 105:6	277:7	understand 8:18	universality
206:2,15 207:1	133:2 149:7,18	typical 69:6,9,13	9:7,10 10:3	240:7
207:3 208:4,8	164:4 175:9 <sup>°</sup>	69:18 99:6	15:22 18:15	university 4:9
translation	188:12 200:10	176:2,8	42:3,13 46:21	11:15 12:7
179:1 180:11	204:13 208:12	typically 31:8	47:3 73:13	13:2,12 16:21
trap 25:13	216:17 232:11	34:8 69:16	80:18 90:9	18:3,22 28:6
treatment 14:10	236:22 252:6	160:1 202:13	91:16 100:7	31:16 37:19
26:18	253:19 258:1	239:12	105:3 129:1	38:8 52:22
trend 238:8	turnaround		131:22 139:20	64:4,15,17,18
trends 215:20	274:15	U	165:8 174:1	71:1,22 72:10
trial 42:15,19	turned 92:2	<b>u</b> 5:1 6:1 7:1	180:1 186:15	72:11,12 83:16
43:4,11,11,14	99:18 251:4	93:2 252:13,17	194:9 198:19	83:18 84:7,13
43:19	turning 249:16	<b>ug</b> 108:1,7	202:8 203:17	88:13 91:1,12
tried 143:12	turns 251:2	ultimately	203:18 219:4,5	91:16,22 107:6
158:2 161:20	twice 154:20	121:17 235:13	221:17 222:19	107:7 133:16
249:13 250:22	two 10:18 38:13	ultraviolet	250:2 266:20	141:18 142:9
251:13	49:21 57:3	238:11	266:21 268:15	142:20 149:5
trihalomethan	67:16 88:10	umpsht001088	268:22 274:8	168:12 170:19
14:2,5 30:20	93:22 94:1	148:17	understanding	199:10 229:12
34:14	123:15,16,19	umpsht002154	46:15 47:15	254:8
triple 25:14	124:2 207:3,5	231:19	56:15,20 57:12	unknown
207:11	207:7 208:8,11	umpsht002361	57:18 97:14	210:19
tropical 199:11	213:14 214:9	121:1	189:9 201:20	unpublished
truck 26:13	214:15 229:19	umpsht004885	206:11 221:3	145:3
true 109:7	234:21 240:14	63:10	264:21	unreliable
222:16 253:13	240:22 241:4	umpsht005487	understated	155:20
276:3 277:8	241:15,18	227:10	245:8	ups 84:22
truth 135:3	243:3 244:8	umpsht005617	understood 9:9	usa 77:20
truthful 227:1	245:2,13,17	132:10	92:1 114:10	use 30:11 31:21
<b>try</b> 9:16,19 10:7	248:8 250:14	umpsht005965	131:21 171:14	36:1 68:13
27:20 34:19	250:20 265:15	246:20	171:17 219:3	77:4,22 78:3,8
78:2 86:16	265:18,20	umpsht00682	undertake 104:7	78:20 79:5
97:11 98:8	269:12,18,19	190:8	undertaken	99:6 113:5,14
114:13 179:9	270:1 272:21	umpsht687	133:13	114:2 144:7
203:15 221:9	<b>twolab</b> 248:1	190:16	undetermined	185:9 203:14
234:20 257:6	twopage 141:4	unable 145:6	1:8	203:17 207:2
267:4	168:10 227:8	unaware 79:10	unfortunately	207:22 210:5
trying 23:9	type 59:17 67:2	unclear 90:10	25:14 91:13	211:4,13
37:11 47:3	68:2,4 70:19	114:11 171:16	<b>unit</b> 150:10	218:17 237:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

<b>T</b>		washington, D.C.		
240:15 241:4,6	191:2,4,16	verbally 9:12	14:17,19 15:3	69:7
241:8 273:20	194:5 198:1,13	verbany 9.12 verify 98:8	15:8 16:13	welcomed 47:1
useful 237:13	194.3 198.1,13	118:10	17:1,7 18:5,6	wellfounded
			, ,	
239:9,10	217:8,18 218:5	version 78:3,8	18:20 19:14	46:19 47:5
usepa 13:14	228:17,21	78:20 79:5	26:16,18 27:1	wellrespected
14:18 34:9,10	231:7 237:10	270:14	27:5 28:16	220:6
108:7,10	246:22 250:6	versions 224:7,7	29:1,6,8 30:1,5	went 45:22
usp 23:20 40:11	252:11 253:2	versus 20:2	30:7 31:11,14	82:14 143:18
49:12,14,16	255:20 256:13	210:22 213:9	34:8 89:16	235:16 253:22
50:4,10,11,14	259:2 265:2	view 139:11	162:9,12,17	weve 89:20
50:19 52:6	usually 31:9	166:22 191:8	164:1 165:12	107:17 225:17
54:10,12 55:11	utilities 13:13	193:17 202:22	166:4,9,16,20	265:18 268:1,4
57:11,13,19	14:17 26:16	243:7 271:22	167:11,21	268:10
58:6,7,14,17	uvvis 38:20	viewed 176:2	196:17 223:13	whatnot 68:3
58:21,22 59:3		viewpoint	224:5	whats 12:5 41:2
59:15 60:3	V	243:17	watered 166:11	43:10 44:3
61:17 62:1,14	<b>v</b> 1:7 275:4	<b>visible</b> 238:11	watering 166:19	51:20 63:7
71:7,14,18,21	validated 66:3	volatile 65:17	way 9:2 14:10	87:15 97:7
72:4,7,19	validity 243:8	volition 142:21	21:5 29:11	111:21 117:13
73:19 74:2	valley 169:2	142:22	31:22 33:1	148:14 159:2
75:7 76:9,22	variability 216:5	volts 182:19	35:7 45:6	168:8 170:5,16
79:18 80:11,12	235:20,22		73:17 74:11	180:6 192:14
80:21,21 81:16	236:6	W	103:10 105:5	192:16 194:16
82:6 84:9,17	variance 113:15	w 3:5	111:6 115:14	227:7 231:16
84:20 85:2	variation 176:22	waived 256:18	134:21 139:4	wheat 1:14
86:5,10 87:4	227:13 232:21	waiving 256:9	140:7 156:4	white 58:15,16
87:14 105:18	234:4 236:13	walk 210:10	185:5 196:21	68:12 70:8
106:6,15,21	258:18 262:1,7	wall 255:3	202:11 210:4	85:6 86:11
107:8 115:17	variations 88:15	want 21:16,22	211:17 217:14	121:21 133:7
116:21 117:7	220:22 221:13	54:16 67:13	222:6 223:5,7	151:21 228:17
	222:12 223:15	88:1 113:13	232:22 234:6	
117:10,14,19	224:15 228:6	149:9 195:1	234:15 241:5	widely 176:22
119:1,13,18	233:6,13,16,18	231:8 237:3,5		236:12 258:18
128:14 129:11	233:22 234:11	247:2 261:10	256:6 261:2	willing 106:7
129:14 132:11	262:5	wanted 70:17	264:7	willynilly
132:15,21	varied 125:13	73:5,12 146:10	ways 29:20	234:22
133:6 139:2,5		233:18 235:1	234:21 250:20	wilson 68:16,22
139:14,21	varies 108:19		website 4:9	withdraw 24:14
144:1 146:9	236:12 258:18	wanting 140:9	12:16 56:5	187:22 210:3
149:1,12	272:9	washington 1:18	wed 66:12	267:3
151:13 153:3	variety 21:3	2:8 3:10	210:14	witness 2:17
153:11,17	103:1 272:9	wasnt 76:11	week 11:9 99:20	10:19,20 11:2
155:12 165:15	various 26:16	140:8	99:21,21	14:13 15:1,14
166:6 167:13	33:16 193:13	watch 48:13	weekend 11:9	16:6,18 17:10
167:20 172:15	205:6 236:19	water 13:13,14	weeklong 26:17	18:15 19:4,19
188:20 190:20	vary 176:21	13:21 14:3,4	weeks 11:10	22:18 24:11,22
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Paul Simone, Ph.D.		Washington, D.C.		November 7, 2016
27:4 29:14	174:15 178:14	46:21 47:6	183:21 186:6	49:15 50:2,4,8
30:16 33:8	181:1 184:1	48:5,9 49:3,17	186:17 187:5	50:10,20,21
34:2 35:11,18	186:8,19 187:7	50:16 52:9	187:16 188:3	51:1,3,6 52:6
36:8,18 37:3	187:18 188:4	53:8,19,21	193:21 194:6	53:12 54:10,14
37:10 38:2	194:1,18 195:3	56:18 57:14,22	194:11,18	54:17 55:11
39:18 40:2,8	195:21 196:5	59:6,19 63:1	195:2,8,18	58:13,20 65:1
42:22 44:21	196:20 197:9	70:1 71:2 73:6	196:2,18 197:6	65:15 66:8,22
45:3,8,17	197:15 198:6	75:11,19 76:1	197:13 198:4	67:11 68:7
47:16 48:17	198:18 199:16	77:6 78:13	198:16 199:14	69:4 71:8,13
49:6 52:11	200:5 201:12	79:7 80:16	200:3 201:10	71:17,20 72:5
53:10,22 56:20	202:3 203:6	81:11,19 82:21	201:22 203:4	72:7,16 73:4
57:16 58:2	212:4 213:18	86:12 87:21	212:3 213:15	76:22 79:12,18
59:8,21 61:12	216:14 217:6	89:18,22 91:6	216:12,19	92:18,19 93:2
63:3,15 70:3	218:17 222:10	91:18 96:21	217:4 222:8	93:21 95:18,21
71:4 73:8	223:4 224:11	97:18 98:11,20	223:2 224:9	96:16 97:11,15
75:13,21 76:3	225:8 228:13	99:12 100:5,13	228:11 230:16	97:16 98:3,8
78:16 79:9	237:17 238:1	100:16,20	237:16,20	98:10,17
80:18 81:13,21	243:13 246:6	101:6,13,21	238:4 243:10	101:10,17
83:1 86:14	248:7 249:6	102:19 104:4	246:4 248:5	103:5 104:12
88:1 89:19	257:21 259:15	106:9,16 107:2	249:4 257:19	105:2 106:8
97:1,20 98:13	261:7,18	107:14 111:1	259:13 261:5	114:15 117:6
98:22 99:14	262:14 264:21	114:20 117:16	262:12 264:19	117:10,12
101:8,15 102:1	268:13,17	117:20 118:1,7	267:1,21 268:1	120:10 124:6
104:6 106:11	273:17,20	118:10,13,17	268:7,9,15	128:13 129:10
106:18 107:5	274:8 277:5,9	119:8 120:13	273:7,15 274:9	129:13,18
107:16 111:3	witnesss 195:10	124:18 125:3	274:13	130:9 131:2
114:1,22 115:1	wonder 71:11	125:20 126:6	word 97:7 165:2	144:18 145:6,8
118:14,16	woolson 3:14	126:16 127:4	253:3	145:15 146:2,3
119:6,12	14:11,21 15:10	127:16 130:3	worded 74:13	146:11,18
120:15 124:20	15:13,18 16:3	130:14,19	126:19 203:19	148:5,7,9
125:22 126:8	16:14,17 17:8	131:5 136:7	words 74:7	149:1,16 153:3
126:18 127:6	18:13,21 19:3	138:21 139:8	work 15:3,9	153:13,15,16
127:18 130:5	19:15,18 21:9	139:15,22	16:9,12,22	153:19 154:5
130:16,21	21:13,18 22:3	140:10 143:10	17:6,15,18	154:11 155:7
131:8 139:1,17	22:12,15,17	145:11,19	18:2,11,19	156:18 161:8
140:3,13	24:9,20 27:2	147:5,12 148:2	19:4,8,12,20	161:18,19
143:12 144:7	29:12 30:14	151:7,15	20:1,2,6,10,14	162:4 163:4,22
145:13,22	33:6,21 35:9	152:15,22	20:17 21:1,7	167:12 172:14
147:14 151:8	35:16 36:7,11	154:1,9,19	22:7,20 23:4,8	174:8 189:13
152:17 153:1	36:16 37:1,8	155:22 161:3	23:14,19 24:1	189:16 190:12
154:3,11,22	37:21 38:1	161:15 163:1,7	24:5,17 25:17	190:20 191:4,7
156:2 161:6,17	39:16,22 40:6	163:15 167:3	25:18 26:21,22	192:1,1 193:4
162:18 163:10	41:4 42:20	168:1 173:14	28:11 31:12	193:5,10,17
163:19 167:5	44:20 45:15	174:13 175:1,3	36:15 37:6	194:5 203:11
168:4 173:16	46:2,10,14,18	178:8,13	40:10 49:12,13	205:18 207:20
			I	

		wasnington, D.C.		
209:13 217:1 217:10 218:9 228:1 232:8 234:17 235:3,4 237:8,9 241:9 251:2,3,4,7,10 252:3 253:7,7 254:16 257:5 257:15 258:22 261:9 266:4,19 271:3,4 worked 37:3 67:10 82:5 working 15:7 66:20 103:6,8 174:2,5 works 13:11 58:17 174:4 209:17 253:6 world 133:15 wouldnt 74:10 214:19 215:3 wow 268:4 write 45:11 96:17 142:3 164:16 writes 170:17 writeup 193:4 writing 101:2 132:22 229:4 229:14 written 51:6 86:15 111:19 156:2 235:5 247:8 wrong 26:3 191:12 220:10 232:21 233:6 234:5 235:15 257:8 261:10 wrote 45:7 61:11 94:8	191:21 203:7 222:15 232:10 232:10,18 272:12  X x 1:4,16 4:5 5:1 6:1 7:1 211:1 xaxis 113:8,9 xishuangbanna 199:11 xu 86:16 199:10  Y yaxis 113:9 yeah 26:4 29:17 31:6 35:5 44:1 62:5 74:4 76:6 92:20 99:21 102:14 110:22 117:22 120:3 125:9,22 141:13 142:11 173:16 177:4 183:14 188:4 190:13 191:21 202:16 209:20 213:17 223:22 226:7,17,19 229:2 236:1 237:15 239:9 246:6 248:16 251:13 264:13 year 23:6 37:15 225:9 years 79:22 235:9 238:9 yi 83:7,15,16,22 84:8,16 85:3,8 85:20 86:4,9 86:18 87:4 138:16 199:9	youre 8:18,22 16:22 32:18,21 36:7 37:10 41:6 42:15 43:8 47:11,19 48:10 63:12 79:3 117:5,20 118:1,16 125:7 132:14 134:10 151:10 171:12 175:5 191:17 193:10 194:12 194:13 202:15 203:6,17 211:6 211:12 219:1 239:19 240:9 240:10,13 241:2,3 248:3 254:12 259:18 259:20 260:13 266:16,19 267:14 youve 12:13 16:21 17:5,15 18:10 20:1 27:10,16 28:5 28:6 37:15 38:18 51:19 90:17 92:5 95:6 104:1 126:22 132:16 134:1,2 141:7 168:15 174:2 174:18 180:5 188:15 191:11 203:10 207:10 221:4 231:15 244:1 246:16 253:9 255:12 259:17 260:1 272:13	Z z193:1 zero 113:19 zhang 123:22 124:12 184:13 242:11 243:5 244:13 251:2 267:10 270:5 270:16 272:1 zhangs 124:6  0 0 109:15 112:21 113:20 159:22 218:16 00 113:19 131:11 05 159:22 06151 192:19 06200 180:8 07102 3:17 09 168:13 091113 5:19  1 11:6,9 3:16 4:8 4:16,16 5:13 5:14 6:5,5 7:7 7:7 10:15 11:21 14:6 23:10,11 25:4 25:6,6 30:8,12 30:12 31:13,18 32:14 33:4,4 34:17,17 35:12 35:12 39:6,9 39:12 47:18 49:7,11 51:7,8 51:10,10,13,13 52:8,8 53:15 53:15 55:3,3,4 55:9,13,15	73:13,20,20 74:6,6,8,9,15 74:15,17,17 77:12,18 88:14 88:15 90:20 94:1,22 95:1,7 95:7,18,19 97:3,22 99:3 101:20,20 103:3,4 104:8 105:14 108:3 109:13 112:10 112:11,12,20 113:18,19,19 114:19,19 115:4,4,6 116:4,6,17,17 118:21,21 120:1 122:8,11 122:22 123:6,8 123:13,20 127:8,22 129:19 131:11 133:14 134:3 135:3,10 136:15,17,19 136:21 138:2 141:17,17 142:18 143:8,8 144:2 146:8 148:19,20 149:21,22 150:2 151:2,11 151:11 152:18 155:4,4,15 157:7,7 159:14 159:14,20,20 161:9,21 162:9 169:13,13 170:7,8,8 175:20 183:10 184:10 188:5,7
234:5 235:15 257:8 261:10	84:8,16 85:3,8 85:20 86:4,9 86:18 87:4	253:9 255:12 259:17 260:1	51:10,10,13,13 52:8,8 53:15 53:15 55:3,3,4	169:13,13 170:7,8,8

•		washington, D.C.		
209:9,18	207:13 208:7,7	<b>1940s</b> 80:4	163:20 170:11	53:15 60:20
210:15,15,16	<b>12</b> 4:12 5:17	1940s 80.4 1994 57:2	184:13 242:11	61:10 74:6,8
210:19,21,21	63:20 69:7	<b>1994</b> 37.2 <b>1996</b> 175:14	243:6 244:13	74:15,17 93:16
214:12 218:15	150:2,7 155:15	242:7	247:1 271:22	94:22 99:7,8
218:16 220:3	158:11,21	19th 247:9	<b>2015</b> 44:16 97:2	101:20 105:15
224:16,18	159:3 260:8,18	1st 132:12	184:12 242:12	108:3 111:17
226:5,20	<b>120</b> 5:8	150 132.12	243:5 244:13	112:10,19
229:20,21	<b>120</b> 3.6 <b>1227</b> 2:6	2	271:22	114:19 115:4
238:20 242:6,8	12andahalf 20:4	<b>2</b> 4:9 12:1,5	<b>2016</b> 1:19 11:21	116:17 118:21
243:1,2,3	20:12	28:14 41:3	23:7 42:1	123:6 143:8
244:4,7,9	<b>13</b> 3:16 5:18	99:2 105:6,9	275:1,2 276:13	151:11 155:4
245:7 249:21	168:5,9,13	105:14 107:22	<b>2017</b> 277:22	157:7 159:14
250:10,11,13	214:11 247:10	109:13,16	<b>202</b> 2:9	159:20 169:13
250:18 251:17	<b>131</b> 5:11	110:5,16	<b>20530</b> 3:10	175:4,7 188:11
252:12 257:7	13cv3675wbh	111:14 112:10	<b>21</b> 7:10 246:13	188:12 210:15
258:8 260:4	1:6	112:17,20	246:18	210:21 218:13
262:20,22	<b>14</b> 6:4 170:1,6	210:18,20	<b>213</b> 226:6	218:15 225:17
263:12,14,22	214:19 277:22	218:13 225:17	<b>2156</b> 232:13	225:22 237:1
265:15 266:12	<b>140</b> 5:12	<b>20</b> 7:6 88:18	<b>2168</b> 231:19	242:5 250:10
266:14,15	<b>148</b> 5:15	214:18 218:12	<b>22</b> 183:5,7	<b>30</b> 1:20 110:18
269:2,9,16	<b>15</b> 6:11 180:2,7	218:13 231:12	<b>227</b> 7:5	111:15,19
272:21	237:19,22	231:17 232:12	<b>23</b> 170:7 175:9	238:9
<b>10</b> 4:8 5:13 56:6	238:3,4	<b>2001</b> 258:14	237:19 238:4,6	<b>300</b> 243:1 244:4
99:9 103:4,7,8	<b>150</b> 110:7	<b>20037</b> 2:8	<b>231</b> 7:9	<b>35</b> 66:15 237:1,3
103:9,11,12,18	<b>156</b> 5:16 213:8	<b>2006</b> 258:15	<b>246</b> 7:11	237:20 242:3,4
104:8 111:19	<b>158</b> 5:17	<b>2011</b> 63:20 64:7	<b>25</b> 18:10,20	<b>36</b> 262:21 263:5
148:10,15	<b>16</b> 6:14 190:1,6	184:13 225:19	19:12	263:13
153:7,10,14	<b>165</b> 212:20	225:22 229:11	<b>254</b> 212:22	<b>365</b> 214:21
218:13,14	213:10 215:8	242:10	213:9,10	215:1,9,10
260:10	215:18	<b>2012</b> 5:7 23:20	225:18	<b>37</b> 249:16
<b>100</b> 103:4,4	<b>168</b> 5:19	56:4,6 121:2	<b>25th</b> 2:6	<b>38</b> 99:5 183:3,7
104:11,16	<b>17</b> 6:16 156:17	122:5 132:12	<b>265</b> 215:18	252:7
110:15 159:22	190:1,15	184:9,9,12,13	<b>27</b> 56:4 188:13	<b>39</b> 164:4 175:11
214:1 251:20	213:11 275:1	224:17,17	262:21 263:5	3dimethylaml
1000 159:22	<b>170</b> 6:10	226:1,6 228:21	263:13 274:16	1:9
251:20	<b>18</b> 6:18 159:5	235:9 242:7,8	<b>28</b> 208:12,16	3dimethylamy
100300 66:16	192:10,14,17	242:10,10,11 243:4,4,5,5	2amino4meth	23:11 30:8
<b>101</b> 36:18,21	200:11 204:12	244:13,13	55:15	34:17 47:18
37:15	226:1	250:9 271:8,22	3	55:3
105419 66:5	<b>180</b> 6:13	272:1,1	<b>3</b> 4:13 7:7 30:12	3dimethylpent
1093 148:17	<b>19</b> 7:4 94:18	<b>2013</b> 23:20	33:4 40:20	55:15 65:17
10th 226:6	192:14 223:21	93:10 138:7	41:6,10 42:10	66:4
<b>11</b> 5:16 156:10 156:15 168:13	227:3,8 247:1 <b>190</b> 6:15,17	142:14 156:17	43:15,20 49:2	3dmaa 4:16 5:13 6:5 23:10 25:4
136:13 168:13 116 206:8	<b>190</b> 6:13,17 <b>192</b> 6:22	159:5 163:18	51:13 52:8	25:6 32:14
110 200.0	1740.44	107.0 103.10	21.12.22.0	23.0 32.14
	•	•	•	•